## ANNUAL EVALUATION REPORT 2017

**EVALUATION EVIDENCE** 

An overview of evaluations completed in 2016–2017, highlighting the types of evaluation evidence contributing to the strategic priorities of WFP



**EVALUATION FUNCTION** 

An overview of progress towards the outcomes set out in the WFP Evaluation Policy (2016–2021)

#### **EVALUATION COVERAGE**

active policies evaluated within 4 to 6 years

WFP ten largest portfolios, covered by a CPE in the previous 5 years

evaluations


active **corporate** emergency responses ongoing in the previous 3 years evaluated


WFP portfolios (excluded 10 largest), covered by a CPE in the previous 10 years

country **programmes** that ended in 2017 had an evaluation that year or the previous one


completed at least one decentralized evaluation within a 3 year period


**country offices** have















# **EVALUATION QUALITY**

evaluation reports rated 'meeting requirements' or higher



#### **EVALUATION USE**

**Implementation** of actions within management responses



#### **EVALUATION FUNDING**

expenditure 2021 Target on evaluation 0.8% as a percentage of WFP total contribution income 0.18% in 2017 **0.15**% in 2016

#### **EVALUATION PARTNERSHIPS**

inter-agency evaluations

completed joint and



### **EVALUATION** PLANNING

Country strategic planning has provided an unprecedented opportunity to use evaluation evidence in programme design and evaluation planning and budgeting across WFP. This demonstrates real progress in embedding the evaluation culture across WFP.

The next step is to deepen and broaden this work. As the Integrated Road Map takes shape, the means to ensure that evaluation is financed to meet the policy target are becoming clearer and new opportunities are unfolding.

As the development of the evaluation function evolves work force planning will promote secure access to skilled human resources.

