WFP EVALUATION

Baseline Study of USDA McGovern Dole International Food for Education and Child

3

e

Nutrition (FFECN) Programme in Nepal FY20, Nepal (2020-2024)

Decentralized McGovern-Dole Baseline Evaluation Report

[WFP Nepal Country Office] Agreement Number: [FFE-367-2020-012-00] Funding Year: Fiscal Year 2020 Project Duration: [2020-2024]



World Food Programme

SAVING LIVES CHANGING LIVES



1 March, 2022

Key personnel for the evaluation

[WFP Nepal] Evaluation Manager Stuti Amatya

PREPARED BY:

Udbodh Rijal, Team Leader Deependra Kaji Thapa, SHN Expert Subas Risal, Gender and Qualitative Expert Dinesh Thapa, Literacy and EGRA Expert Niranjan Dhungel, Evaluation Manager

Acknowledgments

New ERA wishes to express its sincere and heart-felt thanks and appreciation to the World Food Programme (WFP), Nepal for entrusting us with the responsibility to undertake the "Baseline Study for United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Supported McGovern Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme in Nepal 2020-2024".

Our deep appreciation goes to WFP Monitoring Evaluation Review and Knowledge Management (MREKM) and the programme team. Their technical inputs and guidance were invaluable throughout this study. Thanks go particularly to Ms. Kanta Khanal, Ms. Sangita Bista, and Ms. Stuti Amatya from the WFP for their continued support throughout the whole process of the study.

Furthermore, the study team would also like to thank Education Review Office (ERO) for providing feedback on the tools and providing the national Early Grade Reading Assessment (EGRA) tool, Nepali language classroom observation tool for early grades, and other invaluable suggestions and supports. We would also like to extend our thanks to the partner organizations (Mercy Corps, Integrated Development Society, and World Education) for taking the time to review the tools and contributing to the training of the field researchers.

Last but not the least, our warmest and most respectful thanks go to respondents from the school (Head Teachers, Nepali language Teachers in Early Grade Reading (EGR), School Health and Nutrition (SHN) Focal Teachers, Cooks, School Management Committees (SMCs), Students and Parents), the members from the farmer groups, storekeepers, Center for Education and Human Resource Development (CEHRD), Food for Education Programme (FFEP), Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (MoEST), District Education Coordination Units (EDCUs) of the six programme districts, Municipality Officials, Ministry of Social Development (MoSD) at Dhangadhi and Surkhet, WFP sub-offices at Dipayal-Silghadhi and Surkhet, and partner organizations for providing their valuable time for interviews and sharing their experiences with the survey team. We believe that the study report would help provide important information about the programme to all concerned.

Disclaimer

The opinions expressed in this report are those of the Evaluation Team and do not necessarily reflect those of the World Food Programme or the US Department of Agriculture (USDA). Responsibility for the opinions expressed in this report rests solely with the authors. Publication of this document does not imply endorsement by WFP or USDA of the opinions expressed.

The designation employed and the presentation of material in maps do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WFP concerning the legal or constitutional status of any country, territory, or sea area, or concerning the delimitation of frontiers.

Table of Contents

	le of Contents	
	of Figures	
	of Tables	
Exec	cutive Summary	İV
1.	Introduction	1
	1.1 EVALUATION FEATURES	
	1.2 CONTEXT	2
2.	Subject of the Baseline, Theory of Change, and Baseline Questions	c
Ζ.	2.1 SUBJECT OF THE BASELINE, THEORY OF CHANGE, ACTIVITIES, AND INTENDED OUTPUTS	
	AND OUTCOMES	
	2.2 EVALUATION QUESTION AND EVALUATION CRITERIA	
		12
3.	Evaluation Approach and Methodology for Baseline Data Collection	13
	3.1 EVALUATION APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY	13
	3.2 BASELINE DATA COLLECTION METHODS AND TOOLS	14
	3.3 LIMITATIONS	16
	3.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE OF THE BASELINE DATA COLLECTION	17
	3.5 ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	18
4.	Baseline Findings and Discussions	19
5.	Conclusions and Lessons	56
AN	NEXES	
Ann	ex 1: Summary Terms of Reference	61
Ann	ex 2: Baseline Timeline	64
	ex 3: FY20 Programme Districts	
	ex 4: Project Indicators	
	ex 5: Theory of Change	
	ex 6: Evaluation Criteria and Questions for Midterm and End-line Evaluation	
	ex 7: Evaluation Matrix for Baseline ex 8: Performance Monitoring Plan (PMP)	
	ex 9: Data Collections Tools	
AIIII	A: Quantitative Tools	
	B: Qualitative Tools	
۵nn	ex 10: Methodology	
	ex 11: Tables of Baseline Findings on Performance Indicators	
	ex 12: Baseline Value and Annual Targets	
	ex 13: Detailed Stakeholders Analysis	
	ex 14: Conflict of Interest forms	
Ann	ex 15: List of People Interviewed	277
Ann	ex 16: Bibliography	279
Ann	ex 17: Acronyms	282

List of Figures

Figure 4.1:	Students receiving school meals on all school days	20
Figure 4.2 (a):	Average attendance rate	22
Figure 4.2 (b):	Attendance rate based on headcount	22
Figure 4.3:	Average retention rate	23
Figure 4.4:	Percentage of school-age children meeting minimum diet diversity	24
Figure 4.5:	Awareness on benefits of school meal program among parents	26
Figure 4.6:	Percentage of schools having an improved toilet	29
Figure 4.7:	Percentage of adolescent girls receiving weekly Iron Folic Acid Supplementation	31
Figure 4.8:	Percentage of schools with provision of sanitary pads	32
Figure 4.9:	Percentage of schools with IEC/BCC package	33
Figure 4.10:	Percentage of schools conducting annual health screening	34
Figure 4.11:	Percentage of school children demonstrating child health and nutrition practices	35
Figure 4.12:	Percentage of schools practicing segregated waste management	36
Figure 4.13:	Percentage of absent students due to illness	37
Figure 4.14:	Percentage of adolescent girls practicing hygienic menstrual behaviour	38
Figure 4.15:	Percentage of children reporting handwashing at critical times	39
Figure 4.16:	Percentage of students reporting hand washing practice at critical moments	40
Figure 4.17:	Scores on listening comprehension	42
Figure 4.18:	Scores on letter decoding	42
Figure 4.19:	Scores on oral reading fluency	43
Figure 4.20:	Scores on reading comprehension	44
Figure 4.21:	Distribution of the scores of the students in reading comprehension	44
Figure 4.22:	Relationship between fluency and comprehension by gender and mother tongue	45
Figure 4.23:	Percentage of Nepali teachers using new and quality teaching	46
Figure 4.24:	Percentage of Head Teachers demonstrating the use of new techniques or tools	47
Figure 4.25:	Percentage of farmers practicing improved agricultural practice	48
Figure 4.26:	OPI related results in radar chart	49

List of Tables

Table 1.1:	Period of school closure due to COVID pandemic	1
Table 1.2:	Comparison of Karnali and Sudhur Paschim province with national level	3
Table 2.1:	Annual Beneficiary Figures	7
Table 2.3:	Resources allocated by activities	8
Table 2.3:	Commodity Purchase Breakdown by Commodity and Origin	.10
Table 2.4:	Evaluation Questions for the Baseline Survey	.12
Table 3.1:	Qualitative Data Collection Method, Levels and Type of Study Participants	.15
Table 4.1:	Percentage of parents and school-going children aware of the benefits of SMP	.24
Table 4.2:	Percentage of school cooks demonstrating safe food preparation and storage practices	.26
Table 4.3:	Percentage of schools using an improved drinking water source	. 27
Table 4.4:	Percentage of School Children Receiving Deworming Medication(s) at School	. 29
Table 4.5:	Percentage of schools having toilet with sanitary pads disposal bin	.31
Table 4.6:	Percentage of schools celebrating national sanitation-related campaign at the community	
	level	. 33
Table 4.7:	Percentage of schools conducting annual health screening, by different categories	. 34
Table 4.8:	Percentage of school-age children with good personal hygiene	. 37
Table 4.9:	Scores of the grade three students on individual six subtasks of EGRA	.40
Table 4.10:	Fluency Category of Students	.42
Table 4.11:	Relation between Fluency and Comprehension	
Table 4.12:	Scoring process in the calculation of OPI	.49

Executive Summary

Overview of the Study

- This report presents findings from a Baseline Survey (BLS) of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition (FFECN) Programme in Nepal (FY20). The McGovern-Dole FY20 is managed by the World Food Programme (WFP) in close coordination with the Ministry of Education Science and Technology (MoEST), Government of Nepal (GoN). The BLS was carried out by an independent research firm (New ERA) and was undertaken between August 2021 and March 2022. This baseline is the first phase of the series and will be followed by a midterm (MT) evaluation in 2023, and an end-line (EL) evaluation in 2024.
- WFP is implementing the McGovern-Dole FFECN Programme in six districts (Jajarkot, Achham, Doti, Bajura, Bajhang, and Darchula) of Karnali and Sudurpashchim provinces from 2020 to 2024. The programme has six major activities (a) Food Distribution; (b) Support Improved Safe Food Preparation, Handling, and Storage; (c) Provide an Integrated Package of School Health and Nutrition Interventions; (d) Promote Improved Literacy; (e) Promote Improved Nutrition: Sustainable Transition to Home-Grown School Meals; and (f) Capacity Building Supporting Transition through Local and Provincial Capacitation.
- 3. The objectives of the overall evaluation series are both accountability and learning. The purpose of the BLS is to establish the baseline data for standard and custom outcome indicators in line with the approved Performance Monitoring Plan (PMP), and provide a situational analysis before the project begins, and the context necessary for the midterm and end-line evaluations to assess coherence, relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, sustainability, and impact of the project.
- 4. The expected user of this report includes the WFP country office, regional bureau, government, implementing partners, development partners working in the area of education, and USDA.
- 5. Besides, a special study (delivered separately and not included in this report) was nested in this BLS to explore the factors contributing to the literacy performance of school-age children besides school meals and standard academic curriculum.

Methodology

- 6. A non-experimental cross-sectional design covering the programme areas was used for the BLS. This will enable the assessment of the temporal changes throughout midterm and end-line evaluations. A mixed-method approach with both quantitative and qualitative components including review of records, on-site observation, and Early Grade Reading Assessment (EGRA) of grade three students were employed for the data collection.
- 7. Altogether 330 public schools out of 2415 schools covered by the programme were sampled from the six districts. Head teachers (n = 330); Nepali teachers teaching Nepali in grade three (n = 136, only in Bajhang, Bajura and Darchula); School health and nutrition (SHN) teachers (n = 212, only in Achham, Bajhang, Bajura and Darchula); students (n = 2087 from grades 4-8 and n = 3979 for EGRA); parents (n = 1849); and school cooks (n = 78) were the key respondents from the school. Similarly, farmer groups/cooperatives (n = 18, three per district); farmers (n = 52); and storekeepers (n = 12; two per district) managing the distribution of food under the WFP implemented school meal programme (SMP) were other respondents. Besides, 39 key informant interviews (KIIs) with a range of stakeholders at the federal, provincial, district, and local level and with the school management committees; 24 focus group discussions (FGDs) with adolescent girls and parents/communities; and organization performance index (OPI) workshops at the municipalities (two per district) comprised other sources of information.
- 8. The analysis was geared towards establishing the baseline values of twenty-nine performance indicators. Additionally, evaluation questions and sub-questions were developed to explore the coherence, relevance, and sustainability aspects of the programme. The initial findings on these three aspects from the baseline will be further elaborated and deepened during the MT and EL. The other three aspects of the evaluation, namely effectiveness, efficiency, and impact will be assessed during the MT and EL.
- 9. The study faced a few challenges. Unavailability of school records on school meal distribution and SHN related aspects was a key limitation. Unavailability of some target populations such as school cooks at the

time of the survey was another challenge. The survey was conducted at time which was somewhat atypical in that the schools were closed for an extended period of time due to COVID-19 until a few months prior to the survey. This might have some bearing on the literacy performance of the grade three as assessed by the study team. Besides, many schools were found to be closed during the time of the survey due to local festivals, and some students were still absent when the schools reopened and headcount of the students could be conducted.

Summary of Key Findings

Activity 1-Food Distribution

- 10. **SBCC Custom 7**: On average, 78 percent of the students received school meals on all school days during the reference period of a month, i.e., September 2021¹. The result was based on only 90 continuing schools which maintained necessary records for the reference period.
- 11. **Standard 2**: Students attended school on 61 percent on average of the school days during the reference period. The average attendance rate was higher in USDA-supported continuing schools and schools with all three interventions (SMP+ EGR+ SHN).
- 12. **Custom 1**: The proportion of schools retaining students was found to be satisfactory (92%) with minor variation across categories of districts, programme, school level, and gender and mother tongue of students.
- 13. **Custom 11**: The mean dietary diversity score for children, as reported by their parents, was 4.8, indicating a child on average consumed food items from 5 food groups. Almost 93 percent of children met the Minimum Diet Diversity (MDD) requirement.
- 14. **Custom 12**: Around 16 percent of the parents having school-going children were aware of the benefits. Among the listed benefits, the majority of the parents identified 'motivates children to go to school' (68%), and 'motivates to stay longer at school' (53%) as the major benefits of the SMP.
- 15. **Custom 14**: Only 13 percent of students could enlist at least 5 benefits of the SMP. Among the benefits, 'motivates to go to school' (51%), and 'motivates to stay longer at school' (43%) were listed more often.

Activity 2- Support Improved Safe Food Preparation, Handling, and Storage

16. **Standard 20**: Around one-third (30%) of school cook demonstrated safe food preparation and storage practice (highest in Darchula 44% and lowest in Jajarkot 22%).

Activity 3- Provide an Integrated Package of School Health and Nutrition Interventions

- 17. **Standard 27**: The observation of the school environment showed that 93 percent of the schools were using an improved source of drinking water. Most of the schools had their main source of drinking water as piped water, while five percent of schools reported students to bring water from home, and two percent did not have any reliable source of drinking water.
- 18. **Standard 28:** Most (94%) schools had an improved sanitation facility. The majority of the schools (81%) had flush latrine (to a septic tank or a closed pit), while nine percent had flush latrine to the piped sewer system. Five (2.4%) schools did not have any sanitation facility.
- 19. **Standard 29:** The survey with students showed that 82 percent of school students received deworming tablets at least once at the school, while 53 percent received it twice during the last academic year.
- 20. **Custom 4**: Only nine percent of adolescent girls reported receiving full dose (i.e., 26 tablets in a year) of iron tablets as recommended by the government of Nepal while more than two-thirds (68%) received at least one tablet at school during the last academic year.
- 21. **Custom 5**: About 83 percent of the basic and secondary schools had the availability of sanitary pads for girls. Provision of sanitary pads was highest in schools from Achham district (97%) and lowest in Bajhang district (59%).

¹ Bhadra 16 to Ashoj 14 2078 B.S. (Bikram Sambat) according to Nepali calendar

- 22. **Custom 6**: About 45 percent of the basic and secondary schools had a toilet with containers/bins for storing/disposing of the used sanitary pads. Provision of containers/bins was low in the WFP programme continuing schools (31%), compared to the new schools (51%). Similarly, a higher proportion of secondary schools had such bins (50%), compared to the basic schools (37%).
- 23. **SBCC Custom 5**: Only 14 percent of schools had at least one set of Information Education and Communication (IEC) and Behavior Change Communication (BCC) packages at school. Bajura had the highest proportion (35%) of schools with EC and BCC packages while Darchula had the lowest (6%).
- 24. **SBCC Custom 6**: About 41 percent of schools were celebrating national sanitation-related campaigns at the community level, with the proportion highest in Achham (57%) and lowest in Bajhang (22%). Secondary level schools were more actively implementing such campaigns (60%), compared to primary² (30%) and basic (40%) schools.
- 25. **Custom 3**: Only nine percent of the schools conducted annual health screening including all five components. More than one-third (38%) of schools had conducted at least one health screening for measuring weight during the last academic year, while one-fourth (25%) had conducted height measurement, and 22 percent conducted vision screening. A relatively fewer proportion of schools conducted other annual health screenings such as hearing tests (12%) and dental check-ups (12%).
- 26. **Standard 19**: About half (49%) of the school students demonstrated appropriate child health and nutrition practices. Such practices were more often observed among students in Darchula (55%), and less often in Bajura (37%). Students from basic (up to grade 8) school and female children demonstrated better practices.
- 27. **Custom 8**: Around 32 percent of schools were having segregated waste management practices according to the nature of waste.
- 28. **SBCC Custom 1**: Around 19 percent of the parents reported that their children had missed at least one school day in the past month due to health-related reasons. Among the children who were absent for health-related reasons (n = 345), the mean number of school days missed was 3.6 per month.
- 29. **SBCC Custom 2**: Around 33% of students were observed having good personal hygiene, with the proportion of students maintaining good personal hygiene highest in Darchula (53%), and lowest in Achham (20%). Students from the WFP project continuing schools showed better personal hygiene (37%) compared to students from new schools (30%).
- 30. **SBCC Custom 3**: Around 79 percent of adolescent girls reported practicing hygienic menstrual behavior. A higher proportion of adolescent girls from new schools (81%) compared to old (WFP programme continuing) schools (75%) practiced hygienic menstrual behavior.
- 31. SBCC Custom 4: Only nine percent of the students reported handwashing at five or more critical moments. A higher proportion of females (13%) reported handwashing at critical moments compared to male (6%) students.

Activity 4- Promoting Improved Literacy

- 32. **Standard 1**: Grade 3 students performed far below the expected target of literacy outcomes with only one percent of the sample of 3,979 students demonstrating that they could read as per the national benchmark of CWPM ≥ 45 in the fluency, and 80 percent or above in the comprehension (4 or more correct answers out of 5). Only a minimal difference was found in the scores across EGRA subtasks, with a marginal gain for students from EGR schools and students with mother tongue Nepali.
- 33. **Standard 4**: Only 18 percent of Nepali subject teachers demonstrated the application of new pedagogical techniques and tools to teach early grade literacy. However, a higher proportion of teachers from WFP/USDA continuing schools (25%) were applying such techniques and tools as compared to 9 percent of teachers in new schools.

² Primary schools are those where highest grade run is grade 5; basic schools are those that run up to grade 8; and secondary schools are those that run grade 9 or above.

- 34. **Standard 6**: Overall, 35 percent of the head teachers demonstrated using the new techniques or tools. Comparatively schools from the three districts where all three programmes (SMP+SHN+EGR) are being implemented demonstrated better results compared to other districts.
- 35. **Custom 9**: Five out of the twelve municipalities had a practice of recognizing and rewarding teachers. Four municipalities from Achham and Bajura did not have such a practice.
- 36. **Custom 13**: Only four out of twelve municipalities had developed or contextualized instructional materials. Four municipalities from Doti and Bajura did not have such a practice.

Activity 5 - Promote Improved Nutrition: Sustainable Transition to Home-Grown School Meals

- 37. **LRP 12**: Around 29 percent of farmers were adopting improved agricultural management practices and technologies (adopting at least 7 out of 10 practices). A higher proportion of farmers were practicing local cultural practices such as mulching, line sowing, weeding, etc. (65%), soil conservation and fertilizer management (62%), and improved seed varieties (60%).
- 38. **FFPr 12**: For all the relevant OPI indicators, the responses from the local government units considered were either 'extremely low performance' or 'low performance'. From the responses, the total average was computed as 1.7 or 2 (round figure). The majority of the responses were 'low performing' which is scored as 2.

Findings on Evaluation Questions

- 39. a. **Relevance**: The USDA McGovern-Dole FY20 is quite relevant as it clearly aligns with beneficiaries' and stakeholders' needs. The programme is innovative in its whole cycle approach to health and education that mainly encompasses improvements in children's attendance in public schools. Key beneficiaries of the project include girls and the socially disadvantaged. The programme appears to benefit particularly those living in remote areas of the two provinces considered for the baseline study. One of the findings however indicates the need of introducing an effective mechanism for monitoring the midday meal. The WFP to strengthen the overall relevance and to produce effective results can work jointly with the local government units.
- 40. b. **Coherence**: The programme is largely guided by clear intention to contribute towards achieving Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 4 which is 'ensuring inclusive and equitable quality education and promoting lifelong learning opportunities for all'. Attainment of quality education sets the stage for the achievements of other SDGs including SDG 3 (good health and well-being) and SDG 6 (clean water and sanitation).
- 41. The programme appears to align with the Nepal government's commitments towards improving children's enrolment in public schools, and reduction in repetition and dropout rates. There are several education-related plans, policies, and programmes that the country has implemented or is currently implementing mainly including Education for All (EFA), School Sector Reform Programme (SSRP), and School Sector Development Plan (SSDP). It is crucial to mention the Education Sector Plan (ESP, 2021-2030) in terms of coherence. The plan accommodates the mid-day meals programme as one of the key components. Likewise, the government has prioritized School Health and Nutrition (SHN) program in light of the significant role that the programme has been playing towards promoting children's enrolment.
- 42. It is however important to consider the challenges in the implementation of the plans and policies. Some of the challenges include i) lack of effective coordination among the three tiers of the government; ii) lack of capacity among the officials particularly at the local government units, and iii) lack of clarity of roles among all tiers of government. This has an overall bearing on the implementation of the USDA McGovern-Dole FY20.
- 43. c. **Sustainability**: Nepal's government is highly invested in a national school meals programme as evidenced by various documents like Education Sector Plan and budget allocation. WFP has well-planned transition strategy where two districts will be transitioned annually to a government-led cash-based school meals programme. The FY20 cycle has incorporated the learnings from the previous cycle to ensure a smooth transition of the handover districts. Preparatory action for handover, continued support post-handover, plan to capacitate local government is some of the key strategies in the FY20 cycle to sustain the benefits generated by the project.

Lessons Learned and Conclusion

44. This study has provided the baseline value of the standard and custom outcome indicators as stipulated in the Performance Monitoring Plan (PMP) and formed the basis for monitoring and evaluating the change in these indicators during the midterm and end-line study. The BLS revealed the need of improving the awareness of SMP among parents and students. Cleanliness of school kitchen, proper ventilation, and installation of improved cooking stove need to be ensured across the schools. Schools in all districts need support in installing handwashing stations ensuring availability of water and soap, construction of gender-separate toilet facilities, waste management, and menstrual hygiene management facilities. Adequate availability and use of health, nutrition, and WASH-related IEC and BCC packages should be ensured in all

schools. School health programme needs to be strengthened, ensuring the adequate provision of deworming tablets to school students, iron and folic acid supplementation to adolescent girls, and annual health screening. Local farmers should be provided adequate knowledge, skills, and resources for adopting improved agricultural management practices and technologies. The BLS results reinforce the importance of McGovern-Dole FFECN Programme interventions to improve the health, hygiene, and nutrition practice of school students, thereby promoting school attendance and reducing dropout. It is expected that the BLS has provided a guideline for designing and implementing the activities of the McGovern-Dole FFECN Programme in Nepal.

- 45. The literacy outcomes of the students as assessed through EGRA are not satisfactory. The findings also corroborate the low use of new and quality teaching techniques and tools by Nepali language teachers and the low use of new techniques or tools by the head teachers. Considering the vital and cross-curricular importance of reading skills, any reforms targeted at improving the literacy outcomes and their associated factors should incorporate a programmatic reform in the input and infrastructures that apply for the students both inside and outside the classroom. Municipalities should prioritize recognizing and rewarding teachers based on performance.
- 46. Lessons learned from data limitations due to lack of school records (such as number of students receiving school meals on all schooldays, health-related absenteeism, number of students receiving deworming medications, adolescent girls receiving iron-folic supplementation, and also attendance and enrollment records in a few cases) need to be accorded a priority, and the school administrators should be encouraged and trained to keep necessary information systematically. Similarly, the unavailability of some target populations (such as school cooks) resulted in a small sample size for the analysis. The programme should reach out to the schools and explore ways to address such issues in the future.

1. Introduction

- 47. This report presents findings from a Baseline Survey (BLS) of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition (FFECN) Programme in Nepal (FY20). The McGovern-Dole FY20 is managed by World Food Programme (WFP) in close coordination with the Ministry of Education Science and Technology (MoEST), Government of Nepal (GoN). This decentralized evaluation series was commissioned by WFP Nepal based on the Terms of Reference attached in **Annex 1**.
- 48. This BLS was carried out by an independent research firm (New ERA). The purpose of the BLS was to establish the current situation against which the midterm (MT) and end-line (EL) evaluations will measure progress towards the targets.
- 49. A special study has been nested in this BLS to explore the factors contributing to the literacy performance of school-age children besides school meals and standard academic curriculum and has made actionable recommendations that could be tracked during the midterm and the end line evaluation. However, there is a separate deliverable for the special report, and therefore has not been included in this report.

1.1 EVALUATION FEATURES

50. The BLS is the first of a series of the three linked evaluations³ for McGovern-Dole FY20 and will be followed by the midterm evaluation in 2023 and the end-line evaluation in 2024. The BLS and the special study were originally scheduled for mid-2021 but were delayed due to COVID-19 pandemic-related school closures. The schools opened in September 2021 and closed again in October 2021 for the national festival. The BLS was thus conducted between August 2021 and February 2022 (data was collected in November and December 2021). The table below shows the period of school closure in 2020 and 2021 due to COVID-19. **Annex 2** presents the detailed timeline followed throughout the BLS.

Academic Year	School Closed Period	School Closed Days
2077 B.S. (April 2020 to April 2021)	23rd March 2020 to 20th November 2020	210
2078 B.S. (April 2021 to April 2022)	16th May 2021 to 1st September 2021 7th October 2021 to 11th November 15th January to 12th February	148

Table 1.1: Period of school closure due to COVID pandemic

- 51. The McGovern-Dole FY20 programme covering the period of November 2020 to October 2024 is the fourth consecutive cycle following FY12-14, FY14-16, and FY18-21. The McGovern-Dole FY20 covers six districts one (Jajarkot) from Karnali Province and five (Doti, Bajhang, Darchula, Achham, and Bajura) from Sudurpashchim Province (see map **Annex 3**). This round of programme focuses on the preparation for handover to the government, by increasing the emphasis on local production and procurement. Unlike FY17, the FY20 covers all schools from six districts. Therefore, 54 percent of the schools in total (57% in the sample) are continued from FY17 while about 46 percent of schools (43% in the sample) are new in FY20. The programme aims to achieve three strategic outcomes a) Improve literacy of school-aged children (McGovern-Dole SO1), b) Increased use of health and dietary practices (McGovern-Dole SO2), and c) Improved effectiveness of food assistance through local and regional procurement (LRP SO1). WFP aims to achieve these three strategic outcomes in collaboration with MoEST Food for Education Project (FFEP) and partnership with sub-recipient World Education (WE), Mercy Corps (MC), and Integrated Development Society (IDS). Beginning with a caseload of 241,621 students in 2,297 schools ranging from pre-primary (Early Childhood Development) to grade 5 in all six districts, WFP will provide mid-day meals consisting of various commodities, for 180 days per year, six days per week.
- 52. The evaluation series has two mutually reinforcing objectives:
- 53. **Accountability:** The evaluation series will assess and report on the performance and results of the McGovern-Dole FY20 by assessing whether targeted beneficiaries have received expected services and

³ The evaluation series for FY20 cycle consists of a baseline (2020-2021), midterm (planned for 2023) and end line evaluation (planned for 2024).

whether programmes have met their stated goals and objectives aligned with the results framework (RF) and assumptions.

