
COUNTRY STRATEGIC PLAN REVISION**REVISION****China Country Strategic Plan 2017-2021, revision 2**

Gender and age marker code: 1

	Current	Change	Revised
Duration	<i>01 March 2017 – 31 December 2021</i>	<i>01 January-30 June 2022</i>	<i>30 June 2022</i>
Beneficiaries	<i>Total</i>	<i>Increase/decrease</i>	<i>Revised total</i>
Total cost (USD)	<i>\$31,254,152</i>	<i>\$3,526,804</i>	<i>\$34,780,956</i>
Transfer	\$22,488,941	\$2,987,654	\$25,476,595
Implementation	\$900,000		\$900,000
Direct support costs	\$5,941,680	\$323,899	\$6,265,579
Subtotal	\$29,330,621	\$3,311,553	\$32,642,174
Indirect support costs	\$1,923,531	\$215,251	\$2,138,782

China country strategic plan 2017-2021, revision 2

1. RATIONALE

- The s-PRP for China CSP 2022-2025 was undertaken on 10 May 2021. The conclusion and the decision of the SPRP is that the 2G CSP would go to the EB session in June 2022. This would allow the CSP to feed into the discussions on the new CSP and also be aligned to new Strategic Plan 2022-2026. The China CSP was one of the 15 CSPs that had originally been scheduled for 2021 but had been deferred to 2022, in large part to enable them to be aligned with the new Strategic Plan.
- As a result, the current CSP was advised by the SPRP committee to be extended for six months under CD authority, i.e. from 1 January 2022 to 30 June 2022.

2. CHANGES

Strategic orientation

- There is no change in strategic orientation from the last revision.

Strategic outcomes

- There is no change in strategic outcomes. Implementation for all current SOs and Activities will remain the same.

Beneficiary analysis

- Indicate in table 1 changes in planned beneficiary numbers (tier 1). For time extensions indicate in the increase/decrease column the number of beneficiaries during the extension period.
- China CSP is capacity strengthening modality. There is no tier 1 beneficiary. The table 1 is not applicable.

Strategic Outcome	Activity ¹	Period	Women (18+ years)	Men (18+ years)	Girls (0-18 years)	Boys (0-18 years)	Total
1	1	Current					
		Increase/decrease					
		Revised					
	2	Current					
		Increase/decrease					
		Revised					
2	3	Current					
		Increase/decrease					
		Revised					
	4	Current					
		Increase/decrease					

¹ Indicate whether transfer is in the form of in-kind, CBT or capacity strengthening. If more than one modality per activity, duplicate the rows.

		Revised total					
#	#	Current					
		Increase/decrease					
		Revised					
	#	Current					
		Increase/decrease					
		Revised					
TOTAL (without overlap)		Current					
		Increase/decrease					
		Revised					

Transfers

- China CSP is capacity strengthening modality. There is no food or cash transfer. The below table 2 and 3 are not applicable.

TABLE 2: FOOD RATION (g/person/day) ² or CASH-BASED TRANSFER VALUE (USD/person/day) BY STRATEGIC OUTCOME AND ACTIVITY									
Strategic outcome	1				2				
Activity	1			2, etc. (if multiple activities lead to a strategic outcome)			3, etc.		
Beneficiary type									
Modality (indicate food or CBT)									
Cereals									
Pulses									
Oil									
Salt									
Sugar									
Supercereal									
Supercereal Plus									
micronutrient powder									
total kcal/day (to be completed for food and cash modalities)									
% kcal from protein									
Cash-based transfers (USD/person/day; use average as needed)									
Number of feeding days per year									

² Verify that the ration is in line with WFP guidelines in terms of energy, protein, fat and micronutrient content, using the NUTVAL food basket calculator on the PGM, along with specific WFP programmatic guidance. For commodity vouchers and cash-based transfer values see the relevant manual.

TABLE 3: TOTAL FOOD/CASH-BASED TRANSFER REQUIREMENTS AND VALUE						
	Current budget		Increase		Revised budget	
	Total (mt)	Total (USD)	Total (mt)	Total (USD)	Total (mt)	Total (USD)
Cereals						
Pulses						
Oil and Fats						
Mixed and blended foods						
Other						
TOTAL (food)						
Cash-based transfers (USD)						
TOTAL (food and CBT value – USD)						

3. COST BREAKDOWN

- Summarize and justify the main changes in costs and reflect in table 4.

TABLE 4: COST BREAKDOWN OF THE REVISION ONLY (USD)						
	SR2	SR3	SR4	SR5	SR7	Total
Strategic outcome	Strategic Outcomes 1	Strategic Outcomes 2	Strategic Outcomes 3	Strategic Outcomes 4	Strategic Outcomes 5	
Focus area						
Transfer	\$1,064,978	\$1,130,493		\$507,350	\$284,833	
Implementation						
Direct support costs	<i>(no figures in the grey cells)</i>					\$323,899
Subtotal						\$3,311,553
Indirect support costs						\$215,251
TOTAL						\$3,526,804

TABLE 5: OVERALL CSP/ICSP/LEO COST BREAKDOWN, AFTER REVISION (USD)						
	SR2	SR3	SR4	SR5	SR7	Total
Strategic outcome	Strategic Outcomes 1	Strategic Outcomes 2	Strategic Outcomes 3	Strategic Outcomes 4	Strategic Outcomes 5	
Focus area						
Transfer	\$5,509,834	\$5,575,349	\$4,210,269	\$7,786,948	\$2,394,195	\$25,476,595
Implementation	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000			\$900,000
Direct support costs	\$1,295,173	\$1,302,276	\$1,124,908	\$1,999,987	\$543,235	\$6,265,579
Subtotal	\$7,105,007	\$7,177,626	\$5,635,177	\$9,786,935	\$2,937,430	\$32,642,174
Indirect support costs						\$2,138,782
TOTAL						\$34,780,956