Decentralized evaluation for evidence-based decision making Decentralized Evaluation Quality Assurance System (DEQAS) WFP Office of Evaluation



This template provides a suggested structure and guidance on content for the management response to decentralized evaluation recommendations

Version June 2016

Decentralized Evaluation of the Results of WFP's Food Assistance to Temporarily Dislocated Persons in Pakistan from 2015-2017 **WFP Country Office Pakistan** Action Manage $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{v}$ ment [WFP Respons Country e (Is Office, recomme WFP ndation Regiona Accepted **Status** $\lceil Not \rceil$ Actions to be taken **Implement** R Bureau, partially started/ (Briefly state what actions ation WFP \mathbf{e} accepte <u>In</u> will be taken to address the timeframe Headqu C **Recommendation Text (**as per evaluation report**) d** or not progres recommendation) arters, [Month, s/On accepted Externa Yearl hold/ ?. If Complet partially Stakeho <u>e</u>1 accepted lders or not (UN accepted Agency, , provide Govern a brief ment response body, Donor] Support for those temporarily dislocated persons that will remain **Partially** The proposed recommendation is March 2019 WFP and once GFD distributions will finish. **Accepted** not fully aligned with the current relevant (subject to approach. WFP provides final degovernme In the light of the persistent food insecurity situation Temporarily assistance to temporarily notification nt <u>dislocated persons</u>, and the likelihood that some of them will dislocated persons only during issued by the departme not return to their places of origin after current GFD interventions their displacement period, and for nts relevant come to an end, nor have access to sustainable livelihoods, in six months after their return to the government collaboration with the relevant Government departments, WFP CO areas of origin. CO agrees to the department)

Decentralized Evaluation of the Results of WFP's Food Assistance to Temporarily Dislocated Persons in Pakistan from 2015-2017 **WFP Country Office Pakistan** Action Manage $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{v}$ ment [WFP Respons Country e (Is Office, recomme WFP ndation Regiona Accepted **Status** $\lceil Not \rceil$ Actions to be taken **Implement** R Bureau, partially started/ (Briefly state what actions ation \mathbf{e} WFPaccepte <u>In</u> will be taken to address the timeframe C Headqu **Recommendation Text (**as per evaluation report**) d** or not progres recommendation) arters, [Month, s/On accepted Externa Yearl hold/ ?. If Complet partially Stakeho <u>e</u>1 accepted lders or not (UN accepted Agency, , provide Govern a brief ment response body,) Donor] finding that support is needed should determine the most adequate food assistance intervention to beyond the current return cover the needs of the vulnerable displaced population in Federally programme. CO considers it Administered Tribal Areas (FATA)¹. To this end the CO should: critical to address the needs of the -Conduct jointly with the GoP (PDMA), in collaboration with FATA remaining population in the most

¹ FATA (Federally Administered Tribal Areas) have been merged with adjoining province of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and the tribal Agencies are now called as Tribal Districts, however, for keeping the consistency with the evaluation report, the management response uses the word FATA rather than Tribal Districts.

Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report) #	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
Line Departments, in the next 3 months, a joint assessment involving United Nations agencies, the Food Security Working Group and WFP, that informs precisely on the needs of the remaining temporarily <u>dislocated persons</u> . -Establish the most appropriate assistance intervention (food distributions or cash support) depending on the results of the		underdeveloped regions of FATA during the transition phase. CO will examine programmatic options to establish the most appropriate approach. This may include conducting joint assessments with key stakeholders			

Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted , partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
assessment.		for collecting additional information to update the programme design. A more			
		comprehensive approach is			
		needed including, for instance,			
		utilization of existing social safety			
		nets or developing social			
		protection instruments.			

	Rec.#	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
		(Programmatic): Identification of best practices and lessons learnt	Partially	CO agrees with the suggestions	WFP	September -	
		on FFA activities to date so as to adapt future interventions.	Accepted	made, but does not define that as impact assessment. CO will rather		December 2018	
In	ord	er to understand the success, failures, best practices and lessons learnt from the FFA activities to date, and as such to maximise their		assess 'effect', processes and			
		contribution to beneficiaries' income generating and agricultural		different costs related to implementation of the			
		production benefits, by 01 September 2018, the CO should:		intervention. WFP will carry out			
	+	Undertake an impact assessment of FFA activities to evaluate the		the exercise to document 'the			