- 54. **Learning:** The evaluation series will determine the reasons why certain results occurred or not, draw lessons, derive good practices and pointers for learning. They will provide evidence-based findings to inform operational and strategic decision-making.
- 55. The BLS had the following objectives -
- 56. Establish baseline data for standard and custom outcome indicators in line with the approved Performance Monitoring Plan (PMP).
- 57. Provide a situational analysis before the project begins, and the context necessary for the midterm and endline evaluations to assess the project's coherence, relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, sustainability, and impact. The BLS explored the coherence, relevance, and sustainability aspects of the programme, and these initial findings will be elaborated on and deepened during the MT and EL.
- 58. **Special Study:** The special study that has been nested in the BLS will be repeated in midterm, and end-line evaluation. At baseline, the objective was to explore the factors contributing to the literacy achievement of school-age children besides school meals and standard academic curriculum.
- 59. The evaluation team consulted with several external and internal stakeholders who have an interest in the results of the BLS. As such the expected users of the baseline findings are WFP CO, the host government, direct implementing partners (Mercy Corps, Integrated Development Society Nepal, and World Education), local NGOs, intermediaries of the McGovern-Dole FY20 (schools, local farmers groups/cooperatives, women's groups, and local communities), UN agencies, development partners, I/NGOs working in the education sector, USDA, WFP Regional Bureau of Bangkok, headquarter, and Office of Evaluation. The WFP CO and its implementing partner will use the baseline findings to establish a baseline benchmark for indicators and revisit the target if necessary.
- 60. The research firm, New Era, was contracted for the baseline survey of the evaluation series. The evaluation team was briefed about the McGovern-Dole programme and the decentralized evaluation approach.
- 61. Accountability to the affected population is a crucial aspect of WFP's commitment to include beneficiaries as key stakeholders in their work. The BLS has ensured Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) in the evaluation process through the participation and consultation of women and men, boys and girls of different ages, ethnicity, and socio-economic status. The BLS (methodology, criteria, questions, and the analytical framework) has taken into consideration the UNEG gender equality and human rights principles⁴. The work also adheres to the Humanitarian Principles of humanity, neutrality, impartiality, and independence.
- 62. A team including a Team Leader, an Evaluation Manager, a Literacy Expert, an SHN Expert, a Qualitative/GEDSI Expert, a CAPI Programmer, a Research Assistant, and a team of more than a hundred field staff were involved in this survey. The work began in August 2021; training to the field staff was provided from the fourth week of October to the second week of November, field work was conducted from the third week of November to the third week of December, and data cleaning, analysis, and the preparation of the draft report were done until the second week of January 2022.

1.2 CONTEXT

63. Despite years of several initiatives undertaken by the GoN and development partners to reduce poverty and promote economic growth, Nepal remains one of the world's poorest countries ranking 142 out of 189 countries on the 2019⁵ Human Development Index (HDI). According to the multidimensional poverty index published by the GoN in 2018⁶, 28.6 percent of the population lived in multi-dimensional poverty⁷. The most striking figure is that 95 percent of them are rural and thus the key physical location of intervention by WFP

⁴ UNEG Quality Checklist for Evaluation Reports

⁵ United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Human Development Report, 2020

⁶ The Government of Nepal's multidimensional poverty index study was an internationally comparable measure of acute poverty, created by the Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative with the United Nations Development Programme Human Development Report Office (UNDP HDRO). The study uses DHS and MICS data.

⁷ NPC 2018. Nepal Multidimensional Poverty Index. https://www.npc.gov.np/images/category/Nepal_MPI.pdf

in this programme. The selected two provinces for the FY20 cycle are the westernmost province of Nepal while Karnali province is the largest, poorest, and least densely populated province⁸. Large geographical disparities are evident across the province in poverty incidence, with Karnali and Sudurpashchim Province being poorer than the rest of the country⁹. The table below highlights the comparative diversity of the two provinces in comparison with the national level.

SN	Description	National	Karnali Province	Sudur Paschim Province
1	Total Population	29192480	1694889	2711270
2	Geographical area	147181 sq.km	27,984 sq.km	15,539 sq.km
3	HDI	0.602	0.538	0.547
4	Life expectancy	70.2	67.03	66.93
5	GDP	333671.90 NPR million	4831.38 NPR million	8788.21 NPR million
6	Literacy Rate	67.91%	62.7%	63.48%

Table 1.2: Comparison of Karnali and Sudhur Paschim province with national level

- 64. As provisioned in the Constitution of Nepal promulgated in 2015, the country has transformed into a federal democratic republic with seven provinces and 753 local level governments that include 460 rural municipalities. Under this system, the local level government has been provided with the authority for planning, financing, and delivery of basic education (a year of pre-primary, followed by Grades 1 through 8), secondary education (Grades 9 through 12), and non-formal education programmes. The federal structure in the governance system will bridge the gap between different layers of government, schools, and the community and allow for improved accountability, better-informed curriculum development, promotion of mother tongue-based instruction, and effective education service delivery.
- 65. WFP has started putting its efforts to build the capacity of the MoEST to better provide service delivery in the federal system. WFP is currently expanding its support to federal, provincial, and local governments (LGs) to mitigate the existing challenges to provide education during the transition to federalism and to increase their capacity to ensure inclusive and equitable quality education for all.
- 66. **Education**: Quality education is the necessary foundation to further achieve other Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) such as good health and well-being (SDG 3), clean water and sanitation (SDG 6), and decent work and economic growth (SDG 8). Nepal has committed to fulfilling the right to education of its people and made significant efforts towards ensuring access to educational services by all, including the poor and disadvantaged. National Education Policy 2019 aims to universalize pre-primary education by 2025, provide foundational literacy/numeracy for all by 2025, and improve the educational infrastructures of schools conducive for early childhood education, in cooperation and collaboration with government agencies, public, and organizations¹⁰.
- 67. Despite significant development in SDG 4 (ensuring inclusive and equitable quality education and promoting lifelong learning opportunities for all), some issues need attention. Access to quality education is inequitable and often depends on factors such as gender, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, geographical location, caste, and disability status^{11.} Compared to national rates, there is a huge discrepancy in youth literacy rate by gender, province, and wealth quintile. Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) 2019 has shown about 70% of the women aged 15-24 are literate versus 83% of their male counterparts at the national level. The youth literacy rate for females in Karnali and Sudurpashchim province is lower than the national average of 59% and is lowest among females in the poorest wealth index quintile (52%)^{12.} Children from ethnic minorities, girls, Dalit, and Muslim children tend to have poorer learning outcomes¹³. UNICEF (2016) reports that Dalit communities have the lowest access to basic education amongst the different caste categories and have a

⁸ Census 2021, Center Bureau of Statistics, Nepal Government

⁹ Provincial Poverty in Nepal, https://doi.org/10.3126/pragya.v7il.35170

¹⁰ MoEST 2019. National Education Policy

¹¹ UNICEF. Education Data. Nepal. https://www.unicef.org/nepal/education.

¹² Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey 2019, Central Bureau of Statistics, National Planning Commission, Government of Nepal

¹³ Ministry of Education, WFP and Mastercard (2018) National School Meals Programme in Nepal Cost-Benefit Analysis; UNICEF Nepal (2019) Invest in Every Child.

stark decline in the transition from basic to secondary level education resulting in higher dropout¹⁴. A 2014, USAID-supported nationally representative Early Grade Reading Assessment (EGRA) found that 34 percent of second graders and 19 percent of third-grade students could not read a single word of Nepali^{15.} Similarly, the BLS of McGovern-Dole FY17 revealed that 23 percent of the third graders could not read a single word of Nepali while 30 percent of them could not answer any of the questions correctly¹⁶. Nepal's linguistic, geographical, and socio-economic diversity also affects schools' ability to provide quality education services for all students. The nationally representative EGRA also revealed that students who reported speaking Nepali at home performed better than students speaking another first language¹⁷.

- 68. **Nutrition and Food Security**: SDG 2 aims to end hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition, and promote sustainable agriculture. The Global Food Security Index score of Nepal is 53.7¹⁸. Nepal has a score of 19.1¹⁹ in the Global Hunger Index²⁰ and falls under the moderate category which has substantially come down from 36.8 in 2000. The food insecure population is more concentrated in rural areas²¹ and mountain and hilly zone compared to terai²². Malnutrition rates are still high as stunting for children below age five is 31.5 percent, underweight is 24.3 percent, and wasting is 12 percent. Karnali Province has the highest proportion of stunted, wasted, and underweight children followed by Sudurpashchim province.
- 69. **Agriculture/Smallholder Farmers**: Target 2.3 of SDG 2 aims to double the agricultural productivity and incomes of small-scale food producers, in particular women, indigenous peoples, and family farmers by 2030. In Nepal, Smallholder Farmers (SHF) are spread throughout the vast countryside, often remote and hard to access. According to Food and Agriculture Organization, SHF accounts for roughly 70 percent of the food produced in Nepal. While smallholder farming is one of the main means of income for most working-age adults, there continues to be a need to provide support on establishing income-generating opportunities to most households, and ultimately SHF. According to a 2020 WFP market analysis, the seasonality of locally procurable pulses and legumes varies significantly, as well as the cost, given distances between communities and markets.
- 70. **Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene:** SDG 6 seeks to ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all. The situation surrounding WaSH in Nepal, while steadily improving, remains an area with room for improvement²³. School-level surveys that were done in 2018 and 2019, highlighted the unequal availability of WaSH facilities between the four districts targeted for WaSH interventions (Achham, Bajura, Bajhang, and Darchula). Access to menstrual hygiene and sanitary items is still limited. Sanitary pad disposal facilities within latrines were found in 14.4 percent (Achham), 12.7 percent (Bajura), 12.6 percent (Darchula), and 5.1 percent (Bajhang) of schools²⁴.
- 71. **Gender Analysis**: With a Gender Inequality Index (GII) value of 0.452, Nepal ranks 110th out of 162 countries in gender inequality and discrimination still plague the country^{25.} The female HDI value for Nepal is 0.549, compared to 0.619 for males resulting in a Gender Development Index (GDI) value of 0.886. It suggests that the degree of gender disparity in human development in Nepal is not very high, with the female HDI value only 11.3% lower than that of males. Nepal's GDI value reached 0.886 in 2019 from 0.75 in 1995, an increase

¹⁴ UNICEF 2016. Global Initiative on Out-of-School Children: Nepal Country Study

¹⁵ USAID's Early Grade Reading Programme in Nepal, https://www.usaid.gov/nepal/fact-sheets/usaid-early-grade-reading-program-egrp-nepal

¹⁶ USDA McGovern Dole Food for Education Programme in Nepal, 2018-2021, baseline study report

¹⁷ https://foodsecurityindex.eiu.com/Country (accessed on 27th October 2021)

¹⁸ https://foodsecurityindex.eiu.com/Country (accessed on 27th October 2021)

¹⁹ https://www.globalhungerindex.org/nepal.html

²⁰ GHI uses a 100-point scale, with higher scores indicating higher hunger levels.

²¹ Nepal Demographic and Health Survey 2016. Ministry of Health, Kathmandu, Nepal

²² SDGs and food insecurity in karnali: Results from food insecurity experience scale. Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Development, Central bureau of Statistics, World Food Programme and Food and Agriculture Organization, 2018.

²³ School Sector Development Plan, FY2016/17-2022/23 (B.S. 2073-2080). Government of Nepal, Ministry of Education, Science and Technology. March 2020.

²⁰¹⁸ Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene School-level Survey carried out by the Government of Nepal, WFP and IDS in 10 selected districts in provinces 5,6, and 7

²⁵ Human Development Report 2020, Briefing note for countries on the 2020 Human Development Report, Nepal, http://hdr.undp.org/sites/default/files/Country-Profiles/NPL.pdf

of 18 percent²⁶. Thus, given the objectives of the McGovern-Dole FY20, gender disparities in outcomes, particularly related to health and education as inter-related indicators must be addressed. Doing so will help improve the nutritional status of school children and enhance educational outcomes.

- 72. **Government Programmes and Policies:** To address these interrelated challenges, the GoN has put in place a solid policy framework since 2015. Comprehensive, multi-sectoral policies including the agricultural development strategy 2015-2035, the national action plan for zero hunger 2016-2025, the multi-sector nutrition plan 2018-2022, and the School Sector Development Plan 2016-2023 have been established to ensure Nepal is no longer considered a Least-Developed Country (LDC) by 2022. The 2015 constitution enshrines the right to food, which is further reiterated in the 2018 Right to Food and Food Sovereignty Act. The 2018 Free and Compulsory Basic Education Act states that "*No child will be hungry*" signaling that health and nutrition is an important issues within the education system. These two instruments provide the overarching policy framework for the National School Meals Programme (NSMP), which has gradually grown in reach and stability.
- 73. **School Feeding Needs:** As defined by the World Bank, "School Meals Programme (SMP) is targeted social safety net that provides both educational and health benefits to the most vulnerable children, thereby increasing enrolment rates, reducing absenteeism, and improving food security at the household level"²⁷. The most direct and immediate benefits of SMP are enhanced enrolment and reduced absenteeism rates amongst children. Studies have reported that SMP is one of the few education interventions that show a positive impact on both **school participation** (enrolment, attendance, completion) and **learning** (scores on cognitive, language, and mathematics tests)²⁸ addition to the food security and nutritional benefits, multiple analyses of the School Feeding approach have repeatedly shown that quality education, combined with a guaranteed package of health and nutrition interventions at school, such as school feeding, can contribute to child and adolescent development and build human capital²⁹.
- 74. WFP's Cost-Benefit Analysis report (2018)³⁰ highlights that the investment in school meals is profitable **as a social safety net**, benefiting individuals and communities. SMP also acts as safety nets by ensuring education for children and increasing their chances to earn higher income as adults. Further, school feeding protects local food security where it exists, and assists communities attain food security by promoting sustainable practices. The cost-benefit analysis³⁰ further shows that for every 1 US\$ invested in SMPs, an economic value return of up to US\$ 5.2 is generated over the lifetime of a beneficiary in the country's economy, predominantly attributed to improved education and increased productivity, additional income to impoverished households, and better health outcomes as a result of the SMP.
- 75. **Programme Description**: WFP has been present in Nepal since 1963 and has supported the Government of Nepal in various projects, ranging from short-term quick onset emergency responses, as well as longer-term development work. Currently, WFP implements its country strategic plan until the end of 2023, focusing on supporting the Government of Nepal as it institutionalizes its federal system. One of the main components of WFP's support over the past decades has been on school feeding. The WFP has been supporting the GoN to implement the School Meals Programme through food transfers since 1974 to improve the education and nutritional outcomes of school age children. WFP through Activity 3 of the current country strategic plan aims to end malnutrition by reaching targeted populations in food-insecure areas with nutritionally sensitive, shock-responsive social services delivered through a strengthened National Social Protection Framework³¹. WFP is currently on its fourth cycle of McGovern-Dole funding in its mission to see through the final handover

²⁶ Nepal Human Development Report 2020, National Planning Commission, Government of Nepal, https://www.npc.gov.np/images/category/NHDR_2020.pdf

²⁷ Bundy, Donald; Burbano, Carmen; Grosh, Margaret; Gelli, Aulo; Jukes, Matthew; Drake, Lesley. World Bank. 2009. *Rethinking School Feeding Social Safety Nets, Child Development, and the* Education *Sector*. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/2634

²⁸ 3IE (2016). The impact of education programmes on learning and school participation in low- and middle-income countries. Systematic Review Summary 7. https://www.3ieimpact.org/sites/default/files/2019-05/srs7-education-report.pdf

²⁹ Nutrition interventions and their educational and nutrition outcomes for pre-school and primary (up to grade 5) schoolage children in developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis, the McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Program

³⁰ WFP.2018. Cost-Benefit Analysis of the School Meals Programmes in Nepal

³¹ Nepal CSP 2019-2023, Line of Sight

of districts to the Government-led national school meals program. Over the past three cycles, WFP has established itself as the Government's partner of choice and has helped strengthen the Ministry of Education's institutional capacity as it scales the national program. Building on the success of the McGovern-Dole FY17, WFP has put together a comprehensive integrated package of services that will benefit an initial 246755 pre-primary and primary school children covering all schools in the six districts of two provinces, which will be delivered through a solid partnership with World Education, Integrated Development Society, and Mercy Corps. The education component to be implemented by World Education in McGovern-Dole FY20 provides literacy support in 939 schools of 27 municipalities in three selected districts. This programme will align with and complement U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) education efforts in Nepal. As a part of its holistic approach to supporting improvement in literacy and ensuring schools provide an enabling environment for learning, the programme also implements other complimentary activities related to literacy, health and nutrition, local and regional procurement, capacity building on homegrown school feeding (HGSF) approach, and others.

- 76. **COVID-19 pandemic**: The pandemic has adversely impacted the service sector, tourism, hospitality industry, revenue, remittances, and transportation. This will make it difficult for the country to make smooth and sustainable graduation from the LDC category³². Daily wage laborers have been under the brunt of the pandemic due to job losses and disruption in the food supply chain. With the COVID-induced lockdowns and job losses, 16.8 percent of households had inadequate food consumption and 2.5 percent of households had poor dietary diversity in December 2020. The unique "income shock" which is an aftermath of the pandemic will worsen the household food insecurity issues.
- 77. The pandemic has undoubtedly had a severe impact on education systems and school feeding programmes worldwide including Nepal. In Nepal, schools were closed most of the time for two academic years which also affected the initiation of programme. The COVID-19 pandemic and the subsequent school closure have significantly impacted students' access to learning in Nepal, it also nudged the GoN to expand its cash-based SMP to all 77 districts in Nepal by 2024. Therefore, the programme must develop strategies to mitigate the loss and achieve the expected results which requires significant support, dedication from the communities and local governments to have the positive spillover effects of the holistic school meals program, and the benefits it has on socio-economic development.

2. Subject of the Baseline, Theory of Change, and Baseline Questions

2.1 SUBJECT OF THE BASELINE, THEORY OF CHANGE, ACTIVITIES, AND INTENDED OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES

- 78. The subject of the BLS is the USDA McGovern-Dole International FFECN programme FY20. The start date was 1st November 2020, with an end date of 30th September 2024. The programme is implemented in six selected districts of Karnali, and Sudurpashchim Province. The McGovern-Dole FY20 is a continuation of FY17 and covers all schools in the selected six districts of these two provinces. About 54 percent of the schools in FY20 are continued from FY17.
- 79. The programme aims to achieve three strategic outcomes i.e., McGovern-Dole SO1, McGovern-Dole SO2, and LRPSO1 through six inter-related interventions. The LRPSO1 is new in FY20.

³² United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, Knowledge Platform: <u>https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/memberstates/nepal</u>

80. Beginning with a caseload of 241,621 students in 2,297 schools ranging from pre-primary (Early Childhood Development) to grade 5 in all six districts, WFP will provide a mid-day meal consisting of various commodities, on 180 days per year, six days per week. School choices, the number of feeding days per year, as well as universal coverage in each district are in line with government policy. Besides students, the GoN, the local community, schools, farmers groups/local cooperatives are the

Table 2.1:	Annual	Beneficiar	y Figures

School	Benefic	iaries	Handover Transitio	
Year/Fiscal Year	July – December	January - June	Date	Districts
July 2021 –	246,755	246,755	June 2022	Jajarkot
June 2022	240,755	240,755	June 2022	Doti
July 2022 –	156 722	156,733	June 2023	Darchula
June 2023	156,733	150,755	June 2025	Bajhang
July 2023 –	84,336	84,336	Juna 2024	Achham
June 2024	04,330	04,330	June 2024	Bajura

intermediaries of the programme. These six districts will be gradually transitioned to government owned NSMP according to the transition plan. Table 2.1 outlines the students and districts to be handed over annually. It is to be noted that the cash-based SMP has been scaled up by the GoN across the remaining 71 districts. Table 2.2 provides detailed information on the planned beneficiaries by activities. As seen in Table 2.2, Literacy and SHN activities are implemented in selected three and four districts respectively. SHN and literacy are both national programme that requires a minimum of three years to implement according to national guideline. As per the transition plan, WFP has the opportunity to implement programme for three years only in four districts. Literacy is not implemented in Achham as the government is implementing the programme.

District	Activity	Schools	Boys	Girls	Total
Bajhang	Food+HGSF+Literacy+SHN+LRP	448	22821	24712	47533
Darchula	Food+HGSF+Literacy+SHN+LRP	344	12279	12585	24864
Bajura	Food+HGSF+Literacy+SHN+LRP	247	13490	14857	28347
Achham	Food+HGSF+SHN+LRP	475	26924	29065	55989
Doti	Food+HGSF+LRP	443	23117	21563	44680
Jajarkot	Food+HGSF+LRP	458	22270	23072	45342
Total		2415	120901	125854	246755

Table 2.2: Programme Coverage

Source: Resource Allocation Plan FY 2078/2079³³

- 81. Based on the learnings of the FY17 cycle, the McGovern-Dole FY20 has a robust technical assistance strategy at all tiers of government to ensure sustainable, efficient, and effective programme are transitioned. In addition, the FY20 cycle has been inbuilt in its design to capacitate local government for their engagement from the beginning of the project implementation to enable smooth transition post-handover. WFP has incorporated a strong focus on capacity strengthening to ensure sustainability by targeting four McGovern-Dole Foundational Results.
- 82. The BLS has assessed the current situation of the project indicators (PIs) detailed in **Annex 4** and provides a situation analysis of the McGovern-Dole FY20. The indicators assessed are literacy status of school-age children; use of new and quality techniques and tools by school teachers and administrators; attendance and health-related absences of students; use of health and dietary practices among children; knowledge and practice related to WaSH including menstrual hygiene; awareness on the importance of SMP among parents and students; safe food preparation and storage practices; availability of school WaSH facilities; use of new techniques and tools by farmers groups and cooperatives and local and provincial capability for the transition of the in-kind based school meal modality into home-grown school feeding, among others.
- 83. The program budget is roughly USD 25 million out of which about five percent is budgeted for monitoring and evaluation activities. Table 2.3 provides a summary budget showing how resources are allocated by activity.

³³ 2022 AD

	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	Total
Activity			USD		
Activity 1			In-kind Support		
Activity 2	228,439.00	197,328.00	41,078.00	15,556.00	482,401.00
Activity 3	158,296.34	480,032.67	353,790.15	48,911.04	1,041,030.20
Activity 4	327,392.77	410,267.82	455,070.24	155,179.15	1,347,909.98
Activity 5	526,185.00	668,877.00	667,928.00	330,026.00	2,193,016.00
Activity 6	85,583.00	186,417.00	130,583.00	82,250.00	484,833.00
Total CS	1,325,896.11	1,942,922.49	1,648,449.39	631,922.19	5,549,190.18
Local					
Regional	183,527.44	129,054.15	300,000.00	563,730.00	1,176,311.59
Procurement					
Grand Total	1,509,423.55	2,071,976.64	1,948,449.39	1,195,652.19	6,725,501.77

Table 2.3: Resources allocated by activities

Results Frameworks and the Foundational Results of the Programme

- 84. The McGovern-Dole FY20 RF helps in understanding the activities designed and the expected results from implementing those activities. The outcomes in the RF are used to measure the achievements of the programme. The RF provides the detailed and systematic linkages of the overarching programme objectives and planned activities. All the results in the RF are captured through indicators (standard and custom).
- 85. The McGovern-Dole FY20 aims to achieve the McGovern-Dole SO1 and McGovern-Dole SO2 with similar higher-level outcome results and foundational results as in FY17. The key objective of the McGovern-Dole FY20 is to improve the literacy of school-age children through the two interrelated strategic outcomes (SOs). These two SOs are interrelated because the increased use of health and dietary practices leads to improved literacy of school children via improved school attendance, one of the SO1 Intermediate Results. Increased use of health and dietary practices improves student attendance (and therefore literacy) via reduced health-related absences. Please refer to **Annex 4** for the programme theory of change.
- 86. The only addition to the McGovern-Dole FY20 is **Activity 5:** Promote improved nutrition: sustainable transition to homegrown school meals contributing to improved effectiveness of food assistance through local and regional procurement (LRP SO1). The purpose of this component is to build the capacity of LGs and schools to procure foods independently and sustainably and ensure the menu is properly diversified for improved nutrition.
- McGovern-Dole SO1: Improved literacy of school-age children is aimed to be achieved via three necessary and sufficient intermediate results (IRs): Improved Quality of Literacy Instruction (McGovern-Dole IR 1.1), Improved Attentiveness (McGovern-Dole IR 1.2); and Improved Student Attendance (McGovern-Dole IR 1.3).
- 88. WFP through World Education will support the development and institutionalizing of an effective mentoring and coaching system and include provision for teacher preparation and support to improve teacher attendance. These activities along with support to expand the large-scale use of the continuous assessment system for early grade reading pioneered during FY17 will lead to improved knowledge and skills among the teachers. World Education will supplement the government's minimum package with existing and tested materials such as the *Read-Learn-Know package*, as well as support teachers and parents to develop their materials by creatively using local resources and promoting extra practice at home and community to improve access to school supplies. Supporting government to develop and strengthen national standards for EGR and improve literacy instructional material along with support to produce and distribute text and materials will lead to improved literacy instructional materials. Capacitating school administrators to focus on learning and effective management, and support to improve outcomes using self-assessment tools to identify areas where they want to improve their capacity will contribute to increasing the knowledge and skills of school administrators.
- 89. McGovern-Dole IR 1.2: Improved attentiveness and McGovern-Dole IR 1.3: Improved student attendance: The provision of healthy and nutritious school meals will improve students' attendance and

attentiveness during class by reducing short-term hunger. WFP will do this by providing a mid-day meal, 180 days per year, six days per week.

