Q1 To what extent are WFP’s strategic position, role and specific contributions based on country priorities and people’s needs, as well as WFP’s strengths?

- Aligned with the relevant national policies, plans, goals and United Nations Framework
- Addresses the needs of most vulnerable people
- Limited focus on exclusion and inclusion
- Relevant and appropriate response to the Rohingya crisis
Q2 What is the extent and quality of WFP’s specific contribution to CSP strategic outcomes?

Progress is being made but outcome reporting is problematic

**SO1:** Increased coverage of national programmes.
Less progress in nutrition

**SO2:** Large assistance packages in crisis/disaster-affected areas

**SO3:** Success in testing new approaches

**SO4:** Cost-effective emergency preparedness and response support

**SO5:** Reliable common services to humanitarian community
Q2 What is the extent and quality of WFP’s specific contribution to CSP strategic outcomes?

High level of commitment to gender, protection and accountability to affected populations did not translate into country-office wide strategic approaches.

More effort needed for sustainability: to achieve institutional take-up of interventions by the Government and other partners.
Q3 To what extent were WFP’s systems, structures and resources marshalled efficiently in support of CSP outputs and strategic outcomes?

- Earmarked funding and under-funding
- Cost-effective and timely emergency assistance
- Risk management in place
- Increased staff capacity but need of skills to engage in policy discourse and strategic communication
Q4 What are the factors that explain WFP’s performance and the extent to which it has made the strategic shift expected by the CSP?

Good use of data and evidence

Whole of society approach to partnerships but more strategic engagement with government needed

Efforts to uphold Humanitarian principles

Capacity strengthening focus on training rather than broader policy engagement
Q5 To what extent were the operational modalities the right ones to allow WFP to respond effectively to the Level 3 emergency under the CSP?

- Impressive scale-up of emergency response

- Strong leadership of common sectors, better integration needed by the logistics sector with Government

- CSP updated with additional strategic outcome – but Level 3 response largely functioned as a separate operation

- Attention to gender, accountability and inclusion is commended, however more focus needed on emergent PSEA risks
Conclusions

Effective response to expanded needs in-country but disruption in strategic shift

Adjustments in staff expertise, funding, govt. engagement needed for successful capacity strengthening

Unable to fully capture results and progress

CSP framework not yet seen as a strategic partnership tool

More effort is needed to mainstream gender and social inclusion

Reposition for strategic engagement with national social safety net programmes
Recommendations

1. Enhance strategic partnerships
2. Improve emergency preparedness, readiness and response mechanisms
3. Strengthen support for nutrition-sensitive social safety net programmes
4. Enhance capacity strengthening strategy
5. Strengthen gender equality and social inclusion
6. Strengthen performance management