Decentralized Evaluation of the Results of WFP's Food Assistance to Temporarily Dislocated Persons in Pakistan from 2015-2017 **WFP Country Office Pakistan** Action Manage $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{v}$ ment [WFP Respons Country e (Is Office, recomme WFP ndation Regiona Accepted **Status** $\lceil Not \rceil$ Actions to be taken **Implement** R Bureau, partially started/ (Briefly state what actions ation \mathbf{e} WFPaccepte <u>In</u> will be taken to address the timeframe C Headqu **Recommendation Text (**as per evaluation report**) d** or not progres recommendation) arters, [Month, s/On accepted Externa Yearl hold/ ?. If partially Complet Stakeho <u>e</u>] accepted lders or not (UN accepted Agency, , provide Govern a brief ment response body,)

disseminated.

impact of projects implemented, and trainings and information

-The impact assessment should include a review of beneficiary

with those of other implementing agencies in the region.

selection practices and a cost comparison analysis of WFP activities

Donor]

effect', processes and costs of the

help to compare different features

of interventions in the programme

intervention e.g. in the form of

case studies. This approach will

being implemented in various

FATA agencies.

		Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted , partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
With	(Strategic): Targeted FFA support to the most food insecure FATA districts. (Incorporating the best practices identified in Recommendation 2). respect to those FATA Agency districts that have the lowest food security indicators, as identified in the FATA in-depth food security analysis, the United Nations Vulnerability Assessment, or WFP VAM reports, and as such therefore can be considered most in need of	Accept ed	WFP has already adopted this strategy. WFP and OCHA studies categorized tehsil based on vulnerabilities and within tehsils, villages are selected based on IDPs numbers, prioritized needs and other partners on ground.	WFP	Already in implementatio n	

1		Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	continued sustained support, the WFP CO, in collaboration with the FATA Government Line departments, should target future FFA interventions. The CO would need to:		At village level, activities are identified through community based participatory approaches with the help of technical staff			
	-Identify, by Agency, the most vulnerable districts. ² -Conduct community level livelihood needs assessments in the		from cooperating partner with the objective to provide immediate and long terms benefits to the			

 $^{^{2}}$ In this respect continued WFP support to such surveys as the FATA in depth FSL Assessment would be beneficial.

Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Head qu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	vulnerable groups.			
nese				
t tr	ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted rt) partially accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted partially accepted or not accepted provide a brief	ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted rt) Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation) Accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response) vulnerable groups.	ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted rt) Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation) Accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response) vulnerable groups. By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted / partially accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted provide a brief response) Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation) Bureau, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN) Agency, Govern ment body, Donor] vulnerable groups.

	R e c · #	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report) sustainable livelihoods building on the results of the assessment.	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted or not accepted or not accepted or not accepted partially accepted or hot accepted or not accepted provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	4	Recommendation 4 (Programmatic): Equitable targeting of FFA	Accepte d	FATA environment is very	WFP	September	
		<u>assistance and increased support to women beneficiaries.</u> (Incorporating the best practices identified in Recommendation 2).	u	complex. However, keeping in view FATA culture, local norms		2018	
				and environment, continuous			
30	as	to attain required levels of equitable FFA funding, and as such to		efforts will be made to address			

R e C Recommendation Text (as per evaluation repo	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
increase FFA project support to female participants, the num female focused FFA recovery and developmental activities ne be increased. To do so, by 01 September 2018, the CO needs the light of the lig	eds to o : ch as	women needs through their direct participation in women specific activities or ensuring their participation in prioritization exercise which will help them to identify those activities which will bring direct benefit to women.			

]	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted , partially accepted d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	- Train WFP and CP staff on how to implement such projects.		Moreover, female staff in cooperating partners' field level			
	- Implement such activities taking into account the lessons learnt		agreements (FLAs) will be			
	from the FFA impact assessment mentioned in recommendation		increased to ensure increase			
	2.		women participation where ever possible.			
	- Within this process future FFA projects that target disabled		ן איסיפורובי			

	Rec. #	community members and adolescent males and females should	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted or not accepted or not accepted or not accepted partially accepted or not accepted provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
-		also be identified.					
ln	5 or	Recommendation 5 (Administrative): Improve the FFA participant payment process. der to meet programmatic objectives in terms of supporting FFA participants the FFA participant payment system needs to be	Accepte d	The current CBT model has been designed as per corporate guidelines and available financial services. However, as per the	WFP	To start immediately (July 2018)	

R e C Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report) #	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted , partially accepte d or not accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
immediately reviewed in terms of procedural efficiency. To do this the WFP CO need to:		evaluation recommendation, the CO will undertake the following steps:			
- Set a benchmark of two or three weeks as an acceptable payment deferment period between the completion of the project and the participant receiving payment.		As part of CBT SOPs revision, a cash disbursement flow chart will be established detailing how much			

	R e C Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report) #	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	-Analyse the current payment system to see if it can meet such benchmarks.		time is required at each level. After critical analysis, timelines will			
	-If this is not realistic, then an alternative to the banking-based		be shortened wherever possible			
	transfer system needs to be established as well as alternative payment options for when systems fail to meet deadlines.		and any delays experienced during implementation will be addressed			
L	payment options for when systems fail to meet dedunites.		immediately by the SO manager.			

Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
 Furthermore, how to inject greater flexibility as to who can collect payment needs also to be incorporated into this review. Implement any improvements as soon as they are identified. 		2. As per revised CBT SOPs, the immediate family members will be entitled to receive the cash of registered beneficiaries in case of			
		any unusual case where by all efforts it is impossible to bring the beneficiary in person for collection			

R e c · #	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
			of cash. As per current experience a very small caseload of Non-CNIC participants has been reported inside FATA. 3. It is expected that current ICT and financial service providers' structures will further improve in			

#	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
			FATA during rehabilitation efforts. WFP will continuously adjust its CBT model as per available infrastructure.	,		
6	Recommendation 6 (Administrative): Improve the FLA contracting	Accepte	Field Level Agreements for ER-FFA	WFP	Already	

	R R Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted , partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
So th	system. nat a CP's FLA duration matches with programmatic needs and that CPs have sufficient time for accurate beneficiary targeting, project follow up, and support for training/IG schemes, the current FLA contractual procedures for FFA partners also need to be reviewed immediately. The WFP CO needs to:	d	are done for the duration based on our agreements with donors. WFP corporate guidelines don't allow to raise agreements without any funds commitments. However, CO will more pro-active in identifying possible CP's and keep		partially in practice. Pending points to start in July	

R e c · · #	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	 Identify current procedural blockages that delay the signature of contracts. 		a record of past performances in order to accelerate the hiring process as much as possible.			
	- Establish a minimum requirement that FFA contracts should last for 6 months.		The NGO and proposal selection			
	- Review the possibility of engaging fewer CPs for longer FLA i.e.		process is currently under revision with a focus on greater			

Rec. #	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	long term agreements. - Make changes to the contractual procedures as soon as improvements are identified.		transparency and tighter procedures, which would further reduce existing time required for selection of cooperating partners.			
			In addition, CO will look into alternative options to CPs.			

	R e c · #	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	7	Recommendation 7 (Administrative): Investigate the benefits of decentralising administrative authority to the Peshawar office.	Accepte d	Provincial Offices have the lead in processing the payment lists of the	WFP	September 2018	
In	ord	decentralising administrative authority to the FCA payment procedures as well as the FLA contractual processes, how much centralised authority can be released from Islamabad and handed over to the Peshawar office to WFP CO should immediately, in line with the two	-	beneficiaries. The payment lists are shared with CO for only transferring the funds in FSP account which is done by CO finance unit through Secured File			

1	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted , partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	previous recommendations: - Identify time delays that occur as information is passed between		Transfer Protocol and all related information is shared with the FSP through a dedicated email address			
	 the two offices. Initiate an internal study as to what benefits could accrue from the decentralisation of some financial and administrative powers 		maintained by CO designated personnel only. CO will explore all possible options and decentralize the process as much as possible.			

R e c #	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	 to the Peshawar office. Implement such changes identified line with the FFA payment procedures and the FLA review. 		The provincial offices are being engaged in the selection process of cooperating partner including capacity assessments, micro assessments, technical and financial review of budgets. In			

11 6 0	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Head qu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
			addition, performance assessments of the existing partners are also carried out at			
			provincial level which is important for future selection of any partner.			
8	Recommendation 8 (Programmatic): Realign monitoring practices in line with programmatic activities.	Accepte d	Existing M&E tools will be reviewed whereby a more	WFP	September- October 2018	

R e C Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report) #	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Head qu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
As operations move away from the GFDs towards more developmental FFA interventions the internal monitoring system need to follow suit. By 01 September 2018, the WFP CO need to update its procedures so that: -Monitoring activities should upgrade their predominantly process specific practices to a more participatory approach supporting		participatory approach will be adopted under which greater emphasis will be in placed on conducting focus group discussions with the communities (including female beneficiaries) to ascertain the benefits of WFP's			

R e c c #	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	programmatic results/impact orientated activities that focus on maximising the benefit of FFA projects and trainings in terms of their sustainability and income generating potential. - More Focus group discussions are undertaken specifically with female beneficiaries so as to elicit their feedback and gather information on project impact.		food assistance. Information on utilization of WFP provided food assistance is already collected. However, based on the post distribution monitoring data, a report will be prepared and shared with all relevant stakeholders.			