- 90. McGovern-Dole SO2: Increased Use of Health and Dietary Practices: This SO aims to improve the overall education environment by establishing complementary health and sanitation activities. WFP through Integrated Development Society (IDS) will produce and print Information, Education, and Communication (IEC) and behavior change communication packages endorsed by the GoN and distribute to each school to improve knowledge of nutrition, health, and hygiene practices (McGovern-Dole IR 2.1 & McGovern-Dole IR **2.3)**. The behavioral change effort will be reinforced by supporting schools to celebrate national campaigns like national sanitation week and promote child-to-child learning by mobilizing child clubs. Provision of integrated school health and nutrition package by providing support to coordinate government school health and nutrition programme will increase access to clean water and sanitation services (McGovern-Dole IR 2.4). WFP will provide district-level training on food safety, storage, handling, and preparation of school meals to all people involved in food selection, handling, storage, preparation, and serving to improve knowledge on safe food preparation and storage practices (McGovern-Dole IR 2.2). Strengthening school and LG support system on health and nutrition, to ensure that activities under school health and nutrition package like annual health screening, deworming campaign, Iron and Folic acid distribution to adolescent girls through the national program are implemented timely, will improve student's access to preventive health interventions (McGovern-Dole IR 2.5). WFP will provide non-food items, to all new schools and replenish for current schools on a need basis to increase the access to requisite food preparation and storage tools and equipment (McGovern-Dole IR 2.6).
- 91. LRP SO1: Improved Effectiveness of Food Assistance through LRP: The LRP SO aims to build the capacity of LG and schools to procure foods independently and sustainably, and ensure the menu is properly diversified for improved nutrition. The capacity development of LG and school management committees to manage the procurement of locally purchased goods will improve the cost-effectiveness of food assistance (LRP 1.1). The ability to purchase locally will improve the timeliness of procurement and thus the timeliness of food assistance (LRP 1.2). Additional capacity development on forwarding contracting, procurement, logistics management will contribute to improving transaction efficiency which will strengthen local and regional food market systems. The creation of locally relevant and available, nutritious food baskets leveraging the established school meals menu planner will improve the utilization of nutritious and culturally acceptable food that meets quality standards (LRP 1.3) by improving access to culturally acceptable and nutritious food.
- 92. **Foundational Results:** The project has four foundational results each of which is critical to national ownership. WFP will facilitate to development of a need-based plan for school meals at the provincial and local level contributing to the increased capacity of government institutions (McGovern-Dole 1.4.1/2.7.1) and increased government support (McGovern-Dole 1.4.3/2.7.3). WFP will provide institutional and policy capacity strengthening to improve policy and regulatory framework (McGovern-Dole 1.4.2/2.7.2). The establishment of school meals management mechanism at the municipal level including relevant stakeholders will contribute to increased engagement of local organizations and community groups (McGovern-Dole 1.4.4/2.7.4).

Programme Activities

- 93. WFP has continued the holistic approach to programming with interventions grouped into the following six major activities with the addition of home-grown school feeding (activity 5) in the current cycle to support the three programme objectives.
- 94. **Activity 1: Food Distribution:** Building on the progress and successes of the McGovern-Dole FY17, WFP and MoEST's Food for Education Program will continue the provision of nutritious school meals in the six districts that are all slated to be handed over into the cash-based NSMP.

95. WFP and Mercy Corps will complement the food basket with up to 40g of fresh, locally purchased vegetables in Jajarkot and Doti in the first year. Working with SHF and cooperatives (further covered in activity 4) in the year ahead of the planned handover is meant to start up supply chains for local purchasing at scale and prepare LGs as they plan to take over. The same addition of locally purchased vegetables will occur in the second year in Bajhang and Darchula, and the third year in Achham and Bajura. Additionally, to find cost efficiencies and work with SHF on a larger scale, WFP, through its sub-recipient will purchase the lentils and Rice in Nepal locally in the third year. See table 3 for a breakdown of commodities by origin and program district.

	Fortified Rice	Lentils	Fortified Oil	Fresh Vegetables	Salt
		2021/2022	(Year 1)	I	
Doti	IK	IK	IK	LP	LP
Jajarkot	IK	IK	IK	LP	<u>LP</u>
Bajhang	IK	IK	IK		LP
Darchula	IK	IK	IK		<u>LP</u>
Achham	IK	IK	IK		<u>LP</u>
Bajura	IK	IK	IK		LP
		2022/2023	(Year 2)		
Bajhang	IK	IK	IK	LP	LP
Darchula	IK	IK	IK	LP	LP
Achham	IK	IK	IK		<u>LP</u>
Bajura	IK	IK	IK		LP
		2023/2024	(Year 3)		
Achham	IK	LP	IK	LP	<u>LP</u>
Bajura	IK	LP	IK	LP	LP
Legend: IK= US in-kind	d commodity; LP=local	ly purchased comm	odity; <u>LP=locally purc</u>	hased (complementary)	

Table 2.3: Commodity Purchase Breakdown by Commodity and Origin

- 96. Activity 2: Support Improved Safe Food Preparation, Handling, and Storage: At the beginning of each school year, and with the distribution of commodities, WFP will provide, district-level training on food safety, storage, handling, and preparation of school meals across six programme districts. The training helps ensure all people involved in food selection, handling, storage preparation, and serving, i.e., community members, school staff, and LG, are aware of appropriate practices to serve safe and nutritious school meals. Non-food items will be provided to schools, including spoons, bowls, and drinking cups as well as cooking and measuring utensils for the meal preparation, ensuring that all new schools receive them and that those current schools need to be replenished, are covered.
- 97. Activity 3: Provide an Integrated Package of School Health and Nutrition Interventions: WFP's subrecipient, IDS Nepal, in four districts, will focus on improving access to health and nutrition interventions, as well as work on the construction of WaSH infrastructure. IDS will implement the integrated package, which also focuses on supporting girls to continue their education through menstrual hygiene support. The project will mainly facilitate government health resources and logistics to operate the services. To improve WaSH related behavior and practices of programme participants IDS will produce and print IEC, and behavior change communication packages endorsed by GoN.
- 98. Activity 4: Promote Improved Literacy: WFP's sub-recipient, World Education, implements literacy intervention. The literacy model resembles what World Education used in the McGovern-Dole FY17 and aligns with USAID's Reading MATTERS framework and National Early Grade Reading Program (NEGRP). The project will use a blended approach and initially maintain project-supported mobilizers and link these to LG education units while simultaneously training and supporting headteachers and education focal persons and other education unit staff to mentor teachers. The project will ensure children have better access to school supplies and materials by supplementing the government's minimum package with existing, tested materials such as the Read-Learn-Know package, materials developed by the MoEST, as well as by supporting teachers and parents to develop their materials by creatively using local resources.

- 99. Activity 5: Promote Improved Nutrition: Sustainable Transition to Home-Grown School Meals: WFP's sub-recipient, Mercy Corps, implements HGSF intervention across six districts according to the transition plan presented in Table 2.1. The purpose of the HGSF component is to assist LGs and schools to best use funds of the government's cash-based SMP to deliver nutritious meals which are locally sourced and help meet the government guideline for nutritional standards. Under this component, there are two essential sub-activities, which include the local purchase of commodities to complement a hybrid food basket; and the HGSF component and technical assistance post-handover. The local purchase sub-activity is the introduction, on a small scale, of a few locally procured foods and the capacity development of LG and school management committees to manage procurement. Along with the introduction of locally purchased commodities, homegrown school meal menus established under FY17 will be used to support coordinated planning of school meals once the handover has occurred. This component phases out before the end of the programme, to transition to the LG and schools fully managing the procurement and management of school meals themselves once officially within the NSMP. The HGSF second sub-activity begins with the beginning of the program, to start early in identifying local cooperatives and their farmer groups and lead farmers, to ensure they can begin to supply, and later increase production, as well as community and LG engagement in the process. Once the local purchase component is completed and the procurement of school meals food is handed over entirely to the LG and schools, Mercy Corps will remain to provide technical assistance and coaching to ensure the systems in place are fully functional and will remain sustainable. Mercy Corps will also lead the process in setting up, managing, and handing over local accountability and monitoring systems.
- 100.**Activity 6: Capacity Building Supporting Transition through Local and Provincial Capacitation:** WFP will work to strengthen capacity across local, provincial, and national levels, with varying levels of effort across each, focusing increasingly on the local level. Through a robust graduation and sustainability plan, WFP has taken steps to gear up its efforts toward specialized technical assistance, including the following: (1) secondment of a school meals specialist in MoEST's Center of Education and Human Resource Development; (2) secondment of a school meals specialist at the provincial government; and (3) WFP field coordinator placed at the district level who are responsible to provide frontline technical assistance and coordination of activities at field level, closely collaborating with the LG.
- 101.Like the district level, WFP will support the establishment of needs-based plans in the two provinces and will work to advocate for policy mainstreaming and aligning national policies with provincial needs, thus contextualizing the strategy set forth by the national government. WFP will support the establishment of a monitoring and evaluation system that integrates all government tiers. At the national level in Kathmandu, WFP will work to strengthen institutional and policy environments by establishing a five-year action plan based on the Systems Approach for Better Education Results (SABER) results. With a view of WFP's transition to sole technical assistance after this award, it will work with the Government to establish a meaningful, longer-term capacity strengthening strategy that will outline work beyond McGovern-Dole FY20.
- 102. **Gender Dimensions of the Intervention:** The WFP School Feeding Policy (2013), WFP School Feeding Strategy (2020), and Gender Policy for 2015-2020 emphasize recognizing and including specific needs of young girls, ethnic and religious minorities, and boys and girls with disabilities. To promote inclusion and equity in education, WFP has integrated gender components in the McGovern-Dole FY20. In Activity 2, school staff involved in cooking and delivering school meals is trained to avoid any gender or disability-related prejudice/discrimination. In Activity 3, to address the gender-related barriers to attending schools, the project will allocate USDA resources to ensure that the LG is setting up annual funds to continue establishing latrines with separate toilets for boys and girls, coordinate with local health facility for weekly iron and folic acid tablet supplementation for school adolescent girls, increase awareness about menstrual health and hygiene and ensure government-supplied sanitary pads are available for adolescent girls and female teachers as planned and endorsed by the GoN. Activity 5 has made the provision to encourage female farmers and cooperatives led by farmers, or including many female farmers, to participate in the supply chain. Hence, the current survey would focus on drawing a larger gender analysis for McGovern-Dole FY20.
- 103. The ET has ensured that GEWE is integrated into the survey process where relevant, for which specific data on gender, disability, ethnicity, and socio-economic status have been collected. The Washington classification has been used to identify the number of beneficiaries and intermediaries with disabilities. Based on evidence collected, the BLS provides insights on how the McGovern-Dole FY20 has included women, men, girls, boys, and disabled and marginalized groups. The findings have been presented as per the disaggregation

requirements in the PMP. Thus, the BLS has used GEWE as an integral lens to assess the inclusion dimensions of the subject evaluated.

104. Though the survey timeline is affected by the COVID-related school closures, there have been no external or internal changes since the development of ToR that affects the scope of the BLS.

2.2 EVALUATION QUESTION AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

105. The BLS is the first stage in the evaluation series to fulfill the USDA McGovern-Dole FY20 requirement to provide information about the pre-project situation. The BLS has focused on examining the present situation of the activities proposed in the six districts i.e., one (Jajarkot) from Karnali Province and five (Doti, Bajhang, Darchula, Achham, and Bajura) from Sudurpashchim Province. The overall evaluation series aims to answer the evaluation questions of all the six evaluation criteria (Annex 6) as listed in the Terms of Reference. Annex 6 will be further elaborated to revise the evaluation questions, data sources and add areas of inquiry and methods of triangulation during midterm and end-line evaluation. The baseline survey focused on three evaluation criteria and questions identified during the inception phase (see table 2.4 and Annex 7). The BLS based itself on the programme RF and performance indicators in the PMP (Annex 8). The PMP includes at least one output or outcome indicator per result envisioned in the RF and includes a method of data collection for each identified indicator. The baseline survey has done the disaggregation as per USDA endorsed PMP. Additional disaggregation by districts, ethnicity, gender, disability is done where relevant. The findings of the BLS are expected to provide the important context necessary for the midterm and end-line evaluations to assess the project's relevance, coherence, effectiveness, efficiency, sustainability, and impact. In this regard, the BLS has assessed if the activities of the McGovern-Dole FY20 is coherent to government plans and priority programme, and other programmes implemented by development partners in those areas and have assessed the relevance and sustainability aspects of the programme.

Evaluation Criteria	Key Questions
Coherence	To what extent are the McGovern-Dole FY20 programme activities aligned with government policies, and with WFP guidelines and policies?
Relevance	How the McGovern-Dole FY20 programme goals and design are aligned with the beneficiary and stakeholder's needs?
Sustainability	The extent to which the programme has been designed to sustain the benefits generated by the project.

Table 2.4: Evaluation Questions for the Baseline Survey

- 106. Given that the programme has a gender-specific output, the BLS has ensured that GEWE and equity are mainstreamed throughout the evaluation as appropriate. The ET has assessed the extent to which GEWE objectives were mainstreamed during the intervention design and has done a detailed gender analysis to assess the extent to which different voices, vulnerabilities, capacities, and priorities of women, men, girls, and boys are reflected in the programme design and how these distinct groups might benefit from the programme. The ET has ensured that the data collection process included the active participation of women, men, girls, and boys to inform a better understanding of the programme from their distinct perspectives at individual and institutional levels. This information has been gathered through interviews and discussions with representatives from LG, the school management committee, students, parents, and other key stakeholders. PI (Annex 4) and Evaluation matrix (Annex 7) identify the tools and data sources for mainstreaming GEWE in the BLS. The findings on girls/women, boys/men, and on different ethnicities have been reported to the extent possible based on the availability of the data.
- 107. **Special study**: The special study (available in a separate document) aimed to explore the factors besides school meals and standard academic curriculum that may contribute to the literacy achievement of school-age children. The special study covered 272 schools from the BLS sample schools in five districts of Sudurpashchim province. The tools for the special study were integrated with BLS tools (Annex 9). Data from EGR assessment, interview with parents of grade three students, head teacher, EGR teacher, and classroom and school environment observation were used in the analysis.

3. Evaluation Approach and Methodology for Baseline Data Collection

3.1 EVALUATION APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY

- 108. The BLS used a non-experimental cross-sectional design covering the programme areas. This will enable the assessment of the temporal changes over the course of midterm and end-line evaluations. The BLS has presented a good opportunity for assessing the situation before the programme implementation. A mixed-method approach with both quantitative and qualitative components including review of secondary data and on-site observation was employed.
- 109. Any changes that are observed (or not observed for that matter) during BLS, MT, and EL cannot be directly attributed to the programme. To contribute to the evidence generated, information from different sources, continuous close observations of various activities, and well-placed judgment are planned to link the outcomes with the programme. Another challenge is posed by the current COVID-19 pandemic, which has affected all individuals and entities across the globe, including the programme areas. Also, due to the COVID pandemic, limited studies/surveys are being conducted in the last two years, limiting the opportunity to triangulate the findings.
- 110. Based on the ToR, the BLS has mainly focused on assessing the coherence, relevance, and sustainability of the programme design, and these initial findings will be elaborated and deepened during the MT and EL. Effectiveness, efficiency, and impact will be assessed during midterm and end-line evaluation. The evaluation matrix including evaluation criteria, questions, and sub-questions (Annex 7), data collection methodology (Annex 10), and analysis methods for the performance indicators (Annex 4) provide further details.
- 111. To assess the coherence of the programme, the BLS has attempted to determine the alignment of the McGovern-Dole FY20 activities with government policies, and with WFP's guidelines and policies. Similarly, it has assessed the synergies of the project intervention with other WFP operations in Nepal. The survey has also explored whether the intervention design and delivery aim to reduce exclusion, reach marginalized and vulnerable groups, and transform gender inequalities.
- 112. To assess the relevance of the programme, the BLS has examined how the McGovern-Dole FY20 goals and design are aligned with the need of the beneficiary and stakeholders. This allows evaluators to understand gaps in programme design that may have undermined an intervention's overall relevance. It investigates whether the beneficiaries and target stakeholders view the intervention as useful and valuable in the present context.
- 113. From the sustainability perspective, the BLS has assessed the extent to which learnings from the past programmes have been incorporated while designing the McGovern-Dole FY20 to increase government and beneficiaries' interest, participation, capacities, and commitment to take forward various interventions of the programme. The survey has explored the sustainability of the McGovern-Dole FY20 design. It has further reviewed the handover and transition strategy, and preparedness.
- 114. **Availability and reliability of data:** As this is a BLS, limited data on actual programme implementation was available at this point, and the school closures due to COVID-19 have led to further challenges with data availability. Keeping school records on necessary enrollment and health and nutrition-related aspects were found to be a challenge on the part of the school administration. Similarly, local festivals and plantation periods of wheat had led to the closure of schools or less attendance of the students during the survey time.
- 115. Revisiting the schools, requesting the school administration to call upon students and other respondents for the interview even on the local holidays, contacting the school management before school visit through a telephone call and asking them to make the school records ready, seeking help from the WFP field coordinators for necessary coordination with school and other respondents, etc. were some of the measured used, which helped to mitigate some of the data limitation issues.

3.2 BASELINE DATA COLLECTION METHODS AND TOOLS

- 116. A mixed-method approach with both quantitative and qualitative components including review of records, on-site observation, and Early Grade Reading Assessment (EGRA) of grade three students were employed for the data collection.
- 117. Quantitative data collection included a survey with the school Head Teacher, SHN focal teacher, Nepali teacher teaching Nepali language in grade three, students, parents, school cook, farmer groups, and storekeeper. School records were reviewed for information on attendance, retention school meal distribution, and SHN related topics such as deworming medications, iron-folic acid supplementation, and health-related absenteeism. On-site observation of the school environment, Nepali class observation of grade three, and adoption of farming technology of the farmers provided other necessary information.
- 118. The sample size for the schools was calculated using a 95 percent confidence level, 50 percent prevalence rate, five percent margin of error, and 2,297 population size (number of programme schools in the six districts), which gives 330 as the number of schools required. About 15 percent of additional schools had been selected to address possible non-response. A proportionate stratified random sampling was used to select the required number of schools and students from the six districts. Details about the methodology for BLS and special study are included in **Annex 10**.
- 119. The school respondents (teachers, students, parents, and cooks) were selected from the sampled schools. Survey with the SHN teacher, Nepali teacher, and Nepali class observation was done only in the districts where the respective SHN and EGR programmers are being implemented. For the EGR assessment, all or maximum of 20 students from grade three were sampled. For the student survey, two students per school (preferably boy and girl) were randomly sampled from each of the available grades from four to eight. For the parent survey, five parents per school (one each from grades four, five, six, seven, and eight) from all 330 surveyed schools and three parents of grade three students from 272 schools from five districts of Sudurpashchim province (for the special study) were selected. These parents were the parents of the students who were surveyed or who participated in EGR assessment. Availability was also considered while deciding which parents to include in the survey from a given grade. For observation of farmers group, eighteen farmers groups were selected (three per programme district) based on their accessibility, and availability in consultation with implementing partners and field coordinators. Altogether 54 farmers (three from each of the eighteen farmers group surveyed) were observed to assess their adoption of new and improved farming techniques. For EGRA of grade three students and Nepali class observation, the national EGR tool and standard classroom observation tool prepared by Education Review Office (ERO) were used (Annex 9).
- 120. Qualitative data collection included Key Informant Interviews (KII), Focus Group Discussions (FGD), and halfday Organizational Performance Index (OPI) workshops at selected municipalities (which was for assessing the performance of the municipality about their capability in driving the school meal programme sustainably). KII and FGD were done with a range of stakeholders at the federal, provincial, district, local level, and school/community.
- 121. The participants for KII were identified purposively in consultation with programme unit, sub-office, and field coordinators. For qualitative data collection at the municipality level, two municipalities per district were selected purposively from the list of municipalities randomly selected for the quantitative survey. Purposive selection of municipalities enabled to include new municipalities where WFP has not had programme in FY17 and municipalities where WFP had programme in FY17. Within the selected municipalities, the key informants from the LG were selected purposively in consultation with field coordinators based on their programmatic knowledge. The OPI workshops were done in twelve municipalities (two each from the six programme districts) which were selected for the qualitative data collection. The participants for the OPI workshop were selected purposively based on their programmatic knowledge in consultation with field coordinators. For FGD with adolescent girls, basic schools from the sample list (from a list of 330 schools selected) were identified from each of the twelve municipalities selected for the qualitative survey. If there were more than one basic school, one basic school was selected randomly and about seven/eight adolescent girls from grades six to eight were selected randomly. Similarly, around seven/eight parents/community members were selected for FGDs from the same twelve communities where the FGDs with the adolescent girls were conducted. KII with the SMCs were done in the same twelve schools where the FGDs with the adolescent girls were conducted.

122. The table below provides information on the details of qualitative components used in the BLS.

Method	Level	Participants/Institutions	Number
Key	Federal	Food for Education Project	1
Informant		Center for Education and Human Resource Development	1
Interviews		Implementing Partners	3
		WFP	2
	Provincial	Ministry of Social Development, one per province	2
	District	Education Development and Coordination Unit, one per district	6
	Municipal	Mayor or Deputy Mayor, one per twelve selected municipalities	12
	School	School Management Committee members, one per selected twelve municipalities	12
Total			39
Focus group discussions	School	Adolescent girls from class 6-8, one per twelve schools from selected twelve municipalities	12
	Community	Parents/Community Members, one per twelve schools from selected twelve municipalities	12
Total		· · ·	24
OPI	Municipality	Municipal level staff, one workshop per twelve selected municipalities	12

 Table 3.1:
 Qualitative Data Collection Method, Levels and Type of Study Participants

- 123. The BLS also aimed to explore the relevance, coherence, and sustainability of the McGovern-Dole FY20. The evaluation matrix attached in **Annex 6** presents detailed information on evaluation questions, sub-questions, lines of inquiry, data sources, and analysis methods for these evaluation criteria. The key evaluation questions for BLS are presented in **Annex 6**.
- 124. The qualitative and quantitative tools prepared by the New ERA were thoroughly reviewed by WFP as well as by ERO, and the tools were finalized after incorporating their feedback. Necessary few changes were made during the training of the field staff which were agreed by the thematic experts and WFP. Apart from providing the national EGRA tool and the tool for classroom observation, resource persons from ERO were available to contribute to the training and provide feedback based on their observation. Representatives from the partner organizations also observed the training and provided their feedback. As a part of the training, the field staff had an opportunity to conduct EGRA with the students from grade three in two nearby schools in Kathmandu. These all contributed to ensuring the validity of the tools and data collection.
- 125. The data sent by the field team were received in the New ERA server. The supervisors checked the data collected by the enumerators on the same day the data was collected and sent the data on daily basis (to the extent they could give the availability of internet). The supervisors observed the data collection including the EGRA of grade three students, class observation, and interview sessions of the enumerators. WFP field coordinators closely observed the data collection process throughout the fieldwork and provided necessary assistance. The core team from the research firm and WFP staff from the center also paid the monitoring visit to the field to observe the data collection and provide immediate feedback to the field staff.
- 126. The core team of the research firm regularly checked the validity and consistency of the data and provided necessary feedback to the field team. The research firm updated WFP about the data collection status weekly. The collected data were analyzed by the thematic experts following the data analysis plan agreed between WFP and the research firm. The draft report was prepared as per the template provided. The collected data have been safely stored and will be used as part of the midline and end-line evaluation.
- 127. The findings of the BLS were synthesized to benchmark the values of the performance indicators, identify the enabling factors and foreseen challenges, and examine the alignment towards governments and WFP policies and guidelines. To mainstream GEWE, data gathered from both the quantitative and qualitative components

have been disaggregated by gender, age, caste, and ethnicity based on data availability and relevance. The process was intended to facilitate the assessment using the intersectionality lens.

3.3 LIMITATIONS

- 128. The ET had several limitations and risks in implementing this BLS. Firstly, data were collected in November-December 2021, nearly one and half years after school closures due to the pandemic. In some instances, school records were not available, many interviews were required to recall information, introducing potential recall bias, which may have affected data quality, and some respondents were not available for the interview. Also, the dropout of a few field staff was observed. Being exposed to Covid-19 and infecting others was another risk.
- 129. These limitations were mitigated as much as possible in several ways. Timely communication with the schools enabled them to make the school records and respondents available at the time of data collection helped to some extent. During interviews and discussions, respondents were provided adequate time to think and recall the information. A contingency plan that was prepared with the provision of extra enumerators and supervisors helped to address the dropouts of the field staff. Following a sound health protocol (vaccination, PCR tests, physical distancing, sanitizing, wearing masks, etc.) and favourable timing in terms of low cases of infection throughout the nation all helped in avoiding the Covid-19 related risks

Following are the key limitations on the findings of this survey -

- The average attendance rate was calculated only for the reference period of a month (Bhadra 16 Ashoj 14, 2078 B. S34) for grades 1, 3, and 8 in the surveyed schools. The average attendance rate for the entire year could not be calculated as the schools were open only for one month due to prolonged closure due to COVID-19. Students who might have moved to other places during the lockdown might not have returned and attended the school when schools reopened, which might have underestimated the attendance rate.
- Many schools were closed during the time of the survey due to the local festivals, and many students were absent even in the schools that were open during or right after/before the festival time. This might have an impact on the low rate of attendance based on headcount on the day the school was surveyed.
- Some indicators related to school health and nutrition such as health-related absenteeism, students receiving deworming medications, and adolescent girls receiving iron-folic acid supplementation could not be measured using the school records due to the unavailability of the records. These were measured using the surveys with a parent, SHN teachers, or student.
- The percentage of students receiving school meals on all school days was measured only in 90 schools (out of 188 USDA/WFP supported continuing schools) due to the unavailability of records and only for Chaitra 207735. Besides, the measure disregards the students that were absent on any days during the month as this information was not available. Therefore, the reported value should be interpreted cautiously, and a better plan should be put in place to overcome these limitations.
- Only 78 cooks assigned specifically for cooking the school meal were available, which is much smaller a sample size than expected.
- As the farmers were selected based on availability and accessibility, this could have introduced some bias. Same thing may have applied with the parents but the bias is presumed to be much lower as the parents interviewed were the parents of the randomly selected students (although availability of the parents was also considered while deciding which parent to include).
- As per the plan, the organization performance index (OPI) and municipalities recognizing and rewarding teachers and developing contextualized instructional materials were assessed in the twelve municipalities where the qualitative study was conducted. Therefore, the results obtained should be taken only as indicative, and may not be generalized across the entire program area.
- The BLS explored only the three evaluation criteria (coherence, relevance, and sustainability) out of the six criteria. These results will be further deepened along with assessing the other three remaining criteria (effectiveness, efficiency, and impact) during MT and EL.

