Evaluation

Summary Terms of Reference



BANGLADESH: AN EVALUATION OF WFP'S COUNTRY STRATEGIC PLAN (2016-2019)

Country Strategic Plan Evaluations (CSPEs) encompass the entirety of WFP activities during a specific period. Their purpose is twofold: 1) to provide evaluation evidence and learning on WFP's performance for country level strategic decisions, specifically for developing the next Country Strategic Plan and 2) to provide accountability for results to WFP stakeholders.

Subject and Focus of the Evaluation

The evaluation will primarily cover the country strategic plan, including the ongoing corporate emergency response to the Rohingya crisis. It will focus on assessing WFP contributions to CSP strategic outcomes, establishing plausible causal relations between the outputs of WFP activities, the implementation process, the operational environment and the changes observed at the outcome level, including any unintended consequences, positive or negative.

The evaluation will analyse if and how gender equality and women's empowerment were considered in the CSP design and implementation guided by the WFP Gender Policy, identifying any gaps and proposing areas for improvement. The evaluation will also analyze WFP partnership strategy, including WFP strategic positioning in complex, dynamic contexts, particularly as relates to relations with national governments and the international community.

Given the context, the evaluation will pay particular attention to assessing adherence to humanitarian principles, protection issues and accountability to affected populations

Objectives and Users of the Evaluation

The evaluation will serve the dual objectives of accountability and learning. As such, this evaluation will provide evaluation evidence and learning on WFP's performance for country level strategic decisions, specifically for developing the next CSP Plan; and provide accountability for results to WFP stakeholders.

The evaluation will seek the views of, and be useful to, a broad range of WFP's internal and external stakeholders and presents an opportunity for national, regional and corporate learning.

The primary user of the evaluation findings and recommendations will be the WFP Country Office and its stakeholders. It presents an opportunity for the Country

Office to benefit from an independent assessment of its operations and to use the evaluation evidence to inform the design of the new Country Strategic Plan. The summary evaluation report will be presented to the Executive Board in November 2020.

Key Evaluation Questions

The evaluation will address the following four key questions:

Question 1: To what extent is WFP's strategic position, role and specific contribution based on country priorities and people's needs as well as WFP's strengths? The evaluation will reflect on the extent to which: the CSP is relevant to national policies, plans, strategies and goals, including achievement of the national Sustainable Development Goals; addresses the needs of the most vulnerable people in the country to ensure that no one is left behind; WFP's strategic positioning remained relevant, appropriate and coherent throughout the implementation of the CSP considering changing context, national capacities and needs including those of humanitarian operations in Cox's Bazar; and the CSP is coherent and aligned with the and includes appropriate partnerships based on the comparative advantage of WFP in Bangladesh.

Question 2: What is the extent and quality of WFP's specific contribution to CSP strategic outcomes in Bangladesh? The evaluation will reflect on the extent to which: WFP delivers expected outputs and contributes to the expected CSP strategic outcomes; WFP contributes to the achievement of cross-cutting aims (humanitarian principles, protection, accountability to affected populations, gender equality and other equity considerations); the achievements of the CSP are likely to be sustainable; and the CSP facilitated more strategic linkages between humanitarian, development and, where appropriate, peace work.

Question 3: To what extent has WFP's used its resources efficiently in contributing to CSP outputs and strategic outcomes? The evaluation will reflect on: whether outputs were delivered within the intended timeframe; the appropriateness of coverage, scale-up and targeting of interventions; cost-efficient delivery of assistance; and whether alternative, more cost-effective measures were considered.

Question 4: What are the factors that explain WFP performance and the extent to which it has made the strategic shift expected by the CSP? The evaluation will reflect on the extent to which: WFP analyzes or uses existing evidence on hunger challenges, food security and nutrition issues in-country to develop the CSP; WFP has been able to mobilize adequate, predictable and flexible resources; the CSP leads to partnerships and collaborations with other actors that positively influenced performance and results; the CSP provides greater flexibility in dynamic operational contexts and how did it affect results; other factors influencing WFP performance and the strategic shift expected by the CSP.

Scope and Methodology

The unit of analysis is the Country Strategic Plan, understood as the set of strategic outcomes, outputs, activities and inputs that were included in the CSP document approved by WFP Executive Board, as well as any subsequent approved budget revisions.

The evaluation will adopt a mixed methods approach; this implies a methodological design in which data collection and analysis is informed by a feedback loop combing a deductive approach, which starts from predefined analytical categories, with an inductive approach that leaves space for unforeseen issues or lines of inquiry that had not been identified at the inception stage.

In line with this approach, data may be collected through a mix of primary and secondary sources using a range of techniques including: desk review, semi-structured or open-ended interviews, closed-answer questionnaires, focus groups and direct observation. Systematic data triangulation across different sources and methods should be carried out to validate findings and avoid bias in the evaluative judgement.

Roles and Responsibilities

Evaluation Team: The evaluation will be conducted by a gender-balanced team of independent consultants, with

relevant expertise in the evaluation of humanitarian responses in complex environments, as well as food security, nutrition, gender and protection, emergency preparedness and response and social protection.

Evaluation Manager: The evaluation will be managed by Ms. Gabrielle Duffy, Senior Evaluation Officer in the WFP Office of Evaluation. She will be the main interlocutor between the evaluation team, represented by the team leader, and WFP counterparts to ensure a smooth implementation process. The Director of Evaluation will provide second level quality assurance and approve the final evaluation products.

Stakeholders: WFP stakeholders at country, regional and Headquarters level are expected to engage throughout the evaluation process to ensure a high degree of utility and transparency. External stakeholders, such as beneficiaries, government, donors, implementing partners and other UN agencies will be consulted during the evaluation process.

An **Internal Reference Group**, composed of key WFP staff from Country Office, Regional Bureau and Headquarters, plays an advisory role, and will review and provide feedback on evaluation products.

Communications

Preliminary findings will be shared with WFP stakeholders in the Country Office, the Regional Bureau and Headquarters during debriefing sessions at the end of the fieldwork.

A country learning workshop will be held to ensure a transparent evaluation process and promote ownership of the findings and preliminary recommendations by country stakeholders to feed into the CSP development process.

Key evaluation products will be in English with tailored communications products in local languages. Arrangements for local translators during fieldwork will be required.

Timing and Key Milestones

Inception phase: October- November 2019
Data collection phase: January 2020
Field Mission Debrief: January 28 2020
Reporting phase: February – May 2020
Learning workshop: April 2020

Learning workshop: April 2020 **Executive Board:** November 2020