R e c #	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted or not accepted or not accepted or not accepted provide a brief response	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	- The practice of providing narrative specific or post distribution monitoring reports also needs to be initiated by mid-2018. Example studies could include assessments on beneficiary concerns regarding the quality of the wheat flour and why some beneficiaries sell their produce, or beneficiary concerns regarding the sell by date on the wheat flour sacs. This information should		Additional information will also be gathered through follow-up sessions if specific issues, such as selling of small quantities of food, or poor quality of food etc. are identified during post distribution monitoring.			

	Rec. #	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
		be shared with donors and the GoP to increase WFP transparency.	Accents	W/FD is alwayd waydding in	MED	Camtavaha:	
	9	Recommendation 9 (Strategic): Improve inter-agency co-ordination	Accepte d	WFP is already working in partnership with other UN	WFP	September 2018	
In	ord	ler to improve programmatic impact as well as to reduce costs, by 01		organizations specially UNDP and			
		September 2018, the CO, in co-operation with other United Nations agencies operative in FATA needs to:		FAO and is a consortia member under DFID funding. This			

##	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted , partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	 Discuss and agree how to improve co-operation and co-ordination in the field and as such how to increase joint assessment, implementation, and monitoring visits. Establish protocols in terms of sharing information on planned site visits. 		partnership will be further strengthened by working in the same region and with the same targeted groups - wherever possible.			

II 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted , partially accepted d or not accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	- Establish protocols in terms of providing feedback on visits undertaken.					
1	Recommendation 10 (Strategic): Capacity Building of FATA Government Line Departments.	Partially Accepted	FATA remains one of the most underdeveloped region of the country. WFP does not intend to	WFP	December 2018	

Decentralized Evaluation of the Results of WFP's Food Assistance to Temporarily Dislocated Persons in Pakistan from 2015-2017 **WFP Country Office Pakistan** Action Manage $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{v}$ ment [WFP Respons Country **e** (*Is* Office, recomme WFP ndation Regiona Accepted **Status** $\lceil Not \rceil$ Actions to be taken **Implement** R Bureau, partially started/ (Briefly state what actions ation \mathbf{e} WFPaccepte <u>In</u> will be taken to address the timeframe Headqu C **Recommendation Text (**as per evaluation report**) d** or not progres recommendation) arters, [Month, s/On accepted Externa Yearl hold/ ?. If partially Complet Stakeho e1 accepted lders or not (UN accepted Agency, , provide Govern a brief ment response body,) Donor] reduce its presence in FATA, but As WFP reduce their presence in the FATA, handing over the long-term rather augment it to contribute to management and support of ongoing activities to the FATA the needs of the vulnerable government line departments seems a natural progression. In order

population for successful

transitioning from humanitarian to

development support. Through

to bolster the capacity of these departments and as such support

the sustainability of the FFA projects implemented to date, the WFP

CO need to:

1 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	 -Undertake a capacity assessment of the line departments in order to inform a future capacity strengthening approach of WFP, considering institutional, individual and enabling environment capacity gaps identified. -Undertake trainings on areas already specified by the departments themselves as requiring support: food distributions, warehouse 		interventions proposed under the CSP, WFP will continue to address the needs of most vulnerable population and ensure to closer collaboration with the different line departments for capacity			

R e c #	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted or not accepted or not accepted or not accepted provide a brief response	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Head qu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
	management, monitoring standards, and managing working relations with CPs, TPMs, and other external partners. -Based on the above, a handover plan between the two organisations identifying organisational development objectives and highlighting how this should be achieved should established by the end of the third quarter 2018.		strengthening. Additionally, as proposed the actions under this recommendation will be considered and implemented as			

F e c #	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted partially accepte d or not accepted ?. If partially accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response)	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
			part of WFP's adjusted presence in FATA. It should be noted; a notification has recently been issued for merging FATA with KP Province.			

R e c #	Recommendation Text (as per evaluation report)	Manage ment Respons e (Is recomme ndation Accepted , partially accepte d or not accepted or not accepted or not accepted , provide a brief response	Actions to be taken (Briefly state what actions will be taken to address the recommendation)	Action By [WFP Country Office, WFP Regiona l Bureau, WFP Headqu arters, Externa l Stakeho lders (UN Agency, Govern ment body, Donor]	Implement ation timeframe [Month, Year]	Status [Not started/ In progres s/On hold/ Complet e]
			Under this scenario development consultation will be maintained with relevant government departments and future course of action will be defined based on			
			agreed action plan with them.			