³⁴ September 2021

³⁵ March/April 2021

- With the non-experimental cross-sectional design used in the evaluation, the MT and EL will be able to measure only the temporal changes. The observed outcomes of interest cannot therefore be simply attributed to the WFP programmes, nor will it be easy to isolate the contribution of the WFP programme alone in the backdrop of similar other programmes possibly being supported in the programme districts.
- 130. Most of these data limitations were expected. For example, unavailability of records at school for IFA, deworming, and health-related school absenteeism was identified while selecting indicators during the development of PMP. The challenge and mitigation measures are mentioned in the USDA endorsed PMP. Similarly, other challenges related to COVID were also expected. These challenges experienced during baseline are not expected during midterm and end-line if no such unanticipated challenges are contributing to school closures. Therefore, the same methodology can be continued during mid-term and end-line.

3.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE OF THE BASELINE DATA COLLECTION

- 131. WFP had applied a Decentralized Evaluation approach based on the UN evaluation principles of independence, credibility, and utility. Application of these principles ensures evaluation quality, enhancing accountability and learning throughout the survey by increasing confidence in the findings, recommendations, and lessons for continual improvement of WFP's performance and results.
- 132. This BLS had followed the DEQAS approach which ensures the quality standards throughout the process. DEQAS was closely aligned to the WFP's evaluation quality assurance system (EQAS) and was based on the UNEG norms and standards. The DEQAS was also coherent with the USDA's Food Assistance Division's Monitoring & Evaluation Policy.
- 133. Under the DEQAS, WFP had developed a set of Quality Assurance Checklists for its decentralized evaluations. This included checklists on the quality of each of the evaluation products. The relevant checklist was applied at each stage to ensure the quality of the evaluation process and outputs. Each evaluation deliverable underwent the following process, (1) The ET had checked all data for accuracy and reliability and noted any limitations; (2) The Evaluation Team Leader ensured that the report met the DEQAS standards; (3) The WFP Evaluation Manager supported by the REO and OEV, had conducted a rigorous quality check of all deliverables; (4) The evaluation reports were reviewed by an outsourced quality support (QS) service managed by WFP's OEV; (5) The WFP Evaluation Manager reviewed the feedback from QS and shared with the Team Leader, who was expected to use them to finalize the BLS report.
- 134. In particular, the quality of the report is assured by a thorough review by the WFP team at the country office, Evaluation Reference Group, regional evaluation officer, WFP's quality support service (DEQS), and final review by USDA and USDA is the final approver of the Baseline Evaluation Report.
- 135. The ET ensured that the necessary measures were taken throughout the survey starting from the initial stage until the reporting phase. The key steps for the quality assurance was assignment of key personnel and enumerators (with some backups for contingency), developing the rigorous survey methodology, study tools in line with the indicators to measure; well defined and unambiguous terms and questions used in the tools; logical flow of questions with consistent programming for data collection; appropriate training and training materials to enumerators; developing and following of proper data collected data by enumerators each day before transferring the data to central office, developing data editing programme to detect possible errors in some key variables once the data were received in the server; checking of raw dataset to detect outliers and inconsistencies; providing immediate feedback to the field team as and when needed; maintaining appropriate variable name, storage type, display format, variable label and value label in the collected data; and analysis of the data and presentation of the results consistent with the agreed methodology.
- 136. Qualitative data were collected through KIIs and FGD with a range of stakeholders at the national and subnational and school/community levels. A team of two trained qualitative researchers facilitated the qualitative data collection. The interview and discussions were audio-recorded with extensive note-taking with the consent of the participants. The audio records were transcribed in the Nepali language and translated into English. Codes were derived from the translations, which were later categorized, and thematic analysis was done.
- 137. All evidence collected has been verified and corroborated through systematic triangulation by comparing information collected by different methods and sources. The evaluation team also ensured the data integrity

and factual accuracy with regular team discussions. Triangulation of data was conducted using the method and source triangulation, including comparing qualitative and quantitative findings within the baseline survey.

3.5 ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

- 138. The BLS including the special study had conformed to the 2020 UNEG Ethical Guidelines. The research firm was responsible for safeguarding and ensuring ethics at all stages of the BLS. This included, but was not limited to, ensuring informed consent before the interview, protecting privacy, confidentiality, and anonymity of participants, ensuring cultural sensitivity, respecting the autonomy of participants, ensuring fair recruitment of participants, and ensuring that the survey results do not harm participants or their communities.
- 139. The BLS was conducted in compliance with both ethical and human rights standards. Survey procedures were designed to protect participants' privacy and confidentiality and allow for voluntary participation. It was ensured that the protocols were as per the ethical research standard applied in Nepal and as per the four ethical principles of evaluation: integrity, accountability, respect, and beneficence. The BLS team had adhered to moral values and professional standards and was accountable for all decisions made and actions are taken. The BLS had respected and honored stakeholders' dignity, well-being, and personal agency and strived to do good for people while minimizing the harms arising from the survey.
- 140. Before interview/observation at the schools and communities, the participants were presented with the letter and a consent form was read out to them, explaining the purpose of the BLS. In the case of students, the consent of their teacher was obtained before the interview. The verbal consent process used ensured that the participants were well-informed about the organization and the interviewer, the purpose of the survey, their voluntary participation and valued contribution through their participation, the confidentiality of information, anonymity of the informants, time duration, and risk and benefits of their participation in the survey.
- 141. The field notes are kept confidential and will not be turned over to public or private agencies. In addition, steps were taken to ensure that men, women, boys, and girls felt that interviews were conducted in appropriate locations so that they were able to freely express their views and concerns without fear of reprisal. Schools were notified in advance that they would be part of the survey. Permission to interview children at school was sought from their teachers, and from parents during household interviews.
- 142. Participants were also allowed to ask any questions about the survey that help them decide whether to participate. Confidentiality and anonymity were strictly maintained in all documents. The Personnel identifiable information (PII) was not revealed to anyone. The ET thus protected the privacy, confidentiality, and personal interest of all respondents including those who were at risk, defenceless, vulnerable, and disabled. All PII was used only for analysis and destroyed after the analysis.

4. Baseline Findings and Discussions

143. This chapter has been divided into two parts – A. Findings on the Indicator Values for the Baseline, and B. Findings on the Evaluation Questions. The first part discusses the overall findings of the baseline survey in relation to the baseline values of the standard and custom indicators set in PMP and the evaluation questions. The indicators are discussed in the order of five activities of the USDA-supported McGovern Dole International FFECN Programme 2020-2024, which are being implemented in the six districts of Karnali and Sudurpashchim regions. The methods used to measure the respective indicators have been touched upon only briefly for the reader's convenience in this chapter, and a separate section in **Annex 4** details them. This is followed by the second part which attempts to explore the relevance, coherence, and sustainability aspects of the programme.

A. Findings on the Indicators Values for the Baseline

Activity 1 - Food Distribution

Indicator 1: Number of school-age children receiving school meals on all school days (Custom 7)

144. As the schools remained mostly closed due to the COVID-19 pandemic in the last academic year (2020/21) and the current academic year (2021/22), the school meal could not be distributed regularly. As reported by the headteachers, there was however take-home food ration distributed a couple of times in bulk to the parents of the students during that period. Based on the information that cooked meal was distributed by the school to the students in the month of Chaitra, 2077³⁶, the records on the school meal distribution for this period was used to establish the baseline values for this indicator. In particular, records were reviewed on the number of days the school meals on each of the school days during that period. The percentage of school children (ECD to grade five) receiving school meals on all school days was then computed for the specified period. The computations were done at the school level (**Figure 4.1** below indicates the number of schools with available records used in the analysis). The number of days the school was open, and the number of boys and girls enrolled in ECD to grade 5 were used to compute the weighted percentage.



145. All together 90 USDA/WFP supported continuing schools (with a maximum of 27 in Bajhang and a minimum of 7 in Doti) had the necessary records available for the analysis. The majority of these schools were primary, i.e. running up to grade five (72%) followed by basic, i.e. running up to grade eight (24%), while only three

³⁶ March/April 2021

percent were secondary, i. e. running grade nine or above. Based on the results, 78 percent of the students received school meals on all school days, with the highest in Bajhang (81%) and lowest in Doti (71%). Basic schools had a higher percentage of students receiving school meals on all school days than the primary and secondary. Also, there was no difference seen between girls and boys in terms of receiving school meals. Further details are presented in **Annex 11** (**Table I1**).

- 146. Apart from the small sample size and only one month's record that could be used in the analysis (the reference time itself being far from a normal time in the context of the Covid-19 pandemic), there is another caveat to these results. In particular, the information on how many students were present on each of the school days considered in the analysis was missing (only how many students received the meal was recorded). As a result of this, the proportions as presented in **Table 11** ignores those who were absent and counts them as those not receiving the meal, thereby underestimating the true proportions.
- 147. Different concerned bodies emphasized the importance of school meals during the survey. For instance, a municipal official at Jajarkot said that –
- 148. "School meals program has helped a lot in students' health. Students can eat food in time. Students get various nutrients and vitamins. They also develop a habit of washing their hands before and after eating food. Students are more focused on their health."

-KII with Municipality Official, Jajarkot

- 149. The officials from EDCU in Achham and Jajarkot think that providing school meals to the students has dual benefits in terms of better nutrition of the students and the latter's ability to concentrate on the study. But they think that transportation of food from the distribution centers is a problem in managing the school meal smoothly –
- 150. "Due to food at the school, children can concentrate more on their study and their health will also be improved. A school meal management committee is formed and the main bodies from the municipal level are used to bring the food from the distribution center. The EDCU also had a role in the transportation of foods up to the local level. We must think about how we can manage this and how many times we have to call a meeting for it. School management and meal management committees need a commitment. With this reference, we will know what work is performed by these two committees and what work is pending. We can also give them suggestions and tell them to manage. As I mentioned before we have a big challenge with transportation."

-KII with EDCU, Achham

- 151. Some SMCs were found to understand well that the meal provided at the school becomes more crucial for the girls during their menstruation –
- 152. "Science says that during menstruation women get weaker, they might want to eat more often, if they get to eat food on time, they will feel easier. Technically, females during these days are kind of weak so if they get proper nutritious food in proper time then that will not make them feel very sick."

-KII with SMCs, Doti

Indicator 2: Average student attendance rate in USDA supported classrooms/schools (Standard 2)

153. Assessment of students' attendance during the reference period of a month (Bhadra 16 - Asoj 14, 2078 or September 2021) was conducted to determine the average attendance rate of grades 1, 3, and 8 students in the surveyed schools. The sampled schools were reportedly open during the chosen period after the lockdown due to COVID-19, which was the reason for choosing this time. Data were collected by reviewing the school/classroom registers. Analysis was conducted to determine the average attendance rate of the students during the reference period. Because of the unavailability of records in two schools (both continuing), the analysis could be done only of 328 schools. Overall findings are presented in **Table I2 (a)** in **Annex 11**.



- 154. On average, the students in the surveyed schools attended 61 percent of the school days during the reference period. School closures lasting until days before the data collection may also have impacted this lower-thanthe targeted rate of 80 percent. Of the 6 six districts, students in Darchula and Bajhang had a higher attendance rate while Jajarkot and Achham had the lowest. Continuing schools had a slightly higher attendance rate, by 3 percentage point difference (PPD), than new schools. Schools with all three programs (SMP+EGR+SHN) had a higher attendance rate (72%) than those with only SMP (55%) followed by those with SMP and SHN (47%). Attendance rate was somewhat similar in terms of grades operated in the school and between the genders of the students.
- 155. In addition to the average attendance rate, an assessment was also made of the attendance rate by a headcount of the students on the day of a school visit by the survey team. Enrolment of students as mentioned in school/classroom registers of grades 1, 3, and 8 and headcount of the students present on the day were compared to derive the attendance rate. **Table I2 (b)** in **Annex 11** presents the overall findings.



156. As **Figure 4.2 (b)** suggests, the findings on average student attendance by headcount and review of attendance register are consistent. Of the six districts, students in Darchula (79%) followed by Bajhang (68%) had higher attendance, while Jajarkot, Doi, and Achham had much lower attendance rates (52% to 56%). Of the total enrolled students, students in new schools had a higher attendance rate than continuing USDA intervened schools by 11 PPD. Similarly, students from the districts with all three programs had a much higher attendance rate (70%) compared to the other three districts by 14 to 17 PPD. With respect to the grades operated, students from Secondary (9+) schools had a slightly higher attendance rate (66%) than basic and primary schools (by 7 to 10 PPD). Students in grades 3 and 8 had higher attendance rates than grade 1 by more than 12 PPD. No difference was observed in the attendance rate of the students based on gender (**Table 2 (b), Annex 11**).

Indicator 3: Average retention rate (Custom 1)

157. The assessment was conducted to determine the retention rate for grades 1, 3, and 8 to gauge the sample schools' capacity to retain the students in successive operating grades in the schools. Data were collected by reviewing the records of the schools on enrolment registers of the years 2077 B.S³⁷. and 2078 B.S.. The retention rate was calculated as the proportion of the students enrolled in the Year 2077 who completed the school year by passing to the next grade or repeating the same grade in the school in the Year 2078. Due to the unavailability of required information in two schools (one each in continuous and new), the retention rate could be estimated only in 328 schools. Table I3 (Annex 11) presents the details of the findings, and Figure 4.3 presents the key findings.



158. The average retention rate for the sample schools was 92 percent, which is somewhat higher than 82 percent for up to grade 8 as reported in Economic Survey (2021). The rates are not so different across all categories with Bajura (94%), schools with SHN and EGR (93%), basic and secondary schools (93%), and grades three and eight (94%) having a couple of percentage points higher than the rest. The retention rates are practically similar across the continuing and new school types and between boys and girls.

Indicator 4: Minimum diet diversity of school-age children (Custom 11)

159. A child consuming 4 or more food groups out of 7 in the past 24 hours was considered as meeting the minimum dietary diversity (MDD). A 24-hour recall method was used, where parents were asked to recall all the meals that the child ate during the past 24 hours. As the parents of only 1849 students, which is a subset of the total 6066 students covered by the survey (2087 students from grades 4 to 8 who were interviewed and 3979 students from grade 3 whose EGR competency was assessed) were interviewed by design, the results are based on a sample size that is about 30% of the students covered.

³⁷ 2020/21 AD



160. The mean dietary diversity score for children, as reported by their parents, was 4.8 (range 2 to 7), which indicates that a child on average consumed food items from 5 (out of 7) food groups (**Figure 4.4**). Almost 93 percent of children met the MDD requirement (ate food items from at least 4 food groups). The proportion of children meeting MDD was lower in the Bajura district compared to other districts. The proportion of children that met the MDD requirement was higher among *Brahmin/Chhetri* (94%) than among *Dalit* (91%). There were no remarkable differences in the percentage of school children meeting MDD across school type (by cycle, by program, and by grade), and by gender and age of the child (**Table 14, Annex 11**).

Indicator 5: Percentage of parents aware of the importance of school meal programme (Custom 12)

161. Parents who could tell any five out of the ten listed benefits of the school meal programme were considered as aware of the benefits of SMP (see **Annex 11** for the details). Around 16 percent of the parents having school-going children were aware of the benefits of the school meal program, indicating a low level of awareness among the parents (**Table 4.1**). Awareness level was lowest among parents from *Dalit* ethnicity (11%), followed by *Brahmin/Chhetri* (17%), and *Janajati* (25%). Awareness level was particularly low in Bajura (5%), Doti (11%), and Achham (13%), while it was comparatively better in Jajarkot (34%). The awareness level was higher in WFP program continuing schools (19%), compared to new schools (13%). Male parents were found relatively more aware of the benefits of SMP than females by 5 PPD. Better awareness of male parents was observed in all districts except Bajura, in both new and continuing schools, and among Brahmin/Chhetri and Dalit ethnicity. In the case of Janajati, there was no gender-wise difference among parents in the awareness level of the benefits of SMP.
| | | Parents | | Students | | |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------|------------|----------------|-----------|------------|
| Categories | Sample
Size | Frequency | Percentage | Sample
Size | Frequency | Percentage |
| | (N) | (n) | % | (N) | (n) | % |
| Total | 1849 | 296 | 16.0 | 2087 | 270 | 12.9 |
| District | | | | | | |
| Doti | 335 | 35 | 10.8 | 314 | 27 | 8.6 |
| Jajarkot | 181 | 61 | 33.7 | 360 | 125 | 34.7 |
| Bajhang | 400 | 79 | 19.8 | 422 | 66 | 15.6 |
| Bajura | 222 | 12 | 5.4 | 240 | 5 | 2.1 |
| Achham | 412 | 52 | 12.6 | 412 | 23 | 5.6 |
| Darchula | 309 | 57 | 18.4 | 339 | 24 | 7.1 |
| School type | | | | | | |
| Continuing | 899 | 169 | 18.8 | 904 | 154 | 17 |
| New | 950 | 127 | 13.4 | 1,183 | 116 | 9.8 |
| School program | | | | | | |
| Only SMP | 500 | 90 | 18 | 674 | 152 | 22.6 |
| SMP+SHN | 411 | 51 | 12.4 | 412 | 23 | 5.6 |
| SMP+SHN+EGR | 931 | 148 | 15.9 | 1,001 | 95 | 9.5 |
| School level | | | | | | |
| Primary (Up to 5) | 689 | 128 | 18.6 | 539 | 90 | 16.7 |
| Basic (Up to 8) | 423 | 71 | 16.8 | 569 | 89 | 15.6 |
| Secondary (9+) | 737 | 97 | 13.2 | 979 | 91 | 9.3 |
| Gender of parents or | | | | | | |
| students | | | | | | |
| Female | 1,087 | 153 | 14.1 | 1,054 | 130 | 12.3 |
| Male | 762 | 143 | 18.8 | 1,033 | 140 | 13.6 |

Table 4.1: Percentage of parents and school-going children aware of the benefits of SMP

Indicator 6: Percentage of students aware of the importance of school meal program (Custom 14)

162. Students who could tell any five out of the ten listed benefits of the school meal program were considered as aware of the benefits of SMP. The awareness of the importance of SMP was even lower among students (13%) (**Table 4.1**). The awareness level is much lower among students in Bajura (2%) and Darchula (7%), while it was relatively better in Jajarkot (35%). Students from the WFP program continuing schools had a higher level of awareness (17%) compared to new schools (10%), while it was high in 'only' SMP schools. Students interviewed in primary level schools (not primary school students) were found to have better knowledge compared to basic and secondary schools. Students aged 10 – 14 years more frequently identified the benefits of SMP. A slightly higher proportion of male students (14%) compared to female students (12%) were aware of the importance of SMP and a somewhat similar gender-wise difference (about 2-3 PPD) was observed in Bajhang, Doti, and Jajarkot; and in continuing schools. In terms of age of the students, males were better aware in the younger (5 – 9 years) age group (13% male vs. 7% female), while females were better aware in the older (15 – 17 years) age group (7% male vs. 15% female) (**Table 16, Annex 11**).



- 163. A higher proportion of parents identified 'motivates children to go to school' (68%), and 'motivates to stay longer at school' (53%) as the benefits of SMP. Other benefits such as the use of locally made EGR materials, promoting girl child enrolment, improving learning outcomes of children, improving the nutritional status of children were less often mentioned. Among the benefits mentioned by students, 'motivates to go to school' (51%), and 'motivates to stay longer at school' (43%) are listed more often. Compared to parents, a lower proportion of students mentioned the benefits of SMP, with 17 percent of students did not know any of the benefits of SMP (compared to 9% among parents). A higher proportion of students, however, were aware of benefits such as improved learning outcomes and improved health hand hygiene behavior (Figure 4.5).
- 164. Some students were found to be aware of various vitamins that can be obtained from nutritious food.
- 165. "Nutrition is that element that protects and develops our body. We need to eat nutritious food which also has vitamins. There are again different types of vitamins- vitamin A, vitamin B, vitamin C, etc."

-FGD with adolescent girls, Doti

166. <u>Gender-wise summary for activity 1</u>: There was no difference across the gender of students in terms of receiving school meals, attendance rate (average rate and headcount), retention rate, and MDD score. Male parents, as well as male students, were found relatively better aware of the importance of SMP compared to female parents and female students, with the difference larger in the case of parents.

Activity 2 - Support Improved Safe Food Preparation, Handling, and Storage

Indicator 7: Number of individuals who demonstrate the use of new safe food preparation and storage practices as a result of USDA assistance (Standard 20)

- 167. A composite index with the total score ranging from 0 to 12 was created including questions related to safe food preparation and storage, which was administered to the school cook and observation of the cooking procedure. Scores of 8 or above (70% or above) were considered as demonstrating the use of safe food preparation and storage practices (see **Table I7** in **Annex 11** for the details).
- 168. School cooks in 30 percent of schools reported safe food preparation and storage practice. The majority of the kitchen at schools did not have a window, almost half did not have an improved cooking stove, and 19 percent of the kitchen were not clean at the time of observation. Schools were also found poor in terms of having any measures in place to prevent food from contamination from pests and rodents and ensuring prevention of nutrient loss of fortified food. There was variation in the proportion of school cooks demonstrating safe food preparation and storage practice across the study district; highest in Darchula (44%) and lowest in Jajarkot (22%). There was also variation by school type, and gender of the cook as shown in **Table 4.2**, however, these findings should be interpreted cautiously due to the low sample size in some of the sub-groups.

Categories	Sample size (N)	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Total	78	23	29.5
District			
Doti	13	4	30.8
Jajarkot	9	2	22.2
Bajhang	23	6	26.1
Darchula	9	4	44.4
Achham	16	5	31.3
Bajura	8	2	25.0
School program			
Only SMP	22	6	27.3
SMP+SHN	16	5	31.3
SMP+SHN+EGR	40	12	30.0
School level			
Primary (Up to 5)	61	20	32.8
Basic (Up to 8)	14	2	14.3
Secondary (9+)	3	1	33.3
Gender of the cook			
Female	8	4	50.0
Male	70	19	27.1

Table 4.2: Percentage of school cooks demonstrating safe food preparation and storage practices

- 169. Municipal officials from Bajhang and Bajura expressed concerns about the necessary facilities at the school for the effective implementation of the school meal programme –
- 170. *"For effective execution of the school meal programme, we have to have good infrastructure, proper water facility, and also kitchen cleanliness. Also, we have to give priority to the cleanliness of the cook as well."*

-KII with Municipal Officials, Bajura

171. "I think one of the main problems is sitting facility, drinking water and toilets in those schools which have a high number of students like "JANAKARI" which have 1300 students. Sometimes it's difficult for students to even use toilets and drinking water due to the lack of pipeline facilities. Some schools don't even have fences."

-KII with Municipal Officials, Bajhang

172. <u>Gender-wise summary for activity 2</u>: A higher proportion of female cooks demonstrated safe food preparation and storage practice (50%) compared to male cooks (27%).

Activity 3 - Provide an Integrated Package of School Health and Nutrition Interventions

Indicator 8: Number of schools using an improved water source (Standard 27)

- 173. Questions related to the source of drinking water at school were included in both school environment observation and interviews with SHN focal teacher. Findings from school observation and SHN focal teacher have been reported separately. Drinking water from piped water; tube well/borehole; protected dug well; and protected spring were considered as the improved water sources.
- 174. The school observation showed that 93 percent of the schools were using an improved drinking water source (**Table 4.3**). The improved drinking water source was almost universal at schools in Bajhang district (98.4%), and all the other districts had improved water sources for more than 80 percent of schools. The proportion of schools having improved drinking water was slightly lower in WFP project continuing schools (91%) compared to the new schools (95%). Primary schools (up to 5 grades) had lower access to improved water sources compared to basic and secondary schools. Around five percent of schools reported students bring water from home, while around 2% did not have any reliable source of drinking water.

Categories	Frequency (n)	Proportion (%)	
Total (n = 216)	200	92.6	
District			
Bajhang (n = 64)	63	98.4	
Darchula (n = 49)	47	95.9	
Achham (n = 69)	62	89.9	
Bajura (n = 34)	28	82.4	
School type			
Continuing (n = 122)	111	91.0	
New (n = 94)	89	94.7	
School level			
Primary (Up to 5) (n = 107)	93	86.9	
Basic (Up to 8) (n = 43)	42	97.7	
Secondary (9+) (n = 66)	65	98.5	

Table 4.3: Percentage of schools using an improved drinking water source

175. Interview of SHN focal teacher showed similar results that almost 94 percent of schools had an improved drinking water source, with Bajhang district having the highest proportion of schools with an improved drinking water source, and primary level schools having a lower proportion (see **Tables I8 (a) and (b)** in **Annex 11** for the details).

Indicator 9: Number of schools with improved sanitation facilities (Toilet specified) (Standard 28)

176. If the school had at least one toilet which was observed to be flush or pour/flush toilet connected to a piped sewer connection, septic tank or pit latrine; VIP latrine; pit latrine with a slab; composting toilet; and bio-gas toilet, then the school was categorized as having improved sanitation facilities.



- 177. The majority of the schools (94%) had at least one improved toilet with Darchula district having almost all (98%), while Bajura had only 85 percent of the schools with improved toilets (**Figure 4.6**). Also, continuing, primary and basic schools had slightly lower percentages than the new and secondary schools with improved toilets.
- 178. Based on the reporting of the SHN teacher (who was asked about only the "main" toilet at the school), about 96 percent of the schools had improved toilets (**Table I9 (b)** in **Annex 11**). The majority of the schools (81%) had flush latrine (to a septic tank or a closed pit), while nine percent had flush latrine to the piped sewer system. The data shows that (not included in this report) five schools (out of 212 schools where SHN teachers could be interviewed) did not have any toilets. Almost half of the schools did not have a gender-separate toilet, and more than one-third did not have a toilet with water available at the time of observation.
- 179. The inadequacy of the necessary facilities related to water, sanitation, and others at the school was expressed by some respondents –
- 180. "The foremost problem that needs to be solved is managed drinking water facility in every school. Along with that, there must be the proper facility of toilets, physical infrastructure, and a desk bench for the students to sit in the classroom. These are all problems that we are not being able to cope with."

-KII with municipality official, Jajarkot

181. "The infrastructure of the school is not good as it must be according to national guidelines. We have a low number of students, so we are not being prioritized in development matters. We also don't have the political reach, so we don't have proper infrastructure. As you can see, we don't have buildings according to new guidelines. We also didn't have a transportation facility while building the school."

