

**EVALUATION EVIDENCE**

An overview of evaluations completed in 2016-2017, highlighting the types of evaluation evidence contributing to the strategic priorities of WFP.

### 63 evaluations completed over a two year period

- **Centralized evaluations:** 2016-2017
  - Number: 46
  - Percentage: 67% in 2016, 66% in 2017

- **Decentralized evaluations:** 2016-2017
  - Number: 17
  - Percentage: 20% in 2016, 19% in 2017

### EVALUATION FUNCTION

**Evaluation Coverage**

- Active policies evaluated within 4 to 6 years: 20% in 2016, 30% in 2017
- Active corporate emergency responses ongoing in the previous 3 years: 70% in 2016, 31% in 2017
- WFP programs that ended in 2017 had an evaluation that year or the previous one: 75% in 2016, 67% in 2017

**Evaluation Quality**

- Evaluation reports of actions within management: 75% in 2016, 66% in 2017
- Evaluation reports rated ‘meeting requirements’ or higher: 80% in 2017

**Evaluation Use**

- Implementations of actions within management: 66% in 2017

**Evaluation Funding**

- Portion of evaluative funds within WFP total contribution income: 0.18% in 2016, 0.15% in 2017

**Evaluation Partnerships**

- Joint and inter-agency evaluations: 3 in 2017

### EVALUATION PLANNING

- WFP presence: 1 in 2016, 4 in 2017

**ANNUAL EVALUATION REPORT 2017**

Country strategic planning has provided an unprecedented opportunity to use evaluation evidence in programme design and evaluation planning and budgeting across WFP. This demonstrates real progress in embedding the evaluation culture across WFP.

The next step is to deepen and broaden this work. As the Integrated Road Map takes shape, the means to ensure that evaluations are financed to meet the policy target and becoming clearer and new opportunities are unfolding.

As the development of the evaluation function evolves, work force planning will promote secure access to skilled human resources.