

**Decentralized Evaluation Quality Assurance System (DEQAS)**

**Management Response from WFP [Nepal Country Office] to the recommendations of the decentralized evaluation of [EQ-PRRO] in [Nepal] from [2015] to [2018]**

*This template provides a suggested structure and guidance on content for the management response to decentralized evaluation recommendations*

<b>Rec. #</b>	<b>Recommendation</b> [as per evaluation report]	<b>Management Response</b> [Is recommendation <i>Accepted, partially accepted</i> or <i>not accepted</i> ? If partially accepted or not accepted, provide a brief response]	<b>Actions to be taken</b> [Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation]	<b>Action By</b> [WFP Country Office, WFP Regional Bureau, WFP Headquarters, External Stakeholders (UN Agency, Government body, Donor)]	<b>Implementation timeframe</b> [Month, Year]	<b>Status</b> [Not started/In progress/On hold/ Complete]
1	<b>The project should consider Phase-I of implementation as a learning phase based on which the project log frame</b>	Partially accepted, This was is very applicable for the development/longer term project. The budget was not confirmed. Geographic targeting was changed after each	In future similar program develop program to be implemented in phases. The learning from first phase should be used to	WFP Country Office	Timeline cannot be specified; it depends when the similar context arises and the similar programme is developed.	When the similar context will come, this will be implemented from the beginning of the

<b>Rec. #</b>	<b>Recommendation</b> [as per evaluation report]	<b>Management Response</b> [Is recommendation <i>Accepted, partially accepted</i> or <i>not accepted</i> ? If partially accepted or not accepted, provide a brief response]	<b>Actions to be taken</b> [Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation]	<b>Action By</b> [WFP Country Office, WFP Regional Bureau, WFP Headquarters, External Stakeholders (UN Agency, Government body, Donor)]	<b>Implementation timeframe</b> [Month, Year]	<b>Status</b> [Not started/In progress/On hold/ Complete]
	<b>should revise targets and outcome indicators.</b>	phase to best utilize the available limited resources based on evolving food security situation that resulted in changes in target areas, beneficiaries, needs and context.	make changes to log-frame			project/for now-it's complete
2	<b>Conduct service mapping</b>	Partially accepted, the schemes/activities were selected in consultation with local governments, other stakeholders and development partners operating in the area. The list of prioritized schemes was provided by communities and local governments based on their participatory planning process.	In future similar program rigorous service mapping, be conducted in the community before the selection of schemes and activities.	WFP country office	2019/2020 (for FFA activities under climate change adaptation project)	Complete

<b>Rec. #</b>	<b>Recommendation</b> [as per evaluation report]	<b>Management Response</b> [Is recommendation <i>Accepted, partially accepted</i> or <i>not accepted</i> ? If partially accepted or not accepted, provide a brief response]	<b>Actions to be taken</b> [Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation]	<b>Action By</b> [WFP Country Office, WFP Regional Bureau, WFP Headquarters, External Stakeholders (UN Agency, Government body, Donor)]	<b>Implementation timeframe</b> [Month, Year]	<b>Status</b> [Not started/In progress/On hold/ Complete]
3	<b>Develop an impact pathway and share it with stakeholders</b>	Accepted	In future similar program develop an impact pathway that is easy to comprehend and refresh the information in every decision doing exercise. This impact pathway can be different for communities; therefore, the development of the impact pathway following the service mapping exercise at the community level is advisable.	WFP country office	2020 (Theory of Change exercise for CSP outcomes and activities), Activity 5 is relevant for this.	Complete
4	<b>Develop a robust exit plan</b>	Accepted	In future similar program, develop a robust exit plan, which includes identification of stakeholders who can	WFP country office	Timeline depends when the similar context arises and the similar programme is	Complete

<b>Rec. #</b>	<b>Recommendation</b> [as per evaluation report]	<b>Management Response</b> [Is recommendation <i>Accepted, partially accepted</i> or <i>not accepted</i> ? If partially accepted or not accepted, provide a brief response]	<b>Actions to be taken</b> [Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation]	<b>Action By</b> [WFP Country Office, WFP Regional Bureau, WFP Headquarters, External Stakeholders (UN Agency, Government body, Donor)]	<b>Implementation timeframe</b> [Month, Year]	<b>Status</b> [Not started/In progress/On hold/ Complete]
			take up the responsibility of repair and maintenance, SWOT analysis of those stakeholders, and a plan to strengthen the ability of the institution to ensure the sustainability of the asset.		developed. For FFA activities under climate change adaptation project, 2019	
5	<b>Prioritize cost-sharing with local government</b>	Accepted	In future, similar program should look into the option whereby the WFP project provides technical assistance, the cost associated with labor in construction and material transportation, while the local governments can share the cost of materials.	WFP Local Government Body	Timeline depends when the similar context arises and the similar programme is developed. For FFA activities under climate change adaptation project, 2019/2020	Complete