-KII with SMC, Darchula

Indicator 10: Number of students receiving deworming medication(s) (Standard 29)

- 182. The government of Nepal has been implementing the deworming component under the school health and nutrition program, in which anti-helminthic tablets are provided to school students twice a year. This indicator was measured by using the information collected from the students. Information from the school records could not be used as only 34 schools were found to be keeping the necessary records, which is too small a sample size to obtain any useful results.
- 183. The survey with students showed that 82 percent of schoolchildren received deworming tablets at least once at the school, while 53 percent received it twice in the last academic year (Table 4.4). WFP program continuing schools had a higher proportion of students receiving deworming at school, compared to new schools. Girl students as well as students from younger age groups more often reported receiving the deworming medications at school. The gender-wise difference with a higher proportion of female children receiving

deworming medications was observed in Bajhang (50% male vs. 62% female), and Darchula (60% male vs. 66% female), but not in Achham (56% male vs. 55% female) and Bajura (30% for both male and female). Female students received deworming medications in both WFP program continuing schools and new schools, with the difference more prominent in WFP program continuing schools. Further, a higher proportion of female students aged \geq 10 years consumed deworming medications, while a higher proportion of male students aged < 10 years consumed it.

S aturation	Deworming at lea	ast once at school	Deworming twice at school		
Categories	n	%	n	%	
Total (n = 1413)	1159	82.0	750	53.1	
District					
Bajhang (n = 422)	355	84.1	236	55.9	
Darchula (n = 339)	269	79.4	214	63.1	
Achham (n = 412)	358	86.9	228	55.3	
Bajura (n = 240)	176	73.3	73	30.4	
School type					
Continuing (n = 601)	525	87.4	325	54.1	
New (n = 812)	633	78.0	426	52.5	
School level					
Primary (Up to 5) (n = 324)	281	86.7	183	56.5	
Basic (Up to 8) (n = 429)	334	77.9	197	45.9	
Secondary (9+) (n = 660)	543	82.3	371	56.2	
Gender of student					
Female (n = 709)	592	83.5	393	55.4	
Male (n = 704)	566	80.4	358	50.9	
Age, years					
5 to 9 (n = 152)	127	83.6	84	55.3	
10 to 14 (n = 1183)	972	82.2	634	53.6	
15 or above (n = 78)	59	75.6	33	42.3	

Table 4.4:	Percentage of School Children	Receiving Deworming	g Medication(s) at School
			5

- 184. According to the survey with SHN focal teachers, nearly three-fourths (72%) of schools had provided deworming medications twice during the previous academic year. See **Tables I10 (a), (b), and (c)** in **Annex 11** for the details.
- 185. As to who provided the deworming medicines, some students said that –
- 186. "Deworming medicines are not provided by the school but are provided by the health post"

-FGD with adolescent girls, Bajura

- 187. Integrated Development Society on deworming programme at the school -
- 188. "We form clubs in the schools for organizing awareness programmes for water, cleanliness, and health. Like deworming program running from the year 2007 to 2008 AD, it's a collaborative program with education and health ministry, but it was lost in coming up to 2013 to 2014 AD. We started this program here in 2072³⁸, we found that the deworming program was not conducted at the schools so, from 2073, we then collaborated with health post and started this program. Now we have 100 percent achievement in this program. We also molded those guidelines in the year 2076. We also manufactured some products for Social and Behaviour Change Communication (SBCC) training. At this time, we are conducting programs to develop a good habit in children."

-KII with a representative from Integrated Development Society, Darchula

³⁸ 2015/16 AD

Indicator 11: Number of adolescent girls aged 10-19 years receiving weekly Iron Folic Acid (IFA) supplementation (Custom 4)

189. This indicator has been measured by using the information collected from the adolescent students from grades 6-8 in the sample schools from the four districts where the SHN program has been implemented. Adolescent girls who reported receiving a full dose (26 tablets in a year) of IFA tablets were considered as receiving biannual IFA supplementation. Information from the school records could not be used as only 29 schools were found to be keeping the necessary records, which is too small a sample size to obtain any useful results.



- 190. More than two-thirds (68%) of adolescent girl students (10 19 years from grade 6 8) reported receiving iron and folic acid supplementation once at school during the previous academic year. Although more than two-thirds of girl students mentioned receiving iron and folic acid supplementation tablets at school, only nine percent (n = 11) reported receiving full dose (i.e., 26 tablets in a year), while 14 percent received 13 tablets. None of the adolescent girls from the Bajura district reported a full dose of Iron Folic Acid supplementation. Girls from new program schools (11%), compared to old schools (5%) more often received the supplementation. Further, a higher proportion of girls from secondary schools, as well as a higher age group, reported receiving the Iron Folic Acid supplementation (**Figure 4.7** and **Table I11** in **Annex 11**).
- 191. Adolescent girls of Doti on iron-folic acid supplementation -
- 192. "Yes, we have Iron Folic Acid Supplementation. We have had before but not now. We must have to take folic acid supplementation for three months continuously and then take a gap for three months, and again start for three months and the cycle goes on."

- FGD with adolescent schoolgirls, Doti

Indicator 12: Number of schools with provision of sanitary pads (Custom 5)

- 193. Based on the school observation, schools that provided sanitary pads to adolescent girls at school were considered as having provision of sanitary pads. This indicator has been reported only for basic and secondary schools.
- 194. Around 83 percent of the basic and secondary schools (N = 109) in four SHN program districts had the availability of sanitary pads for girls (**Figure 4.8**). The proportion of schools with the provision of sanitary pads ranged from 59 percent in Bajhang to 97 percent in Achham. A lower proportion of schools where the WFP program was being implemented (75%) reported the provision of sanitary pads to adolescent girls, compared to new schools (87%). Please see **Table 112** in **Annex 11** for details.



Indicator 13: Number of schools toilet with sanitary pads disposal bins (Custom 6)

195. The school environment observation included a checklist related to the availability of containers in the toilet for storage/disposal of used sanitary pads in basic and secondary schools. Less than half (45%) of schools (among the basic and secondary schools) had a toilet with containers/bins for storing/disposing of the used sanitary pads (**Table 4.5**). Provision of such bins was more often observed in new schools (51%) compared to WFP program continuing schools (31%). The proportion of schools having sanitary pads disposal bins was higher in secondary level schools (50%), compared to the basic schools (37%). Please see **Table I13** in **Annex 11** for the details.

Table 4.5:	Percentage of school	ols having toilet with sani	itary pads disposal bin
------------	----------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------------

Categories	Number of Schools (N)	Schools with Sanitary Pads Disposal Bin (%)
Total (n = 109)	49	45.0
District		
Bajhang (n = 32)	15	46.9
Darchula (n = 27)	13	48.1
Achham (n = 30)	13	43.3
Bajura (n = 20)	8	40.0
School type		
Continuing (n = 32)	10	31.3
New (n = 77)	39	50.6
School level		
Basic (Up to 8) (n = 43)	16	37.2
Secondary (9+) (n = 66)	33	50.0

- 196. The unavailability of a proper place to dispose of the sanitary pads was expressed by the girls and the school management committees –
- 197. "No, there is not a separate toilet for girls in our school to change the sanitary pads. Yes, we have dust bins available for sanitary pad disposal."

-FGD with adolescent girls, Achham

198. "In our school, we don't have a separate toilet, we share the same toilet. We are planning to make one. We have one land pit to dispose of the pads."

-KII with SMC, Bajura, and KII with SMC Achham

Indicator 14: Number of schools with at least one set of information education and communication and behavior change package (SBCC Custom 5)

- 199. Headteachers at the surveyed schools were asked about the availability of information education and communication and behavior change package related to health, hygiene, nutrition, etc. Schools, where at least one set of IEC/BCC packages could be observed, were considered as having the package.
- 200. Only 14 percent of schools had at least one set of IEC and BCC packages available at school. In the Bajura district, around one-third of schools (35%) had IEC and BCC packages available, while six percent of schools in the Darchula district had such packages. A slightly higher percentage of schools where the WFP has been working (old schools) had IEC/BCC package available (16%), compared to new schools (13%). A higher proportion of schools with the female headteacher (28%) were observed having IEC/BCC package, compared to schools with the male headteacher (12%), which was more prominent in Achham and Bajhang districts, and in continuing schools (**Figure 4.9** and **Table I14** in **Annex 11**).



Indicator 15: Number of schools celebrating national sanitation-related campaign at the community level (SBCC Custom 6)

201. The survey with SHN focal teachers showed that 41 percent of schools were celebrating national sanitationrelated campaigns at the community level (**Table 4.6**), with the proportion highest in Achham (57%) and lowest in Bajhang (22%). New program schools were found better in conducting such sanitation campaigns at the community level (53%), compared to WFP working old schools (32%). Also, secondary level schools were more actively implementing such campaigns (60%), compared to primary (30%) and basic (40%) schools.

Categories	Schools Celebrating				
	Number (N)	Percentage (%)			
Total (n = 212)	87	41.0			
District					
Bajhang (n = 64)	14	21.9			
Darchula (n = 45)	21	46.7			
Achham (n = 69)	39	56.5			
Bajura (n = 34)	13	38.2			
School type					
Continuing (n =121)	39	32.2			
New (n = 91)	48	52.7			
School level					
Primary (n = 104)	31	29.8			
Basic (n = 43)	17	39.5			
Secondary (n = 65)	39	60.0			

Table 4.6: Percentage of schools celebrating national sanitation-related campaign at the community level

Indicator 16: Number of schools conducting one annual health screening (Custom 3)

202. Based on the interview with SHN focal teacher, only about nine percent of the schools reported conducting annual health screening with all the five components which included screening for height, weight, vision, hearing, and dental. More than one-third (38%) of schools had conducted at least one health screening for measuring weight during the last academic year, while one-fourth (25%) had conducted height measurement for students, and 22 percent conducted vision screening. A relatively fewer proportion of schools conducted other annual health screenings such as hearing tests and dental check-ups (12% each; **Figure 4.10**).



203. **Table 4.7** shows that 22% of schools in Achham and 5% of schools in Bajhang districts conducted annual health screening on all the five components measured during the past academic year, while none of the schools in Darchula and Bajura had conducted health screening on all the five components. A higher proportion of schools from Bajhang district (45%), followed by Achham district (41%) conducted weight measurements of students, while only 18 percent of schools in Bajura reported weight measurement. Schools in Achham district more often conducted height measurement, vision tests, hearing tests, as well as a dental check-up of students. None of the schools in the Darchula district reported conducting the hearing test, while none of the schools in Bajura conducted dental check-ups during the previous academic year. A

higher proportion of secondary schools (14%) reported conducting all types of health screening as reported in **Table 4.7**. Further details are presented in **Table 116** in **Annex 11**.

Categories	All Five Categories (%)	Height (%)	Weight (%)	Vision (%)	Hearing (%)	Dental (%)
District						
Bajhang (n = 64)	4.7	15.6	45.3	17.2	9.4	6.3
Darchula (n = 45)	0	31.1	37.8	17.8	0.0	4.4
Achham (n = 69)	21.7	37.7	40.6	37.7	27.5	27.5
Bajura (n = 34)	0	11.8	17.6	5.9	2.9	0.0
School type						
Continuing	7.4	24.8	43.8	19.0	10.7	9.9
New	9.9	26.4	29.7	26.4	14.3	14.3
School level						
Primary	6.7	23.1	23.1	15.4	10.6	8.7
Basic	4.7	20.9	20.9	23.3	4.7	7.0
Secondary	13.8	32.3	32.3	32.3	20.0	20.0

Table 4.7: Percentage of schools conducting annual health screening, by different categories

Indicator 17: Number of individuals who demonstrate the use of new child health and nutrition practices as a result of USDA assistance (Standard 19)

204. A composite index with the possible value ranging from 0 to 10 was created including students' behavior such as water purification before drinking, waste disposal practice, eating snacks at home during school days, hand washing practice, and personal hygiene. School students who demonstrate health and nutrition practices were defined as those who scored 7 or more (70% or more).



205. Around half (49%) of the school students demonstrated child health and nutrition practices (**Figure 4.11**), which was highest in Darchula (55%), followed by Bajhang (54%), Achham (46%), and lowest in Bajura (37%). Students from the WFP project continuation schools reported better health and nutrition practices (52%)

compared to students from new schools (47%). Similarly, students from basic (up to grade 8) level schools were found to demonstrate better practices (52%) compared to primary (47%) and secondary (49%) levels. There was a significant difference in the proportion of students demonstrating proper health and nutrition practices by sex of the children, with a higher proportion of female students demonstrating better practices (55%) compared to male students (44%). Female students (55%) showed better health and nutrition practices compared to male students (44%), which was observed in all districts except Achham where health and nutrition practices among female students were observed in both WHP existing program schools and new schools, as well as across all age groups of students. In terms of the age of students, health and nutrition practices were better among older age students (**Table 117** in **Annex 11**).

206. Students, in general, showed good practice on waste disposal, eating tiffin³⁹/snacks on school days after returning from school in the afternoon, and handwashing before eating and after using the toilet, while the practice of drinking water purification, personal hygiene, and handwashing with soap and water on critical moments such as before food preparation, after coughing/sneezing and after touching waste was found poor.

Indicator 18: Number of schools practicing segregated waste management practice (Custom 8)

207. Around 32 percent of schools were found to be practicing waste segregation, with separate dustbins/pits at the school premises to dump trash according to the nature of waste. Such separate bins were observed in only one-fourth (25%) of schools in Bajhang, and 41 percent of schools in Achham. As **Figure 4.12** shows, a higher proportion of the WFP program continuing schools (35%) was found practicing segregated waste management, compared to new schools (29%).



Indicator 19: Health-related absenteeism among school-age children (SBCC Custom 1)

208. The parent's questionnaire included questions related to health-related absenteeism among their children. Although the plan was to triangulate this information by reviewing the school record, only three schools were found to be keeping the necessary record.

³⁹ A light midday meal



209. Around 19 percent of the parents reported that their children had missed at least one school day in the past month due to health-related causes (**Figure 4.13**). When compared across the study districts, health-related absenteeism was highest (24%) in Bajhang and lowest (11%) in Doti. Such absenteeism was observed more often in new schools (22%) compared to WFP project continuing schools (16%). A higher proportion of students from Dalit ethnicity had health-related absenteeism (25%). Further, children aged 15 years or above had a higher proportion of absenteeism. A slightly higher proportion of female students (20%), compared to male students (18%) were found absent due to health-related reasons. Female students in Achham, Bajhang, Bajura, and Darchula more often missed school compared to male students. Both continuing and new schools had a higher proportion of female students being absent due to health-related reasons. When analyzed across the ethnicity, the gender-wise difference was most prominent in Dalit groups where 18% of male and 29% of female students were absent due to illness during the previous month. Among the students who had health-related absenteeism (n = 345), the mean number of days the school was missed was 3.6 (SD = 3.1, range: 1 to 25) per month. The major reason for health-related absenteeism was fever (84%), with other reasons included diarrhoea (9%), injury (7%), running nose/cough (6%), headache (2%), and stomach-ache (2%).

Indicator 20: Percent of school-age children with good personal hygiene (SBCC Custom 2)

- 210. School students (grades 4 to 8) were observed for their personal hygiene practice which included the maintenance of trimmed nails, groomed hair, clean teeth, clean dress, and clean shoes/slipper. Each of these aspects was scored as 0 (poor), 1 (good), and very good (2), with a summative score ranging from 0 to 10, with a higher score indicating better personal hygiene. Students scoring 7 or more (70% or more) were considered as maintaining good personal hygiene.
- 211. **Table 4.8** shows that one-third (33%) of students were with good personal hygiene, with the proportion of students maintaining good personal hygiene higher in Darchula (53%), followed by Bajhang (32%), Bajura (29%), and Achham (20%). When compared across school type by cycle, students from the WFP project continuing schools showed better personal hygiene (37%) compared to students from new schools (30%). A higher proportion of female students, as well as students aged 10 to 14 years, had good personal hygiene. Female students practicing better personal hygiene compared to male students was more prominent in Bajhang, Darchula, and Bajura districts, as well as WFP program continuing schools.

Categories	Total Students (N)	Students Maintaining Good Personal Hygiene (%)
Total	1,413	33.1
District		
Bajhang	422	32.2
Darchula	339	52.8
Achham	412	19.9
Bajura	240	29.2
School type		
Continuing	601	37.1
New	812	30.0
School level		
Primary (Up to 5)	324	29.3
Basic (Up to 8)	429	38.2
Secondary (9+)	660	31.5
Gender		
Female	709	37.1
Male	704	29.0
Age, years		
5 - 9	152	27.0
10 – 14	1,183	34.1
15 or above	78	29.5

Table 4.8: Percentage of school-age children with good personal hygiene

Indicator 21: Percent of adolescent girls reporting practice of hygienic menstrual behavior (SBCC Custom 3)

212. School adolescent girls were asked whether they were aware of menstrual hygiene, speak about menstrual hygiene to anybody, use sanitary pads during menstruation, change the pad every six hours, safe disposal of the menstrual pad, and handwashing before and after changing the pads. A composite index of a total possible score of 6 was created, whereby scoring 4 or above was categorized as having hygienic menstrual behavior.



- 213. More than three-fourths (79%) of adolescent girls reported practicing good menstrual hygiene, with a higher proportion of girls from Darchula (83%), followed by Achham (80%) district practicing hygienic menstrual behavior. A higher proportion of adolescent girls from new schools (81%), compared to old (WFP program continuing) schools practiced hygienic menstrual hygiene (**Figure 4.14**).
- 214. Some girls and parents expressed an improvement on the societal perception about menstruation-related matters -
- 215. "Previously, the women were forced to live in the cow shed but now they are just kept in a separate place in the same house. The women stay in a separate room during menstruation. The women enter the kitchen after 3 days of menstruation. Previously they used to stay in the cowshed for 5 days. Our daughters teach us how to maintain menstrual hygiene. My daughter uses sanitary napkins during mensuration."

-FGD with parents, Achham

216. "We never know if they are on periods or not. They sit together and eat food, drink water. Like bathing, they need to change pads frequently. They will be there in the school for 4 hours. The blood may smell."

-FGD with Parents, Bajura

217. "We have to bath regularly and change the sanitary pads during periods. We have to wash our private parts. Not everyone can afford sanitary pads, in that case, they can use soft and clean cotton cloths. Chaupadi is not followed here. In past, the girls were sent to cow shed but now those practices are not followed"

-FGD with Parents, Achham

Indicator 22: Percent of school-age children reporting handwashing practice at critical times (SBCC Custom 4)

218. Around nine percent of the students reported handwashing at five or more critical moments (**Figure 4.15**), which was highest in Bajhang (17%), and lowest in Bajura (3%) district. A higher proportion of female students (13%) reported handwashing at critical moments compared to male students (6%). Handwashing practice increased with the increase in age of the students. Students from schools having secondary grades showed better handwashing practice at critical times. A higher proportion of female students (13%) reported handwashing at critical moments compared to male students (6%). Female students (13%) reported handwashing at critical moments compared to male students (6%). Female students in all four districts, except Bajura, reported better handwashing practice at critical times. Such gender-wise difference was observed across all the age groups and in both WFP, program continuing (6% male vs. 13% female) and new (5% male vs. 13% female) schools (**Table 122** in **Annex 11**).





- 219. **Figure 4.16** shows the percentage of students reporting handwashing at specific critical moments. Almost all the children reported washing their hands before eating while 82 percent reported washing their hands after using the toilet. Similarly, the proportion of children washing their hands after touching garbage was 69 percent. A fewer proportion of children mentioned washing their hands before food preparation, after coughing/sneezing, and after touching animal waste.
- 220. Parents' perception of the benefit of students' knowledge on sanitation -
- 221. "If the child learns about it then he can also educate his family about clean and healthy sanitation measures. We can be healthy and save ourselves from falling ill."

-FGD with Parents, Achham

- 222. Municipality officials take on how the WASH programme is being implemented -
- 223. "WASH program has taught us to wash our hands before and after eating food. They also teach students to wash their hands after going to the toilet. They have learned everything, but WASH taught them practically. Even I sometimes ask children how we can wash our hands, and they have a proper answer for that."

-KII with Municipality official, Jajarkot

224. <u>Gender-wise summary for activity 3</u>: Female students (84% vs. 80% for boys) more often reported receiving the deworming medications at school. Female students also showed better health and nutrition practices (55% vs. 44%), handwashing practice at critical moments (13% vs. 6%), as well as personal hygiene (37% vs. 29%), compared to male students. A slightly higher proportion of female students (20%) compared to male students (18%) were found absent due to health-related reasons in the previous month.

Activity 4 - Promoting Improved Literacy

Indicator 23: Number of local governments recognizing and rewarding teachers making changes or taking special initiatives for their students to achieve reading outcomes (Custom 9)

225. The key informant interviews with six municipalities (two from each of the three districts) the municipality officials gathered information with respect to recognizing and rewarding teachers making changes or taking initiatives for their students to achieve reading outcomes. The practice is largely intended to motivate the teachers to perform better. All together thre municipalities (two from Darchula and one from Bajhang) and

none from Bajura (non EGR districts of 2017 cycle) were found to have the practice of recognizing and rewarding teachers.

Indicator 24: Number of local governments developing contextualized instructional materials (Custom 13)

226. Additionally, the key informant interviews also explored the number of local governments developing contextualized instructional materials. The contextualized materials are aimed at engaging students in active learning while assisting them to make meaning out of the information they obtain. Of the six municipalities visited in the baseline, only two (one each from Bajhang and Darchula) were found to have developed or developing contextualized instructional materials, while the municipalities visited in Bajura did not have such practice.

Indicator 25: Percent of students who, by the end of two grades of primary schooling, demonstrate that they can read and understand the meaning of a grade-level text (Standard 1)

- 227. Standard assessment tools developed for Early Grade Reading Assessment (EGRA) by the Education Review Office (ERO), Ministry of Science and Technology (MoEST) was used to assess the early grade reading skills of grade three students in the sampled schools. The tool has six subtasks Listening comprehension (3 questions), Letter decoding (100 letters), Matra decoding (100 matras), non-word reading (50 non-words), Oral reading fluency (60 words), and Oral reading comprehension (5 questions). Each task was timed to be assessed within a minute (60 seconds), except for comprehension.
- 228. A composite index was prepared to assess the overall reading and comprehension skills of grade three students following the guideline of the Ministry of Education, Science, and Technology (MoEST, 2018). Based on this, 45 CWPM (correct words per minute) in subtask 5 and 80 percent of the correct responses on the comprehension questions (which is 4 out of 5 questions) in subtask 6 were used as the benchmark for early grade reading.
- 229. Out of the 3979 students assessed, only one percent demonstrated the necessary grade-level skills (**Table I25 (a)** in **Annex 11**). Students from the three districts where EGR is implemented have performed marginally better, but the result is far from satisfactory against the benchmark used. The prolonged closure of the schools in the past due to Covid-19 related reasons may have contributed to the poor performance of the students, among others.
- 230. Analysis was also conducted to ensure that the foundation skills are evaluated separately on the six subtasks. Tables I25 (b, c, d, e, f, and g) in Annex 11 presents the detailed findings on individual subtasks disaggregated by relevant categories. Table 4.9 below summarises the main findings.

Categories	Number (N)	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	Confidence Interval (L)	Confidence Interval (U)	Zero Score (%)
Listening comprehension (*1)	3979	1.5	1.0	0.0	1.5	1.6	17.2
Letter decoding (*2)	3979	30.0	20.3	0.3	29.4	30.7	6.5
Matra decoding (*3)	3979	16.5	18.1	0.3	16.0	17.1	24.2
Non-word reading (*4)	3979	6.7	6.9	0.1	6.5	6.9	25.1
Oral reading fluency (*5)	3979	11.4	12.7	0.2	11.0	11.8	18.4
Oral reading comprehension (*6)	3979	1.5	1.5	0.0	1.4	1.5	42.3

Table 4.9: Scores of the grade three students on individual six subtasks of EGRA

*1. Correct answers out of 3 questions. *2. Correct letters/min. *3. Correct matras/min. *4. Correct non-words/min. *5. Correct words/min. *6. Correct answers out of 5 questions.

231. The average correct response on listening comprehension was 1.5 out of 3 questions with the majority (83%) of the students giving at least one correct response and 19 percent giving all three correct responses (not shown in the table), while 17 percent could not provide a single correct response.



232. The percentage of those who scored zero on this subtask was particularly lower among new schools (14%), in the three districts where EGR is implemented (13%), in secondary schools (13%), and among male students 14%), and students with mother tongue Nepali (14%).⁴⁰



233. Students decoded an average of 30 letters correctly in a minute, and about seven percent scored zero on this subtask. Those from EGR districts have comparatively better correct letters on this subtask than the other

⁴⁰ Note: The disaggregation by school level in the figures simply means - grade three students of primary schools, grade three students of basic schools and grade three students of secondary schools

three districts. Students from primary schools scored slightly higher percentage of zero than those from basic and secondary schools. Similarly L2 type students (having mother tongue other than Nepali) scored slightly higher percentage of zero than L1 type students (having mother tongue Nepali).

234. Analysis suggests that a gradually decreasing proportion of students decoded *Matras* and *non-words* correctly (16% and 7% respectively) with about a quarter of the students not decoding a single *Matra* or *non-word* correctly. As in the earlier two subtasks, students from the three EGR districts and those with mother tongue Nepali scored less zero (about 22% and 21% respectively) than the rest in the *Matras* decoding and non-word reading subtasks.



- 235. The average score on oral reading fluency was about 11 CWPM, which is higher in EGR districts (14) by 5 points than the other three districts. About 18 percent scored zero on this subtask, which is particularly lower among students with mother tongue Nepali by 9 PPD. Scores on reading fluency were similar between girls and boys, but the percentage of those who scored zero was about three percentage points higher among females.
- 236. Analysis was also conducted to categorize the fluency levels following MoEST (2018) as:

Non-readers: The students who could not read a single word correctly in one minute. Initial readers: The students who can read up to 1-15 words correctly in one minute. Emergent readers: The students who can read 16 to 44 words correctly in one minute. Fluent readers: The students who can read more than 45 words correctly in one minute.

237. Analysis based on this classification suggests that the majority (51%) of the sampled students fell into the initial readers followed by emergent readers (29%) and non-readers (18%), while only about two percent were fluent readers.

Table 4.10: Fluency Category of Students

Fluency Category	Number	Proportion
Non- readers (ORF = 0)	731	18.4
Initial readers (ORF: 1 to 15)	2021	50.8



238. The average correct response in the reading comprehension was 1.5 out of five, and more than two-fifths (42%) scored zero indicating that they could not correctly respond to a single comprehension question (see Table I25 (g.1) in **Annex 11**). The scores were similar between girls and boys but those who scored zero were slightly higher (3 PPD) among females. As Figure 4.20 shows, the percentage of students scoring zero in comprehension is relatively higher in non-EGR implementing districts, in basic schools, among student type L2, and female students.



- 239. As **Figure 4.21** shows, only three percent gave correct answers to all five questions and only 12 percent of the students demonstrated comprehension of the passage with 80 percent or above (4 or 5) scores (national benchmark).
- 240. Based on the nature of comprehension, a higher proportion of students correctly responded to the questions which required explicit understanding of the text and obtained a mean score of 1.3 out of 3, compared to

inferential understanding in which they obtained a mean score of 0.2 out of 2 (Tables I25 (g.2) and I25 (g.3) in **Annex 11**). Also, the performance of students in explicit understanding is slightly better (both in terms of mean score and fewer students scoring zero) in EGR implementing districts and among L1-type students. A similar advantage in inferential understanding is however not so prominent.

Analysis targeted to gauge the relationship between fluency and comprehension showed that there was a positive relationship between the students' fluency level and their ability to comprehend the passage (Table 4.11).

Categories	Number of Students (N)	Reading Comprehension (Mean)	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	CI (L)	CI (U)
Total	3979	1.5	1.5	0.0	1.4	1.5
Non-readers	731	0.3	1.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
Initial Readers	2021	0.9	1.1	0.0	0.9	1.0
Emergent Readers	1158	3.0	1.1	0.0	2.9	3.0
Fluent Readers	69	3.6	1.0	0.1	3.4	3.9

Table 4.11: Relation between Fluency and Comprehension

242. The mean scoring on comprehension increased along with the fluency of reading. The findings are consistent with the assumption that reading fluency is critical for transitioning the early grade students from the stage of decoding to focusing on comprehension, and that fluent word recognition skill frees up processing time that can be used to focus on comprehension (The National Reading Panel, 2000).

Figure 4.22 Relationship between fluency and comprehension by gender and mother tongue





243. As can be seen in the box and whiskers plot shown in Figure 4.22, the more fluent the readers are, the better tends to be the reading comprehension. This is true irrespective of the gender and mother tongue of the students, although the comprehension and fluency are relatively better among the males and the students with mother tongue Nepali.

Indicator 26: Number of teachers/educators/teaching assistants in target schools who demonstrate the use of new and quality teaching techniques or tools as a result of USDA assistance (Standard 4)

244. Assessment of Nepali subject teachers from 136 schools of 3 districts (Bajhang, Darchula, and Bajura where literacy program is being implemented) was carried out to determine the number of teachers who demonstrated the use of new and quality teaching techniques or tools. Data from the Nepali Teacher Survey, which contained 25 indicators with possible sores of 0 or 1, and the Class Observation tool developed by the Education Review Office, Ministry of Education and Science, which contained 44 indicators with possible scores of 0 or 1, were used to create a composite index of a total possible score of 69. These indicators consisted of items that captured the essential elements of the use of new and quality teaching techniques. Out of the total possible score of 69, a score of 48 or above (70% or above) was used as a benchmark to decide that the teacher demonstrated the use of new and quality teaching. The overall findings are presented in **Table 126** in **Annex 11**.



- 245. The proportion of Nepali subject teachers who demonstrated the use of new and quality teaching techniques or tools was low at 18 percent. Of the 3 districts, Nepali teachers in Bajhang (24%) demonstrated better performance than in Darchula and Bajura by 11 and 9 PPD respectively. Although both this section of inquiry and that of the head teacher were targeted to the EGR programme, the findings are not consistent across the districts, which may be the result of different nature of the inquiry involving different evidence (only related to the teaching of Nepali in early grades in this indicator while related to various other aspects in the case of headteachers).
- 246. A higher proportion of teachers from continuing schools (25%) demonstrated distinctly better performance than from the new schools by 16 PPD indicating some evidence of the effectiveness of EGR intervention. The performance of Nepali teachers in the primary (23%) and basic (21%) schools is better than in the secondary schools by more than 10 PPD. On a gender basis, a visible difference was identified wherein the male Nepali teachers (who are 62% of the total in the sample) performed better than the female by about 10 PPD. Better performances of male teachers than female teachers is prominent particularly in Bajhang and Darchula, in continuing schools and primary and basic schools by about 15 to 17 PPD. On the other hand, the difference is negligible (less than 3 PPD) in Bajura, new schools, and secondary schools (not shown in the table/figure).
- 247. EDCU, Jajarkot on the importance of early grade reading -
- 248. "EGR program is related to education. EGR has helped a lot. It has taught us what techniques we can use while teaching our small children. Previously, teachers did not have the habit of preparing the lesson plan. Now, they make a proper plan of action."

-KII with EDCU, Jajarkot

249. The Nepali teacher was also asked if there are special needs students in his/her grade 3 class. The presence of special needs children was reported by 25 Nepali teachers (18%) from 136 schools of three districts. A total of thirty-three children with special needs were reported from 25 school in Bajhang (15), Bajura (7), and Darchula (3). Among 33 students, all of them have problem with understanding; twenty students have hearing problem; eighteen have communicating problem; six have vision problem; four have dressing/washing problem; and one has difficulty in walking/climbing stairs.

Indicator 27: Number of school administrators and officials in target schools who demonstrate the use of new techniques or tools as a result of USDA assistance (Standard 6)

250. The assessment team administered the Head Teacher Survey among 330 headteachers of the sample districts against 19 indicators (each with 1 or 0 possible scores) that assessed their use of new techniques or tools as a result of USDA assistance for instructional leadership and management of school activities. Obtaining a total score of 13 or above on these 19 indicators (68% or above) was used as the benchmark to



decide that the Head Teacher demonstrated the use of new techniques or tools. Overall findings are presented in **Table I27** in **Annex 11**.

- 251. On average, 35 percent of headteachers from among 330 schools demonstrated the use of new techniques or tools. Across districts, Darchula (51%) followed by Bajura (47%) demonstrated the best performance while Jajarkot (26%) followed by Achham (29%) demonstrated the poorest performance, where Doti (30%) and Bajhang (33%) remained in the middle. Schools where EGR is implemented (42%) performed better than those without, and secondary schools (44%) followed by basic schools (40%) performed better than primary schools by more than 17 and 13 PPD respectively. Thus, the data indicate a leap increment in the execution of techniques and tools by headteachers along with the increase of school grades. This may be the result of a possibly higher level of education and skills of the headteachers as well as possibly more resources in the basic and secondary schools and overall higher stakeholder and community mobility in school activities thereby leading to the observed performance differences as regards the assessment explored. Similarly, the performance of male headteachers (88% of the sample) seemed better than female headteachers (12% of the sample) by 22 PPD, which may be because the majority (85%) of the female headteachers belonged to primary schools and only 15 percent belonged to basic and secondary schools (while similar percentages for male headteachers were 48 percent and 52 percent respectively). Continuing schools seemed to perform similarly to the new schools (with 2 percentage points lower), but it may again be because the majority (76%) of the continuing schools were primary schools while only 23 percent of the new schools were primary schools.
- 252. It is noteworthy that while Head Teachers seem to be performing better in basic and secondary schools compared to primary schools, the EGRA results are found to be better in primary schools. Whether this is because of early grade literacy being given less priority by the secondary schools is however something that needs to be further explored.
- 253. **Gender-wise summary for activity 4**: The overall competency of grade three students in reading and understanding the grade level text was extremely poor for both boys and girls, although the boys were found to be slightly better in terms of fewer proportions of them scoring zero than the girls in individual subtasks. A higher percentage of male teachers teaching Nepali in grade three demonstrated using new and quality teaching techniques or tools than the female counterparts (by 10 PPD). A similarly higher percentage of male headteachers demonstrated using new techniques or tools than female headteachers (by 22 PPD).

Activity 5 - Promote Improved Nutrition: Sustainable Transition to Home-Grown School Meals

Indicator 28: Number of individuals in the agriculture system who have applied improved management practices or technologies with USDA assistance (Local and Regional Procurement (LRP-12)

- 254. Altogether 18 farmers groups (three per programme districts) were surveyed, after which farming practices of a total of 52 farmers (three from each farmers group attempted but two were not available) were observed. Farmers adopting at least 7 (out of 10) improved agricultural management practices and technologies were considered as having applied improved agricultural management practices or technologies.
- 255. Around 29 percent of farmers were found adopting improved agricultural management practices and technologies (**Table 128** in **Annex 11**). None of the farmers observed in Doti, Achham, and Bajura districts met the criteria for adopting improved agricultural practices. Almost 43 percent of farmers aged 30 years or above were found to practice improved agriculture management, while none of the farmers below 30 years practiced improved agriculture. When compared across gender, a higher proportion of male farmers (31%) were adopting improved agricultural practices compared to female farmers (28%). In terms of the specific agricultural practices, a higher proportion of farmers was found to be practicing local cultural practices such as mulching, line sowing, weeding, etc. (65%); soil conservation and fertilizer management (62%); and improved seed varieties (60%) (**Figure 4.25**).





Method:

256. The organizational Performance Index (OPI) tool was administered at 12 municipalities⁴¹. The overarching goal of the OPI was to establish baseline values about the performance of the municipalities visited. The focus was geared towards the Home-Grown School Feeding (HGSF) component of the project. The tool was largely intended to measure performance rather than the capacity of the local government per se and took into account the performance holistically, tracking the performance of the municipality across multiple areas of

⁴¹ Achham: a) Kamalbazar municipality; and b) Chaurpati rural municipality; a) Bithadchir rural municipality; and b) JayaPrithivi municipality; Bajura: a) Badimalika municipality; and b) Budinanda municipality; Darchula: a) Naugad rural municipality; and b) Mahakali municipality; Doti: a) Jorayal rural municipality; b) Shikar municipality; Jajarkot: a) Bheri municipality; and b) Barekot rural municipality.

work both in terms of more immediate aspects of effectiveness and efficiency, and longer-term aspects of relevance and sustainability.

257. The OPI tool contained seven key themes: i) Number of policies, regulations, or administrative procedures on HGSF component; ii) National and international standards (develop food menu in the local context); iii) Written operational guideline, standard operating procedures, strategy, and plan; iv) Target population (identify school's students, local cooperatives and farmers' groups); v) Participatory planning and decision-making process; vi) Successes and challenges analysis (functional school meal management committees, regular meetings, etc.); and vii) Networking and partnerships. To facilitate the collection of information, each theme included evidence that the participants had to present. The tool was jointly developed by WFP and its implementing partner (Mercy Corps).

Approach:

258. The participants (mainly the local government officials and the school meal management committee members) were presented with the background and purpose of the OPI. The scoring process is a crucial part of the OPI was thoroughly discussed (**Table 4.12**). The participants were provided with ample time to discuss the themes and arrive at a consensus.

Table 4.12: Scoring process in the calculation of OPI				
Response	Score			
Extremely low performing	1			
Low performing	2			
Medium performing	3			
High performing	4			

- 259. A Likert scale was used to measure the performance of all the municipalities. There were four different responses included in the Likert scale: i) extremely low performing (**scored as 1**); ii) low performing (**scored as 2**); iii) medium performing (**scored as 3**); and iv) high performing (**scored as 4**). For the analysis purpose, frequency distribution (number of municipalities against each theme) was used to summarize the data obtained and also the averages of responses⁴².
- 260. **Figure 4.26** below shows either extremely low or low performance for all OPI-related themes. Very few of the responses were 'medium preforming' or 'high performing'. Being a baseline survey and considering HGSF at the very initial stage, it was to some extent anticipated that the responses would either be 'extremely low performing' or 'low performing'.

Figure 4.26: OPI related results in radar chart



⁴² The scores were added from each theme to the get total score and then followed by calculating the mean or average score.

About the chart: i) numbers 0-7 in the middle indicate the number of municipalities against each theme; and ii) results will appear if the cursor hovers over the marks.

- 261. **Table I29** in **Annex 11** presents OPI scores by themes and district. There were a few important steps taken to compute the overall score. The first step was the calculation of the average for each district (average score of two municipalities per district) followed by the calculation of average scores for all the districts. The resulting scores for each theme for each district then were added and an average was calculated. Based on the aforementioned approach, the average score computed was 1.8 or 2 (round figure). Simply put, the majority of the responses were 'low performing' which is scored as 2. Theme 4 which is [Target population (identify school's students, local cooperatives, and farmers' groups)] had the highest average score (2.3) and theme 7 (Networking and partnerships) the lowest average (1.4). Across the districts, Doti had the highest average score (2.1) and Jajarkot had the lowest (1.4).
- 262. <u>Gender-wise summary for activity 5</u>: Slightly higher proportion of male farmers (31%) were found adopting improved agricultural management practices and technologies compared to female farmers (28%).

B. Findings on the Evaluation Questions

263. This section focuses on the baseline findings on three aspects of the evaluation, namely relevance, coherence, and sustainability. The evaluation matrix used for this study accommodated sub-questions in relation to these three aspects (see **Annex 7** for more details). The findings, as outlined below based on the sub-questions, will be further elaborated and strengthened during the MT and the EL, during when the other evaluation aspects namely effectiveness, efficiency, and impact of the programme will also be assessed.

a. Relevance

264. The relevance of the project ascertained if the McGovern-Dole FY 20 program's overarching goal aligns with the beneficiary and stakeholders' needs. The component broadly focused on: i) the extent to which stakeholders' needs and priorities are included in the intervention's objectives; ii) intended beneficiaries; iii) usefulness of the intervention (beneficiaries' perception). According to both primary and secondary data, it appears that the program design was largely built on understanding beneficiaries' needs and priorities.

Stakeholders' Needs and Priorities in Design

- 265. The midday meal is considered a crucial component of the School Health and Nutrition initiatives. Over 2.2 million children in the country are currently benefiting from the midday meal provided at the schools⁴³. The state spends over NPR 550 million in community school midday meal program which has to some extent contributed to increased children's enrolment and reduced dropout rates among children.
- 266. The key informant interviews conducted with a number of individuals mainly including government officials, experts, and WFP officials at the central level indicate that the midday meal program is innovative in its whole cycle particularly aimed at improving children's attendance in public schools.
- 267. One government official commented on one of the key benefits of having a midday meal program in place:
- 268. "The midday meal program definitely has improved the attendance of the children along with the reduction in dropout rates. Children all over the country whether urban or rural are motivated to go to schools due to the program. The program has helped the children in improving health and education of the children"

-KII with MoEST official, Kathmandu.

- 269. The implementing partners held a similar view when asked about the benefits of a midday meal. One official at the implementing partner agency:
- 270. "The attendance of the marginalized children has improved quite significantly over the last one decade. One of the reasons is the midday meal program or in other words, these children to a large extent are encouraged to attend schools due to the meal."

-KII with an official at implementing partner agency

Intended Beneficiaries

⁴³ https://kathmandupost.com/national/2019/08/14/government-prepares-diet-plan-for-community-school-midday-meal-programme

- 271. Girls and the socially disadvantaged appear to be clear beneficiaries of the project. The midday meal financially and technically supported by WFP appears to be benefited particularly by those living in remote areas of the two provinces under study. The majority of the people in remote areas lead a socio-economically vulnerable life, combatting mass poverty and livelihood challenges. Marginalized communities including Dalits live in remote areas in vulnerable circumstances and are often unemployed and rely heavily on their indigenous knowledge and skills for livelihood⁴⁴.
- 272. A WFP official at the central level held a view that the WFP has targeted the marginalized children from Sudurpashchim and Karnali provinces.
- 273. "Children at the provinces (Karnali and Sudurpashchim) that we are currently operating midday meal program are relatively marginalized and vulnerable. Midday meal for some of these children is the only source of good nutritious food. It not only improves their nutritional status but also has a considerable positive impact on their attendance. This, as a result, will have an impact on their learning outcomes."

-KII with WFP official at the central level.

- 274. The Health and Nutrition status of students is a crucial factor affecting the learning and development of children belonging including those belonging to marginalized communities at the public schools. The school management committee members in the schools considered for the baseline study were aware of the importance of home-prepared food as opposed to junk food. However, in practice, it seems that there is an inadequate state's effective monitoring mechanism which is an opportunity for the WFP to improve the situation.
- 275. A School Management Committee member on the midday meal provided at the state-funded schools:
- 276. "National government has been considering school meal guidelines for the schools to ensure that children get a healthy and nutritious diet. The government has announced the cutting down of junk food from the meal. However, there is limited access to nutritious and diversified food and to this end, WFP's program can play a significant role in bridging the gap. In addition to this, the monitoring mechanism of the mid-day meal programme should be strengthened to ensure a healthy nutritious diet for the children. I consider it as an opportunity for WFP to improve the situation."

-KII with SMC Member, Karnali Province

- 277. An overarching goal of the midday meal program is to provide nutritious food to all children. The federal government provides Rs. 15 per day to each studying up to grade five through local government. The budget is transferred directly to the bank account of schools. Stakeholders' however raised concerns about the likely misuse of cash that is provided on a lump sum basis to the schools and thus suggested having a proper monitoring mechanism in place to fully address key beneficiaries' needs and priorities:
- 278. "Although a few there have been cases of schools in misusing the funds provided as a part of the midday meal. They have been using the funds for purposes other than midday meals. It is crucial for WFP and the state to work jointly to introduce an effective mechanism such as keeping records jointly by the schools and local units that prevent such misuse from happening."

-KII with a Government Official, Sudurpashchim Province

Beneficiaries' Perceptions

- 279. Guardians of children in state-funded schools largely belong to low-income groups mainly engaged as daily wage laborers⁴⁵. The guardians' perceptions towards the midday meal were to a reasonable extent consistent with other key informants. In almost all the FGDs undertaken, guardians expressed that midday meal has encouraged them to send children to the schools.
- 280. "We are aware of the midday meal provided by the local schools. This has encouraged us considerably to send our children to school. The children themselves feel motivated to attend the school. We feel that children going to the school will help them to learn different things"

-FGD with parents of adolescent children, Bajhang district.

⁴⁴ Pasa, Rajan Binayek, and Lila Bahadur Bishwokarma. "A Dalit Mainstreaming in Rural Development: An Alternative Approach for Combating Poverty." Dhaulagiri Journal of Sociology and Anthropology 14 (2020): 61-68.

⁴⁵ https://kathmandupost.com/gandaki-province/2021/12/21/mid-day-meal-programme-ineffective-in-myagdi

- 281. Adolescent girls during the FGDs when asked about the benefits of the midday meal program:
- 282. "One of the reasons we try to come to the school is midday meal provided to us. We will not get hungry in the afternoon. If we stay home, it is likely that we spend a day without having a meal or spend money on junk food such as instant noodles and biscuits."

-FGD with adolescent girls, Jajarkot district.

b. Coherence

283. The coherence ascertained if the McGovern-Dole FY20 program aligned with government plans and policies and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Further, it was examined from a duplication of services perspective or in other words harmonization between the WFP funded project and similar state or NGO-funded projects.

Alignment with SDGs

- 284. The USDA McGovern-Dole FY20 programme appears primarily to be guided by clear intention to contribute towards achieving Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 4 which is 'ensuring inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all. As also been discussed earlier (context section), quality education sets the stage for achievements of other SDGs such as SDG 3 (good health and well-being) and SDG 6 (clean water and sanitation).
- 285. Some of the key informant interviews conducted revealed that the USDA McGovern-Dole FY20 aligns with the SDGs. Nevertheless, the interviews at the same time also indicated that there are a number of areas that need to be taken into account to achieve the goals. An official at WFP, central level:
- 286. "The program does align with the SDGs. However, to achieve all the relevant goals, certain important aspects should be considered such as the effective implementation of a mechanism that monitors the nutrition value of the food provided to the children. It is the responsibility of either the local government or the schools that should be undertaking the monitoring. All we can do is sensitize the local government officials and school representatives. To this end, we have been putting effort to instill awareness."

-KII with WFP official, central level.

Alignment of Government Plans and Policies

- 287. The USDA McGovern-Dole FY20 programme based on both the primary and secondary data appears to align with the Nepal government's commitments towards improvements in children's enrolment in public schools and reduction in repetition and dropout rates. There exist various education-related national-level plans, policies, and programmes that the state has been implementing such as Education for All (EFA), School Sector Development Plan (SSDP). The Education Sector Plan (2021-2030) which has been endorsed by Nepal Government covers the mid-day meal programme as one of the key components that largely intends to improve children's enrolment at state-funded schools.
- 288. A government official at the MoEST commenting on the benefits of the midday meal and inclusion of the same in the ESP:
- 289. "Midday meal has helped improve the children's attendance and enrollment. The state acknowledging the importance of having the programme in place has been accommodated in the ESP. Now it is the question of effective implementation of the plan."

-KII with an official at MoEST, Kathmandu

- 290. The implementation of plans, policies and strategies often faces a number of challenges. Some of the challenges emerged from this study: i) lack of effective coordination among the three tiers of the government; ii) lack of capacity among the officials, particularly at the local government units; and iii) lack of clarity of roles among all tiers of government. This has an overall bearing on the implementation of the USDA McGovern-Dole FY20.
- 291. "Acknowledging the challenges such as lack of capacity among the officials at all three tiers of the government, the WFP has prioritized capacitating the officials, particularly at the local level. Capacitating the officials will facilitate the implementation of the programme."

-KII with WFP official at the central level.

292. The health of the children has often been contended as a key determinant in school enrolment and continued active participation and educational attainment of students in schools⁴⁶. The School Health and Nutrition (SHN) programme that largely intends to contribute towards improvement in the health of the school children is associated with their cognitive development, learning, and academic performance. The government has prioritized the SHN programme in the ESP in light of the significant role that the programme has been playing towards improvement in children's enrolment.

Alignment with Programmes Implemented by Other Actors

- 293. The WFP has engaged itself in effective communication with the relevant state entities particularly to avoid duplication of the programme in the same location. To put in another way, there is no state intervention in the locations where the WFP has been operating its midday meals programme. Nepal's government started the programme in all districts except those where the program was already running by WFP. The state further has committed to administering the meals in all the districts following the completion of WFP led initiative.
- 294. The key informant interviews with the government officials indicate that there is no duplication of the programme. A comment from one government official.
- 295. "I don't think there is any duplication in relation to the administering of the midday meal. Simply put, the state is running the midday meal in the districts where the WFP is not. It is the result of effective communication between the relevant state entities and the WFP. This has helped considerably to avoid the duplication."

-KII with Provincial level official, Sudurpashchim

- 296. The state has its own priorities and strategies in relation to the midday meals programme. The WFP has been complementing and supplementing these priorities and strategies mainly by providing technical and financial support. The collaboration between the WFP's midday meal and the state's 'shiksha ko lagi khaja karyakram (food for education programme) is apparent with the former providing financial support to the latter. Additionally, WFP has been providing technical assistance and capacity strengthening support to local government units.
- 297. "Yes. In fact, the partnership exists because of the similarity between the state's Paustik Khaja Karyakram (nutritious meal programme) and WFP's School Midday Meals Programme. This programme is running under the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology. Since its beginning, WFP has been providing financial support for this."

-KII with WFP official, Karnali

- 298. It is not only with the state but the WFP has forged partnerships with I/NGOs such as Integrated Development Society Nepal (IDS) and World Education. In addition, the WFP has a plan to build partnerships with local community entities including local farmers groups, cooperatives women groups, and local communities to ensure the smooth operation of the project. The implementation plan of HGSF has already been designed which includes three phases of transition⁴⁷. Although there are multiple opportunities in relation to the HGSF mainly including fostering the local economy, stimulating agriculture growth, and equitable access to education, there exist challenges such as climate change, low level of agricultural technology, inconsistency in the supply of food to schools, and frequent rise of food prices affecting household food access.
- 299. The key informant interviews with the government officials suggest that there is a need for the state to put in place a set of mechanisms that aims at supporting smallholder farmers in relation to the application of good agricultural practices.
- 300. "The provinces Karnali and Sudur Paschim are quite backward in terms of education. One of the key challenges is the rugged landscape. To implement the programme effectively it is absolutely necessary to introduce new farming technology, particularly to the smallholder farmers. There is also a need for a proper mechanism to deal with the climate change."

-KII with Provincial level Government official, Karnali

⁴⁶ http://dohs.gov.np/wp-content/uploads/chd/Nutrition/School_Health_and_Nutrition_Strategy_2006_EN.pdf

⁴⁷ Phase I: transition to Jajarkot and Doti districts; Phase II: transition to Darchula and Bajhang; and Phase III: Achham and Bajura.

Gender Empowerment

- 301. To effectively implement strategies accommodated in the USDA McGovern-Dole FY20 design in relation to gender equality and empowerment, one of the areas that need to be taken into account is the systemic social transformation. Nepali society still being predominantly patriarchal, women are often tasked to care for their children and do household chores that keeps them away from income-generating activities. It is often argued that financial independence is a critical aspect of women's empowerment⁴⁸.
- 302. "The midday meal provides an opportunity to the mother of school-going children to engage in income-generating activities as they do not have to prepare snacks for their children."

-FGD with parents of adolescent girls, Bajhang

c. Sustainability

- 303. The sustainability of the programme is intended to assess: i) learnings from the past program, and ii) strategies particularly to sustain benefits generated by the programme and preparedness for the handover.
- 304. The results framework of FY 20 is more comprehensive when compared to the result framework designed for FY 17. A set of foundational results included in the results framework of the FY0 cycle common to both SOs increases the likelihood of achieving the results and sustaining them. It does to a large extent suggest that the FY 20 result framework is built on the learnings of FY17 and aims at strengthening the ongoing interventions.
- 305. The government's policies, plans, and programmes to improve attendance and reduction in repetitions and dropout rates particularly among the marginalized children heralds a significant increase in investment. The model in relation to the midday meal is a good basis for both public and private investments upon completion of the USDA McGovern-Dole FY20 programme. With the commitments of ownerships from the local governments and other community entities such as farmers' groups, women's groups, cooperatives, etc. the project can be considered sustainable. A comment below from one municipality official:
- 306. "The midday meals programme has been considered helpful in improving enrolment among the children in this municipality. We are aware that the education sector is one of the key development sectors without which overall development is impossible. We will do whatever we can to continue what has already been started even following the phasing out of the midday meal programme."

-KII with Municipality official, Bajhang

- 307. Notably, WFP and its implementing partners have been effectively coordinating with MoEST's stakeholders to ensure sustainability.
- 308. "Government decided for universalization of the NSMP in all 77 districts. As WFP programme was there in six districts through FY20 cycle, government expanded in 71 districts and have agreed to take over two districts annually in order to have the cash-based programme in all 77 districts by JULY 2024."

-KII with WFP official at the central level.

- 309. A potential challenge to the project's sustainability is the move to the devolved system of government. In 2015, the Constitution established Nepal the Federal Democratic Republic with three tiers of government namely federal, provincial and local. The midday meal programme will have to compete for resources with the rest of provincial education. However, given the current government policies prioritizing the education sector and the anticipated financing of the education sector in the federal context, the project can be rated as likely sustainable.
- 310. One key area that the project has to focus on in terms of sustainability is continued communications and collaborations with all the stakeholders at all levels. The WFP has been to a large extent successful in building collaboration with the stakeholders. However, there is a need for continued effort to that end to ensure sustainability.

⁴⁸ Shakoor, Aqil, Muhammad Asad, and Shabib Hassan. "Women Empowerment Through Financial Independence (A Case Study of Rural Areas of Sindh)." Pakistan Journal of Applied Social Sciences 12, no. 2 (2021): 1-12.

- 311. A comment from one government official:
- 312. "The WFP has been quite effectively communicating with us. We also know that without communicating and building a partnership with us it will be challenging for the WFP to successfully implement the programme. The practice should thus be continued."

-KII with Municipality official, Darchula

- 313. There is also a need of advocating for federal and provincial ministries (Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Development), local governments for more investments, particularly in relation to homegrown school meals for the sustainability of the school meal programme. It seems that the more the investments, the more it is likely the project to be sustainable.
- 314. "All three levels of governments should think about increasing the investments in a homegrown school meal. There should be effective strategies to allocate more budget. The strategies will help considerably to continue what is already in place even after phasing out of the financial and technical support from the WFP. Till now the WFP has been pushing to increasing the investments."

-KII with WFP official at central level

- 315. Since the USDA McGovern-Dole FY20 entails a number of sectors such as education, health, and agriculture, it appears, it is crucial for all the sectors to contribute and work collaboratively. A WFP official at the central level:
- 316. "To successfully implement the programme, it is important for related sectors to collaborate and contribute equally. The collaboration is crucial to ensure sustainability."

-KII with WFP officials at the central level

- 317. One of the key areas that the government has prioritized is the investments in capacity strengthening in all levels of government. The government announced the Provincial and Local Governance Support Programme (PLGSP) in 2019 which aims at institutional capacity development of all levels of governments more specifically sub-national governments. For the purpose, a budget of US \$ 130 million is allocated⁴⁹. It is an opportunity for the WFP to provide technical assistance to programme associated local government units that can help ensure effective outcomes and programme sustainability.
- 318. Based on the learnings from the FY17 cycle, preparatory actions for handover and continued support posthandover for smooth transition have been prioritized in the FY20 cycle. FY17 cycle was implemented as a parallel to a government system, however, the FY20 cycle has inbuilt in its design to capacitate local government for their engagement from the beginning of the project implementation. They are expected to have their own policy/guideline based on the national guideline for the continuation of the programme after the transition.

⁴⁹ https://www.mofaga.gov.np/uploads/notices/Notices-20200506153437737.pdf

5. Conclusions and Lessons

319. Conclusions and lessons learned/recommendations are discussed in the order of the five activities and the findings on the evaluation questions.

Activity 1 - Food Distribution

320. **1. School Meal Distribution**: The finding that only 78 percent of the students received school meals on all school days on average indicates a dire need to address the situation. The result was however based on the 90 continuing schools and only for the reference period of a month (September 2021). Therefore, these results might not reflect what would have happened in a normal situation, and what the findings would be had there not been a pandemic affecting all involved in executing the meal programme, and had there been necessary records for the entire year in all participating schools.

Lessons:

- The programme should support the schools in keeping the records in a scientific, convenient, and unambiguous way (may apply to other SHN related indicators as well).
- The lesson currently taught by the COVID-19 pandemic should be internalized into the programme and its operating modalities so as to ensure the nutritional intake of the students in a similar situation in the future.
- 321. **2. Attendance Rate**: Students are attending schools on fewer school days (61%) than the targeted days (above 80%) of school days, which poses them a high risk of missing the expected learning outcomes. Comparatively, students are more regular in schools with EGR concentrated interventions. The schools being closed due to the pandemic prior to the reference period used for the calculation of attendance rate may have partly contributed to the lower attendance rate.

Lessons:

- Lower average attendance rate and higher absenteeism on a random day of headcount in grade 1 compared to grades 3 and 8 imply that more concentrated interventions need to be targeted to junior grade students
- Regular interaction between the parents and the teachers may help to improve attendance of the students.
- 322. **3. Retention Rate:** The performance of the schools in retaining their students to the completion of school grades is satisfactory (92%).

Lessons:

- Maintain a conducive school environment to retain all enrolled students.
- **323. 4. Minimum Diet Diversity**: Almost 93 percent of children met the minimum diet diversity (MDD) requirement (who ate food items from at least 4 food groups during the past 24 hours). The mean dietary diversity score for children, as reported by their parents, was 4.8 (range 2 to 7), which indicates that a randomly chosen child on average consumed food items from 5 food groups.

Lessons:

- Although the results look satisfactory, there is room for improvement among the students that have lower MDD scores such as Dalit castes (91% of whom met the MDD requirement).
- The method used in this analysis is typically used for assessing infant and young child feeding (IYCF) practices among children 6 23 months of age. Anthropometric measurement methods such as measuring body mass index, measuring calorie intake, and micronutrient assessment can be more appropriate to consider in the future to assess the nutritional status of school-going children.
- 324. **5.** Awareness of the Importance of SMP among the Parents and the Students: A low proportion of students (13%) and parents (16%) were found to be aware of the importance of SMP. Both parents and

students from WFP programme continuing districts had better awareness on the importance compared to new schools.

Lessons:

 In particular, benefits of SMP such as improving learning outcomes of children, improving the nutritional status of children, promoting girls' enrolment, improving health and hygienic behavior among the students, and the possibility of using fresh and locally grown food items should be emphasized among students and parents through appropriate operational modalities.

Activity 2 - Support Improved Safe Food Preparation, Handling, and Storage

325. **6. Safe Food Preparation and Storage at the School**: Less than one-third (30%) of the schools had a school cook who demonstrated safe food preparation and storage practice. The majority of the kitchen at schools did not have a window, almost half did not have an improved cooking stove, and 19 percent of the kitchen were not clean at the time of observation. Schools were also found poor in terms of having any measures in place to prevent food from contamination from pests and rodents and ensuring prevention of nutrient loss of fortified food.

Lessons:

- Cleanliness of school kitchen, proper ventilation, and installation of improved cooking stove need to be ensured across the schools.
- Schools should have proper measures in place to prevent food from contamination from pests and rodents and ensure the prevention of nutrient loss of fortified food.

Activity 3 - Provide an Integrated Package of School Health and Nutrition Interventions

326. **7. Health and Nutrition Practices by the Students**: Less than half (49%) of the school students demonstrated adequate child health and nutrition practices. Only one-third (33%) of students maintained good personal hygiene. Students, in general, showed good practice on waste disposal (82% reported throwing waste either in the dust bin or waste/manure pit), eating tiffin/snacks after returning from school-on-school days (most students reported eating snacks for all the six school days), and handwashing before eating (97%) and after using the toilet (82%), while the practice of water purification before drinking (36%), personal hygiene, and handwashing with soap and water on critical moments such as before food preparation (24%), after coughing/sneezing (17%) and after touching waste (15%) were found poor. Around 19 percent of the parents reported that their children had missed a school day due to health-related reasons in the past month, with the mean number of days a child missed school being 3.6 days per month. The majority of the adolescent girls (79%) reported practice of hygienic menstrual behavior. IEC and BCC packages could be observed only in nine percent of schools, while 41 percent of schools reported celebrating national sanitation-related campaigns at the community level.

Lessons:

- Aspects such as drinking water purification at point of use, waste segregation, personal hygiene, and handwashing with soap and water on critical moments such as before food preparation, after coughing/sneezing and after touching waste should be emphasized.
- Adequate availability and use of health, nutrition, and WASH-related IEC and BCC packages should be ensured in all schools.
- 327. **8. Drinking Water and Sanitation at the School**: The majority of the schools were using an improved source of drinking water (93%) and had at least one improved sanitation facility (94%). However, school WASH status, in general, was found below satisfactory. Almost half of the schools did not have a gender-separate toilet, and more than one-third did not have a toilet with water available at the time of observation. One-third (33%) did not have a handwashing station in the school premises, while around 60 percent of the schools having a handwashing facility did not have soap available in the handwashing facility. The majority of the basic and secondary schools (83%) had provision of sanitary pads for girls, while only 45 percent had a toilet with containers/bins for storing/disposing of the used sanitary pads. Around 32 percent of schools were found to be practicing waste segregation, with separate dustbins/pits at the school premises to dump trash according to the nature of waste.

Lessons:

- Schools in all districts need support in installing handwashing stations ensuring availability of water and soap, construction of gender-separate toilet facilities, waste management, and menstrual hygiene management facilities.
- 328. **9. Deworming, Health Screening, and Iron Folic Acid Distribution at the School**: The survey with students showed that 82 percent of schoolchildren received deworming tablets at least once at the school, while 53 percent received it twice in the last academic year. The practice of conducting annual health screening at school was quite low. Although more than two-thirds of girl students mentioned receiving iron and folic acid supplementation tablets at school, only nine percent reported receiving full dose (i.e., 26 tablets in a year).

Lessons:

- School health programme needs to be strengthened, ensuring the proper implementation of deworming to school students, iron and folic acid supplementation to adolescent girls, and annual health screening
- The project should strengthen the record-keeping practices for SHN related indicators.

Activity 4 - Promoting Improved Literacy

329. **10. Local Governments Rewarding Teachers and Developing Contextualized Tools**: All together five municipalities out of twelve visited by the qualitative survey team were found to have the practice of recognizing and rewarding teachers for making changes or taking initiatives for their students to achieve reading outcomes. Similarly, only four were found to have developed or developed contextualized instructional materials.

Lessons:

- The observation that none of the municipalities visited in Bajura had the practice of rewarding teachers, and none of the municipalities visited in Doti and Bajura developed contextualized instructional materials indicates that there are opportunities for improvement to this end.
- WFP and its implementing partners and the local government units should work jointly to coordinate with the public schools. This is achievable by instilling awareness on the importance of recognizing and rewarding teachers among the relevant school representatives, and on the importance of developing contextualized instructional materials.
- 330. **11. Early Grade Reading Outcomes**: The overall EGR outcomes of the students are very low and demonstration of expected fluency and comprehension skills are also far behind the national target (45 CWPM in reading fluency and 80 percent in reading comprehension). Students have very low competence in the foundation skills of reading. Findings pertinent to decoding skills are not satisfactory at all. Further, the proportion of the zero scores on all subtasks is formidable. A significant proportion of students (18%) lacks the skills to read the text with an appropriate level of speed and expression and almost half the students (42%) are not able to comprehend the text presented to them. Among other possible factors leading to this situation, it is reasonable to assume that the prolonged closure of schools in the last two subsequent years due to the pandemic affected the most. Therefore, appropriate measures should be put in place so that the learning opportunity of the students is not significantly compromised in a similar situation that the future might have to witness.

Lessons:

- Intervene with effective integrated measures of reading instruction on a regular basis which is applicable both inside and outside the classrooms.
- Make sure that there are better instruction methods in place, the time allocated for language instruction is adequate, there is coaching and mentoring support to the students and there is the provision of continuous assessment and additional academic support.
- 331. **12. Demonstration of Quality Teaching by Nepali Teachers in Early Grade**: Translation of the new and quality teaching techniques and tools in the actual classroom pedagogical practices of Nepali subject (literacy) teachers is fairly low (18%). Teachers from primary schools and male teachers have comparatively better

early grade literacy enhancement practices as evidenced in their use of available teaching and learning materials and techniques of lesson delivery.

Lessons:

- Focused interventions should be put in place targeting female teachers and teachers from higher school levels.
- Make sure that the teachers will improve their pedagogical skills and effectively utilize the available tools and skills to build a better literacy foundation among early grade learners.
- 332. **13. Application of New Techniques and Tools by Headmasters**: The application of applying new techniques or tools in the management and administration of school activities is effective among only about one-third (35%) of the headteachers. Although intervention measures in districts where all three programmes (SMP, SHN, and EGR) seem comparatively effective, headteachers from primary schools lag far behind headteachers from basic and secondary schools concerning the application of new techniques or tools. This situation is prominent for female headteachers.

Lessons:

- Capacity building of headteachers to apply effective new techniques and tools should be accorded a priority.
- Greater participation of female headteachers and those from primary schools should be ensured in such interventions.
- Make sure school management is equally concerned with activities for the access, quality, and efficiency of teaching-learning activities.

Activity 5 - Promote Improved Nutrition: Sustainable Transition to Home-Grown School Meals

333. **14. Adoption of Improvement Agricultural Management by Farmers**: Less than one-third (29%) of farmers were found adopting improved agricultural management practices and technologies.

Lessons:

- Practices that should be promoted among the farmers may include integrated disease and pest management, adopting climate-smart technologies, water management, capacity building on marketing and supply chain management, post-harvest handling, record keeping of agricultural activities, etc.
- 334. **15. Municipalities with Increased Performance**: There were seven indicators used to assess the performance of twelve municipalities using a tool called Organization Performance Index (OPI). For all the indicators, the responses from the local government units considered were either 'extremely low performance' or 'low performance'. From the responses, the total average was computed as 1.7 or 2 (round figure). Simply put, the majority of the responses were 'low performing' which is scored as 2. Being a baseline survey and no specific interventions were introduced to this end, the responses to some extent were anticipated, but the results at the same time indicate an opportunity for the WFP and its implementing partners to improve the situation.

Lessons:

• The programme should place a more effective mechanism that enables continued coordination efforts with the local government units.

Conclusions and Lessons on the Evaluation Questions

a. Relevance: The programme to a large extent aligns with beneficiaries' and stakeholders' needs and thus can be considered relevant. Girls and socially disadvantaged section of the population being the clear beneficiaries of the programme, it can be argued that the program design is built on understanding beneficiaries' needs and priorities. The innovative approach that programme entails is largely intended to improve children's attendance and enrollment in public schools. However, some areas need to be taken into account to improve the overall relevance of the programme. To address the beneficiaries' needs and priorities, it was revealed that there is a need of introducing effective mechanisms such as keeping records rigorously distributed for the midday meal. To this end, the WFP can work jointly with the local government
units to monitor the school meals programme. To put it another way, the WFP can help build the capacity of the local government officials in maintaining records. Likewise, for the continued programme's alignment to the beneficiaries' needs and priorities, it appears that it is essential for the WFP and its implementing partners to coordinate effectively with all levels of the government namely federal, provincial and local.

b. Coherence: Ensuring inclusive and equitable quality education being one of the overarching programme goals, it can be contended that it is aligned with SDG 4 which paves the way for the achievement of other SDGs such as SDG 3 (good health and well-being). Further, the programme design is aligned with the commitments towards improvements in children's enrolment in public schools and reduction in repetition and dropout rates. There exist various education-related plans, policies, and programs that the state has been implementing such as Education for All (EFA) and School Sector Development Plan (SSDP) that largely are aimed at improving the situation of children in terms of enrolment and reduction in dropout rates. Further, the Education Sector Plan (ESP, 2021-2030) which has been endorsed by the government accommodates mid-day meals as one of the key components. Nevertheless, it is crucial to consider that the implementation of plans, policies, and strategies often accompany challenges such as i) lack of effective coordination among the three tiers of the government; ii) lack of capacity among the officials, particularly at the local government units; and iii) lack of clarity of roles among all tiers of government. This has an overall bearing on the implementation of the USDA McGovern-Dole FY20. It may be advisable to include a few pertinent questions in the MT and the EL to assess the extent to which this situation will have improved on the part of the three tiers of the governments and the officials by then.

c. Sustainability: The government of Nepal is highly invested in a national school-feeding programme as evidenced by various documents like ESP, development of national school meals guidelines, and rapid expansion of the school meals programme across the nation. The current cycle has a well-planned transition strategy with national ownership where two districts per year will be transitioned to the national school meals programme. The FY20 grant has incorporated learnings from the previous cycle to sustain the benefits generated by the programme like capacity strengthening of local government, preparatory work before the transition, and continued support to facilitate a smooth transition.

Annexes

Annex 1: Summary Terms of Reference

1. Background

The Terms of Reference (TOR) was prepared by World Food Programme (WFP) Nepal Country Office based upon an initial document review and consultation with stakeholders and following a standard template. The purpose of the TOR is threefold. First, it outlines how WFP will implement the Baseline survey (BLS) including special study as approved in the Evaluation Plan; secondly, it provides key information to the survey team and helps guide them throughout the survey process and thirdly, it provides key information to stakeholders about the proposed BLS survey and special study.

2. Reasons for the Baseline survey including Special Study

A baseline survey including special study is a part of the contractual obligations between the USDA and WFP. A baseline survey provides situational analysis before the programme begins and establishes baseline values for project standard and custom outcome indicators which will help to define targets to be achieved through the project period. A special study explores the factors consistently affecting students learning and estimating its magnitude of effect in province seven during BLS and assess the progress on action taken based on the recommendation generated during MT and EL evaluation.

3. The Subject of the Baseline Survey and Special Study

The current FY20 cycle spans the period from November 2020 to October 2024 and covers six districts - one (Jajarkot) from Karnali Province and five (Doti, Bajhang, Darchula, Achham, and Bajura) from Sudurpashchim Province. Special study will be nested along with the baseline survey and will cover five districts of Sudurpashchim province.

The FY20 cycle interventions are grouped into six major activities – (1) Food Distribution (all six districts); (2) Support Improved Safe Food Preparation, Handling, and Storage (all six districts); (3) Provide an Integrated Package of School Health and Nutrition Interventions (Achham, Bajhang, Bajura, and Darchula); (4) Promoting Improved Literacy (Darchula, Bajhang, and Bajura); (5) Promote Improved Nutrition: Sustainable Transition to Home-Grown School Meals (all six districts according to transition plan); and (6) Capacity Building - Supporting Transition through Local and Provincial Capacitation (all six districts). During the four-year implementation period, the programme plans to achieve the three strategic outcomes of the FFECN programme, McGovern-Dole SO1: Improved literacy of school-age children, McGovern-Dole SO2: Increased use of health and dietary practices, and LRP SO1: Improved Effectiveness of Food Assistance Through Local and Regional Procurement.

The programme targets 241621 students from 2297 schools of the six districts. The Programme budget is roughly USD 25 million out of which about five percent is budgeted for monitoring and evaluation.

The baseline survey will assess the current situation relating to the performance indicators and provide a situation analysis of FY20 programme cycle. The activities and interventions of the FY20 results frameworks (McGovern-Dole SO1 and McGovern-Dole SO2) have built upon and further strengthened the ongoing activities and interventions under the FY17 grant to move the Government closer to a fully owned and managed NSMP. The McGovern-Dole FY 20 programme aims to achieve the McGovern-Dole SO1 and McGovern-Dole SO2 programme aims to achieve the McGovern-Dole SO1 and McGovern-Dole SO2 with similar higher-level outcome results and foundational results as in FY17. The only

addition to the FY20 programme cycle is Activity 5: Promote improved nutrition: sustainable transition to homegrown school meals contributing to LRP SO1: Improved Effectiveness of Food Assistance Through Local and Regional Procurement. The purpose of this overall component is to help build the capacity of LG and schools to procure foods independently and sustainably and ensure the menu is properly diversified for improved nutrition. WFP's partner Mercy Corps will implement this activity in 6 districts in a phased manner according to the transition plan.

4. Evaluation Approach, Methodology and Ethical Considerations

4.1 Evaluation Approach

A key requirement for the baseline survey is to ensure that GEWE is integrated into the whole survey process and that specific data on gender is collected during the survey (e.g., data collected from male and female beneficiaries of the different socio-economic status of existing ethnicity/castes/ethnic groups, data disaggregated by gender, caste/ethnic and disable groups).

The data collection tools, therefore, need to be GEWE sensitive, to specifically examine the gender and equity aspects of the programme. The baseline survey will focus on examining the present circumstances of the activities proposed in this McGovern-Dole project cycle. The baseline survey will assess if the activities of the FFECN programme is coherent to government plans and priority Programme, and other Programmes implemented by development partners in those areas. It will further assess the integration of other activities implemented by WFP with FFECN. The survey will cover all six programme districts.

4.2 Methodology

A non-experimental design is proposed that enables the comparison of the findings before and after the intervention scenarios to assess the temporal changes during midterm and endline.

The baseline study will cover the entire programme districts and Schools will be selected through a stratified random sampling method. The baseline survey will cover the entire programme area⁵⁰. Schools will be selected through a stratified random sampling method. The survey team, in consultation with WFP, will develop an appropriate evaluation design, sampling strategy, and methodological approach based on the requirements described in the TORs, during the inception phase in consultation with key stakeholders. The sample size will be calculated using a 95 percent confidence level, five percent margin of error, the prevalence rate of 50 percent, and the non-response rate of 15 percent.

The design and methodology for the baseline survey will be developed following the WFP DEQAS process as well as USDA's Monitoring and Evaluation Policy. Mixed methods (quantitative, qualitative) will be used to ensure triangulation of information through a variety of means such as previous evaluation results, existing regular monitoring data both from WFP and implementing partners, and the government Integrated Education Management Information System (IEMIS). The survey will employ diverse data collection tools and techniques based on the type of information required example (interview, key informant interview, focus group discussion, observation, and secondary data review).

The baseline survey findings, conclusions, and recommendations must reflect gender analysis, and the report will provide lessons/ challenges/ recommendations for conducting gender-responsive evaluation in the future.

This survey timeline and methodology can be impacted by any emergencies such as COVID 19. If the COVID 19 situation gets deteriorated and the government announces travel restrictions, this evaluation will either be delayed, or the methodology will be changed.

Special study: A mixed-method Action Research Methodology will be used as the study will focus on exploring the factors affecting students learning across programme districts in *Sudur Paschim* Province and will bring stakeholders together to improve students learning. The study protocol detailing the methodology will be developed during the inception phase in close consultation with WFP. The study aims to use its findings to develop actionable items to inform the literacy Programme of McGovern-Dole and recommend to the policymakers to design contextual evidence-based learning approaches. The study will be nested in all three

⁵⁰ Achham, Bajura, Bajhang, Darchula, Doti, and Jajarkot

evaluations and limited additional data will be collected. The early grade reading assessment (EGRA) at the end of grade two students using Government Standard EGRA method and tool, interviews with students, indepth interviews with EGRA trained teachers/ headmasters, and observation of schools/classroom methods will be used for quantitative and qualitative data collection.

4.3 Ethical Considerations

WFP's decentralized evaluations must conform to WFP and UNEG ethical standards and norms. The contractors undertaking the evaluations are responsible for safeguarding and ensuring ethics at all stages of the evaluation cycle (preparation and design, data collection, data analysis, reporting, and dissemination). This will include but is not limited to, ensuring informed consent, protecting privacy, confidentiality, and anonymity of participants, ensuring cultural sensitivity, respecting the autonomy of participants, ensuring fair recruitment of participants (including women and socially excluded groups), and ensuring that the evaluation results in no harm to participants or their communities.

4.4 Quality Assurance and Quality Assessment

WFP's DEQAS defines the quality standards expected from this evaluation and sets out processes with inbuilt steps for Quality Assurance, Templates for evaluation products, and Checklists for their review. DEQAS is closely aligned to WFP's evaluation quality assurance system (EQAS) and is based on the United Nations Evaluation Group (UNEG) norms and standards, as well as the good practice of the international evaluation community to ensure that the evaluation process and products conform to best practiced will be systematically applied to this evaluation. The WFP Evaluation Manager will be responsible for ensuring that the evaluation progresses as per the DEQAS Process Guide and for conducting rigorous quality control of the evaluation products ahead of their finalization.

Concerning the quality of data and information, the survey team will systematically check the accuracy, consistency, and validity of collected data and information and acknowledge any limitations/caveats in concluding using the data.

The following mechanisms for independence and impartiality will be employed: an external independent evaluation team will be hired to conduct the evaluation; WFP has appointed a dedicated evaluation manager to manage the evaluation process internally; an internal WFP Evaluation Committee (EC), led by CO management, will make key decisions on the evaluation; an Evaluation Reference Group (ERG) (including WFP and external stakeholders) will be set up to steer the evaluation process and further strengthen the independence of the evaluation. All feedback generated by these groups will be shared with the evaluation team. The evaluation team will be required to critically review the submissions and provide feedback on actions taken/or not taken as well as the associated rationale. The members of the ERG are provided in Annex 13.

To enhance the quality and credibility of this survey, an outsourced quality support service directly managed by WFP's Office of Evaluation in Headquarter provides a review of the draft inception and survey report (in addition to the same provided on draft TOR), and provide:

- systematic feedback from an evaluation perspective, on the quality of the draft inception and evaluation report.
- recommendations on how to improve the quality of the final inception/evaluation report.

5. Organization of the Baseline Survey and Special Study

The baseline survey will proceed through these key five phases – Preparation Phase; Inception Phase; Field Data Collection Phase; Data Analysis and Reporting Phase; and Dissemination and Follow-up Phase. Inception Report, Debriefing PPT, and Baseline survey report are three key deliverables, among others. The special study follows the same timeline as baseline survey.

Annex 2: Baseline Timeline

				ıg.			Se	-				ct.				DV.			De					Ja			-	b.
	Activities	1	20			1	20 2			4	20	21		1		21	4	4	20 2	-	- -	4	1	20 2		4	20 1	22
Pre	paratory/Inception Phase	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2		5	4	1	2	3	4	1	2
	Contract signing and team																											
	orientation																											
2.	Desk review and tools drafting in										1									l								
	English																											
3.	Finalize the English tools and																											
	translate it into Nepali																											
4.	Preparation of manual and guideline																											
	for supervisors and enumerators																											
5.	Submission of quality assured draft																											
	inception report and organize																											
	inception workshop																											
6.	Finalize and approve inception report	 	<u> </u>								-			┣——						1		-						
7.	Preparation of computer data entry programming																											
9.	Finalization of training schedule																											
10.	Recruit supervisors and enumerators																											
11.	Conduct Training of Trainers (ToT)																											
	and beta test; incorporate changes in																											
	tools and digital program																											
12.	Enumerators' Training, pre testing of																											
	the tools and review																											
13.	Obtain support letter from																											
	concerned Ministry through WFP																											
17.	Incorporate changes in the tools and																											
	digital programming																				_							
	ld Data Collection Phase																_											
	Data collection																			+								
2.	Field work supervision and data																											
2	monitoring at central office		-																									
	Present end of fieldwork debriefing		-				-		-		-	-		┣—	-					┢	+	-						
Da 1	ta Analysis and Reporting Phase Data editing and preliminary data	┣—	<u> </u>	-	\vdash	-		-	-	-	<u> </u>	-		┣—	<u> </u>													\square
1.	analysis																											
2	Assuring quality on the draft	-	<u> </u>	-	\vdash	-		-	-	-	-	-	\vdash							F					_			\vdash
۷.	evaluation report																											
3.	Preparing draft report and	-	-		\vdash	-			-	╞──	┢	-	╞	╟──				\vdash		F								
2.	submission																											
4.	Circulate the draft evaluation report		t								t									┢								
	to stakeholders for feedback																											
5.	Incorporating feedbacks and		İ								İ –									t	T	┦						
	finalization																											
6.	Submission of final baseline and																			Ι								
	special study report and dataset																											
7.	Organize dissemination workshop																			Γ								

Annex 3: FY20 Programme Districts



Annex 4: Project Indicators

		Data Collection	
Indicator	Indicator	Methods/Sources	
Number	Description	of Data	Measurement Method
		Activity 1-Food Di	stribution
SBCC Custom 7	Number of school age children receiving school meal on all school days	School record review	Based on information that cooked meal was distributed by the sampled schools to the students in the month of Chaitra, 2077 (March/April 2021), this reference period was used to establish the baseline value on this indicator. School meal register was reviewed on the number of days the school was open in Chaitra 2077, number of enrolled students, and number students who received school meal on each of the days the school was open in that period. The percentage of school children (ECD to grade five) receiving school meal on all school days (for the specified period) was then computed for the specified period. The number of days the school was open and the number of students were used to compute the weighted percentage, and the results were disaggregated by the gender of the students.
Standard 2	Average student attendance rate in USDA supported classrooms/schools	School record review and headcount of students	Average attendance rate of grades 1, 3, and 8 students was assessed for the reference period of a month (Bhadra 16 - Asoj 14, 2078 or September 2021). Data were collected by reviewing the school/classroom registers. Because of unavailability of records in two schools (both continuing), the analysis could be done only of 328 schools. While attendance rate based on headcount was measured as the proportion of students that were physically present in school on the day of school visit, the average attendance rate was calculated accounting for the number of school days during the reference period and actual number of days each of the students was present in the school on those days.
Custom 1	Average retention rate (by all activities)	School record review	Assessment was conducted to determine the retention rate for grades 1, 3, and 8 so as to gauge the sample schools' capacity to retain the students in successive operating grades in schools. Data were collected by reviewing the records of the schools on enrolment registers of Year 2077 and 2078. The retention rate was calculated as the proportion of the students enrolled in Year 2077 who completed the school year by passing to the next grade or repeating the same grade in the school in Year 2078. Table 4.5 below presents the retention

		Data Collection	
Indicator	Indicator	Methods/Sources	
Number	Description	of Data	Measurement Method
			rates of the students in the surveyed schools by some key disaggregates.
Custom 11	Minimum diet diversity of school age children	Parents survey	A child consuming 4 or more food groups out of 7 in the past 24 hours was considered as meeting the minimum dietary diversity (MDD). A 24-hour recall method was used, where parents were asked to recall all the meals that the child ate during past 24 hours. The seven food groups are: (1) Grains, roots, and tubers;
			(2) Legumes/pulses and nuts/oils; (3) Dairy products; (4) Flesh food/meat; (5) Eggs; (6) Vitamin A rich fruits and vegetables; and (7) Other fruits and vegetables. Children who achieve MDD are more likely to have a higher (more appropriate) micronutrient intake than those who do not.
Custom 12	Percentage of parents having school going children aware about the benefits of school meal program	Parents survey	Parents who can tell any five of the listed benefits of school meal program were considered as aware about the benefits of SMP. The listed benefits were: (1) Motivates children to go school consistently; (2) Motivates children to stay longer at school; (3) Promote girl child's enrolment; (4) Improves children's ability to learn or concentrate in class; (5) Improves learning outcomes; (6) Improves good health and hygiene behavior of children; (7) Improves awareness about nutrition among school age children; (8) Provides nutritional benefits/improves nutritional status of school age children; (9) Saves money of household to provide lunch to school children; and (10)
Custom 14	Percentage of students aware about the importance of school meal program	Students survey	Improves awareness about the use of locally made textbooks/EGR materials. Students who can tell any five of the listed benefits of school meal program were considered as aware about the benefits of SMP. The listed benefits were: (1) Motivates children to go school consistently; (2) Motivates children to stay longer at school; (3) Promote girl child's enrolment; (4) Improves children's ability to learn or concentrate in class; (5) Improves learning outcomes; (6) Improves good health and hygiene behavior of children; (7) Improves awareness about nutrition among school age children; (8) Provides nutritional
Standard	Activity 2-Support In	nproved Safe Food P School cooks	benefits/improves nutritional status of school age children; (9) Saves money of household to provide lunch to school children; and (10) Improves awareness about the use of locally made textbooks/EGR materials. reparation, Handling and Storage This indicator related to the use of safe food
20	individuals who	survey	preparation and storage practices was

		Data Collection	
Indicator	Indicator	Methods/Sources	
Number	Description	of Data	Measurement Method
	demonstrate use		measured by interview with school cook and
	of new safe food		observation of cooking procedure. A
	preparation and		composite index with the total score ranging
	storage practices		from 0 to 12 was created including questions
	as a result of USDA		related to cleanliness of the kitchen; whether
	assistance		the kitchen has amenities like window, chimneys, and improved cooking stove;
			handwashing practice of cook; cooking utensils
			washing practice; cleaning the food items
			before cooking; food storage practice;
			measures to prevent food contamination; and
			practice for preventing nutrient loss. Scores of
			8 or above (70% or above) was considered as
			demonstrating use of safe food preparation
			and storage practices. As many schools either
			did not have cook or cooking practice at the
			time of survey, the findings of this indicator
			have been reported from only 78 schools
			where there was a cook available with cooking
Activit	n 2 Drovido on Intog	rated Dackage of Sci	practice in place at the time of survey.
Standard	Number of schools	School	hool Health and Nutrition Interventions Questions related to the source of drinking
27	using an improved	observation and	water at school has been included in both
27	water source	SHN focal	school environment observation and interview
		teachers survey	with SHN focal teacher. Findings from school
			observation and SHN focal teacher has been
			reported separately. Drinking water from
			piped water; tube well/borehole; protected dug
			well; and protected spring were considered as
			the improved water sources.
Standard	Number of schools	School	This indicator has been reported mainly from
28	with improved	observation and	the school observation. If the school had at
	sanitation facilities	SHN focal	least one improved toilet (defined as flush or
		teachers survey	pour/flush toilet connected to a piped sewer connection, septic tank or pit latrine; VIP
			latrine, pit latrine with a slab; composting
			toilet; and bio-gas toilet), then the school was
			categorized as having improved sanitation
			facilities. Findings from the information from
			the interview with SHN focal teacher (who was
			asked about the main toilet at the school) was
			also analyzed and reported separately.
Standard	Number of	Students survey	Government of Nepal has been implementing
29	students receiving		deworming program under the school health
	deworming		and nutrition program, in which anti-
	medication(s)		helminthic tablets are provided to school
			students twice a year. This indicator has been
			measured by using the information collected
			from the students. The plan was to use the
			school records as well, but only 34 schools
			were found to be keeping the necessary
			records on deworming at the school, which is

		Data Collection	
Indicator Number	Indicator Description	Methods/Sources of Data	Measurement Method
Number	Description	U Data	too small a sample size to obtain any useful results.
Custom 4	Number of adolescent girls aged 10-19 years receiving biannual weekly Iron Folic Acid supplementation	Students survey	This indicator has been measured by using the information collected from the adolescent students from grades 6-8 in the sample schools from the four districts where SHN program has been implemented. Adolescent girls who reported receiving a full dose (26 tablets in a year) of IFA tablets were considered as receiving biannual IFA supplementation. Although the plan was to use the information from school record as well, only 29 schools were found to be keeping the necessary record which is too small a sample size to get useful information.
Custom 5	Number of schools with provision of sanitary pads	School observation	Based on the school observation, schools which provided sanitary pads to adolescent girls at school were considered as having provision of sanitary pads. This indicator has been reported only for basic (up to 8 grade) and (secondary (9+ grade schools).
Custom 6	Number of schools with toilet with sanitary pads disposal bins	School observation	The school environment observation included checklist related to availability of container in the toilet for storage/disposal of used sanitary pad. Schools having at least one (girls or shared) toilet with the provision of used pads disposal/collection bins were reported in basic (up to 8 grade) and (secondary (9+ grade schools).
SBCC Custom 5	Number of schools with at least one set of information education and communication and behavior change package	Head Teachers survey and observation	Head teachers at the schools were asked about the availability of information education and communication and behavior change package related to health, hygiene, nutrition, etc. Schools where at least one set of IEC/BCC package could be observed were considered as schools with at least one set of information education and communication and behavior change package.
SBCC Custom 6	Number of schools celebrating national sanitation related campaign at the community level	SHN focal teachers survey	SHN focal teacher were asked whether the school had celebrated national sanitation related campaign at the community level during the year prior to the survey.
Custom 3	Number of schools conducting at least one annual health screening	SHN focal teachers survey	This indicator was measured based on the interview with SHN focal teacher. Health screening related to measurement of height and weight, vision and hearing test, and dental check-up were assessed, and reported separately.
Standard 19	Number of individuals who demonstrate use	Students survey	A composite index with the possible value ranging from 0 to 10 was created including students' behaviour such as water purification

		Data Collection	
Indicator	Indicator	Methods/Sources	
Number	Description	of Data	Measurement Method
	of new child health and nutrition practices as a result of USDA assistance		before drinking, waste disposal practice, eating snacks at home during school days, hand washing practice, and personal hygiene. School students who demonstrate health and nutrition practices were defined as those who scored 7 (70%) or more.
Custom 8	Number of schools practicing segregated waste management	School observation	Based on the school environment observation, schools having separate bins for collecting different types of wastes were considered as schools practicing segregated waste management.
SBCC Custom 1	Health related absenteeism among school age children	Parents survey	Parent's questionnaire included questions related to health-related absenteeism among their children. The plan was to triangulate this information by reviewing the school record, but only three schools were found to have kept the necessary record.
SBCC Custom 2	Percent of school age children with good personal hygiene	Observation of students	School students were observed for their personal hygiene practice which included the maintenance of personal hygiene related to trimmed nail, groomed hair, clean teeth, clean dress, and clean shoes/slipper. Each of these aspects were sored as 0 (poor), 1 (good), and very good (2), with a summative score ranging from 0 to 10, with a higher score indicating better personal hygiene. Students scoring 7 or more (70% or more) were considered has having maintained good personal hygiene.
SBCC Custom 3	Percent of adolescent girls reporting practice of hygienic menstrual behavior	Students survey	School adolescent girls were asked whether they were aware about the menstrual hygiene, speak about menstrual hygiene to anybody, use sanitary pad during menstruation, change pad every six hours, safe disposal of the menstrual pad, and handwashing before and after changing the pads. Scores (1 if positive response and 0 otherwise) were assigned to the students for each of the variables, with the total possible score of 0 to 6, with a higher score representing better menstrual hygiene. A score of 4 or more (70%) was considered as hygienic menstrual behaviour.
SBCC Custom 4	Percent of school age children reporting hand washing practice at critical times	Students survey	Students were asked about their handwashing practice at critical moments: before, during, and after preparing food; before eating; after using the toilet (for urination, defecation, menstrual hygiene); after helping someone who just used the toilet; after blowing one's nose, or coughing or sneezing; after touching an animal, animal feed or animal waste; and after touching garbage. Students reporting handwashing for five or more critical times were considered as appropriate handwashing practice at critical times.

		Data Collection	
Indicator	Indicator	Methods/Sources	
Number	Description	of Data	Measurement Method
	Acti	ivity 4- Promoting In	nproved Literacy
Custom 9	Number of local governments recognizing and rewarding teachers making changes or taking special initiatives for their students to achieve reading outcomes	KII with municipalities	KII with the municipality officials was done to gather the necessary information on this indicator.
Custom 13	Percentage (Number) of local government developing contextualized instructional materials.	Kll with municipalities	KII with the municipality officials was done to gather the necessary information on this indicator.
Standard 1	Percent of students who, by the end of two grades of primary schooling, demonstrate that they can read and understand the meaning of grade level text	Early grade reading assessment (EGRA) of grade three students	Considering the need to ensure reliability and validity of the tested tool, WFP requested the Education Review Office (ERO), Ministry of Science and Technology Nepal and obtained the standard assessment tools developed for Early Grade Reading Assessment (EGRA). A total of 3,979 students from grade 3 (all those who were present on the day of school visit or maximum 20 randomly selected) from the sampled 330 schools were assessed. The training of enumerators for EGRA was conducted intensively for 5 days. Enumerators already having EGRA experience were given priority as the assessors. The trainee also had an opportunity to experience the administration process through mock EGRA with real students during the training. Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (MoEST, 2018), Nepal has defined 45 cwpm (correct words per minute) and 80% of the correct responses on the comprehension questions (which is 4 out of 5 questions in the test used) as the benchmark for early grade reading. This benchmark was used in the analysis.
Standard 4	Number of teachers/ educators/teaching assistants in target schools who demonstrate use of new and quality teaching techniques or tools as a result of USDA assistance	Nepali teachers survey and class observation	Assessment of Nepali subject teachers from 136 schools of 3 EGR implemented districts (Bajhang, Darchula and Bajura was carried out using a composite index with a total possible score of 69. Data collected from Nepali Teacher Survey (questions NT37 and NT43), which contained 25 indicators, and the Class Observation tool (modules 1, 2 and 3) developed by the ERO which contained 44 indicators were used. These indicators consisted of items that captured the essential

		Data Collection	
Indicator	Indicator	Methods/Sources	
Number	Description	of Data	Measurement Method
			elements of the use of new and quality teaching technique. Out of the total possible score of 69, a score of 48 or above (70% or
			above) was used as a benchmark to decide that the teacher demonstrated the use of new
Chan dand C	Numerican of each and		and quality teaching.
Standard 6	Number of school administrators and officials in target schools who demonstrate use of new techniques or tools as a result of USDA assistance	Head Teachers survey and observation	A total of 19 questions from the questionnaire administered to the Head Teacher Survey was used to create a composite index with a total possible score of 19 (1 or 0 for each question). These questions were finalized mutually by WFP and the research firm, and captured the head teacher's practice of using new techniques or tools for instructional leadership and management of school activities. Oral reports as well as document observation was used as evidence for the use of tools/techniques. Obtaining a total score of 13 or above on these 19 indicators (68% or above) was used as the benchmark to decide that the Head Teacher demonstrated the use of new techniques or tools. [A score of 1 was given for each of these questions on the following basis - HT 30 (\geq 6 meetings); HT 30a (\geq 3 contents); HT32 (\geq 3 meetings); HT32A (\geq 3 contents); HT35 (record of meetings observed); HT35a (\geq 3 agendas discussed); HT37 (updated SIP observed); HT37a (\geq 5 contents observed); HT42 (review and feedback on lesson plans reported); HT47 (meeting held); HT47a (\geq 6 meetings); HT47b (\geq 4 issues discussed); HT48a (\geq 2 meetings observed); HT51 (\geq 2 policies reported); HT 52 (use of results reported); HT52A (\geq 3 indicators reported); HT54 (parents
			mobilization reported); and HT54a (≥5
.			indicators reported).]
-	-		le Transition to Home-Grown School Meals
LRP 12	Number of individuals in the agriculture system	Observation of farming practices of a sample of	Farmers adopting at least 7 (out of 10) improved agricultural management practices and technologies were considered as having
	who have applied	member farmers	applied improved agricultural management
	improved management	from the surveyed farmer groups	practices or technologies. The ten practices included: crop genetics (use of improved seed
	practices or		varieties); improved cultural practices (such as
	technologies with		mulching, staking, line sowing weeding, etc.);
	USDA assistance		integrated pest management; adopted soil
			conservation and fertilizer management techniques (such as use of compost manure,
			organic fertilizer, inter cropping, relay
			cropping, etc.); climate smart technology (e.g., plastic tunnel, adopted cultivation calendar, drought tolerant varieties); improved water

		Data Collection	
Indicator Number	Indicator Description	Methods/Sources of Data	Measurement Method
FFPr 12	Number of organizations with	Workshop at municipalities	management (e.g., drip irrigation, cement pond, rainwater harvesting); practicing input purchase; practice of products sale with market price information/access to collection and distribution center; post-harvest handling; and record keeping of agricultural activities. Organizational Performance Index (OPI) tool was administered at 12 municipalities (2 from
	increased performance with USDA assistance	Using Organization Performance Index (OPI) tool	each of the six program districts) to establish baseline values in relation to the performance of the municipalities visited. The focus was geared towards the Home-Grown School Feeding (HGSF) component of the project. The tool was largely intended to measure performance rather the capacity of the local government per say and took into account the performance holistically, tracking performance of the municipality across multiple areas of work both in terms of more immediate aspects of effectiveness and efficiency, and longer-term aspects of relevance and sustainability. The OPI tool contained seven key themes: i) number of policies, regulations, or administrative procedures on HGSF component; ii) National and international standards (develop food menu in the local context); iii) written operational guideline, standard operating procedures, strategy and plan; iv) target population (identify school's students, local cooperatives and farmers' groups); v)participatory planning and decision making process; vi) successes and challenges analysis (functional school meal management committees, regular meetings etc.); and vii) networking and partnerships. To facilitate the collection of information, each theme included evidences that the participants had to present.

Annex 5: Theory of Change



Annex 6: Evaluation Criteria and Questions for Midterm and End-line Evaluation

Evaluation Criteria	Key Questions – Mid-term Evaluation	Key Questions - End line Evaluation	Data Source
Coherence	How the FFECN project and its specific components complementing the already existing efforts and programs of the GoN and/or other organizations working in the region? To what extent the FFECN	How the FFECN project and its specific components complemented the already existing efforts and programs of the GoN and/or other organizations working in the region? To what extent the FFECN	Quantitative surveys, Key stakeholder focus groups, Secondary Data Review
	intervention is adding value without duplicating the efforts of other projects in the education sector in Nepal?	intervention added value without duplicating the efforts of other projects in the education sector in Nepal?	
	How were the FFECN project synergetic with other WFP operations and with what other actors were doing to contribute to WFP's overriding educational objectives in Nepal? To what extent was the intervention design and delivery in line with human rights principles and standards, including gender equality and women empowerment and wider equity issues?	How is the FFECN project synergetic with other WFP operations and with what other actors are doing to contribute to WFP's overriding educational objectives in Nepal? To what extent was the intervention design and delivery in line with human rights principles and standards, including gender equality and women empowerment and wider equity issues?	
Relevance	To what extent the project's strategy and plan is relevant to the need of beneficiaries, men, women, boys, and girls in the Nepalese context? How well is the project aligned with the Nepal government's education and school feeding policies and strategies? To what extent do the programme's interventions reach the right people (men, women,	To what extent the project's strategy and plan was relevant to the need of beneficiaries, men, women, boys, and girls in the Nepalese context? How well was the project aligned with the Nepal government's education and school feeding policies and strategies? To what extent did the programme's interventions reach the right people (men, women,	Secondary data/document review, qualitative data collected through this evaluation
	boys, and girls) at the right time, with the right type of assistance at this stage? How well the programme is designed to address the Gender Equality Disability and Social Inclusion (GEDSI) issues in the Nepalese context?	How well the programme was designed to address the GEDSI issues in the Nepalese context?	

Evaluation Criteria	Key Questions – Mid-term Evaluation	Key Questions - End line Evaluation	Data Source
Effectiveness	How effective school meal operation (all components) is with regards to results (output, outcome, and impact) achieved by the project at this stage?	How effective school meal operation (all components) was with regards to results (output, outcome, and impact) achieved by the project at this stage?	Quantitative surveys, Key informant interview, focus groups, review Monitoring
	Were (are) the outputs and outcomes for men, women, boys and girls, and other relevant socio-economic categories achieved (likely to be achieved)? Is the project on track to reach	Were (are) the outputs and outcomes for men, women, boys and girls, and other relevant socio-economic categories achieved (likely to be achieved)? Were the set targets met by the	reports, and COMET, partners reports
	the set targets? If yes, what are the best practices that contribute to it? If no, what are the challenges and mitigation measures?	project? If yes, what were the best practices that contribute to it? If no, what were the challenges and lessons learned?	
Efficiency	How is the efficiency of the programme, in terms of transfer cost, cost per beneficiary, logistics, timeliness of delivery at this stage?	How was the efficiency of the programme, in terms of transfer cost, cost per beneficiary, logistics, timeliness of delivery?	Financial report and COMET, expenditure analysis
	How are the processes, systems, analysis, and tools been put in place to support the FFECN design, implementation, monitoring & evaluation, and	How were the processes, systems, analysis, and tools been put in place to support the FFECN design, implementation, monitoring & evaluation, and	
	reporting, including the specific arrangements (e.g. third-party monitoring to complement WFP Nepal field monitoring)? Did the targeting of the	reporting, including the specific arrangements (e.g. third-party monitoring to complement WFP Nepal field monitoring)? Did the targeting of the	
	intervention considered need of different marginalized groups (men, women, boys and girls, and other relevant socio-economic categories)?	intervention considered need of different marginalized groups (men, women, boys and girls, and other relevant socio-economic categories)?	
	How is the efficiency of the programme, in terms of timeliness of delivery at this stage?	How was the efficiency of the programme, in terms of timeliness of delivery?	
Impact	To what degree has the FFECN project made progress towards the results in the project-level framework?	To what degree had the project made progress towards the results in the project-level framework?	Special study report, Quantitative surveys, groups,
	What were the effects of the intervention on different marginalized groups (men, women, boys and girls, and other relevant socio-economic categories)?	What were the effects of the intervention on different marginalized groups (men, women, boys and girls, and other relevant socio-economic categories)?	Monitoring reports
	Have there been any unintended outcomes, either positive or negative? What are they? What	Had there been any unintended outcomes, either positive or negative? What were they? What	

Evaluation Criteria	Key Questions – Mid-term Evaluation	Key Questions - End line Evaluation	Data Source
	are the areas that the result directly affected? What are the internal and external factors affecting the	were the areas that the result directly affected? What were the internal and external factors affecting the	
	FFECN project's ability to deliver a midterm impact? How WFP Nepal exercises to mitigate these challenges?	project's ability to deliver impact? How WFP Nepal worked out to mitigate these challenges?	
	How are the intermediate effects of the project among direct beneficiaries (students, teachers, cook) and indirect beneficiaries (parents, community) of the FFECN project?	How was the impact of the project among direct beneficiaries (students, teachers, cook) and indirect beneficiaries (parents, community) of the FFECN project?	
	How effective were the project interventions in changing cultural taboos in the community related to girl's education, menstruation and hygiene, caste discrimination, and early marriage"	How effective were the project interventions in changing cultural taboos in the community related to girl's education, menstruation and hygiene, caste discrimination, and early marriage"	
Sustainability	To what extent the programme is sustainable in the following areas: a strategy for sustainability; sound policy alignment; stable funding and budgeting; quality programme design; institutional arrangements; local production and sourcing; partnership and coordination; community participation, equity, and ownership?	To what extent the programme was sustainable in the following areas: a strategy for sustainability; sound policy alignment; stable funding and budgeting; quality programme design; institutional arrangements; local production and sourcing; partnership and coordination; community participation, equity, and ownership?	Quantitative surveys, Key stakeholder focus groups, Secondary Data Review
	How has the Nepal government progressed towards developing a nationally owned school feeding programme? To what degree the local communities (PTAs, farmers groups, etc.) of Nepal are involved in and contributing towards the school feeding?	How had the Nepal government progressed toward developing a nationally owned school feeding programme? To what degree the local communities (PTAs, farmers groups, etc.) of Nepal were involved in and contributing towards the school feeding?	
	What needs are remaining to achieve a full handover to the Nepal government and implement a nationally owned school feeding programme? What community-level government systems and management are required for the successful implementation and sustainability of school meal programs?	What was the level of readiness of GoN to take full handover and implement a nationally owned school feeding programme? What community-level governance systems and management put in place/strengthened for the successful implementation and	

Evaluation Criteria	Key Questions – Mid-term Evaluation	Key Questions - End line Evaluation	Data Source
		sustainability of school meal	
		programs?	
	How are the operational and	How were the operational and	
	maintenance mechanisms	maintenance mechanisms	
	developed for the sustainability	developed for the sustainability of	
	of this programme?	this programme?	

Note: The research company will incorporate more questions to reflect lessons learned for the transition of School Feeding.

Annex 7: Evaluation Matrix for Baseline

Method of data analyses/ **Evaluation** Data Ouestions **Evaluation sub-questions Areas of Inquiry** Method of data collection triangulation quality Coherence To what extent are How do the programme The extent to which the policies Review of national policy Narrative review of Strong the McGovernactivities align with support or undermine the program documents secondary data Dole FY20 institutions' commitment to activities. Review of WFP country Analyzing programme The extent of synergy between the design in line with goals programme SDG? strategic plan, project activities aligned How do the programme programme activities and other proposal including results and objectives of GoN and activities align with those WFP Analysis of gualitative with government WFP operations as well as other framework and theory of policies, and with implemented by other actors contributing to educational data (Klls). change **Triangulation:** Comparing WFP guidelines actors? objectives in Nepal. Key informant interview with and policies? How do the programme Additionality of the McGovern-Dole MoEST, CHERD, FFEP, WFP views of different FY20 intervention to existing programme staff, and stakeholders and activities align with WFP's policy framework? initiatives by WFP or the implementing partners secondary data How are the programme government without duplicating activities synergistic with the efforts of other projects in the other WFP operations in education sector in Nepal. Nepal? Alignment of the McGovern-Dole How well the programme FY20 intervention design and design aims to reach delivery with human rights marginalized and vulnerable principles and standards, including groups and transform gender gender equality and women inequities empowerment, and wider equity issues. Relevance How the To what extent the Issues an intervention address and Review of project proposal Narrative review of Strong including results framework McGovern-Dole stakeholders' priorities and whv? secondary data FY20 programme needs are articulated in the The extent to which the priorities and theory of change. Analysing programme and needs of stakeholder's A structured interview with goals and design intervention's objectives, its design are aligned with underlying theory of change? the headteacher, teacher,

Baseline survey will explore the relevance, coherence, and sustainability aspects of the programme only.

Evaluation Questions	Evaluation sub-questions	Areas of Inquiry	Method of data collection	Method of data analyses/ triangulation	Data quality
the beneficiary and stakeholder's needs?	To what extent do the programme's interventions aim to reach the right people (men, women, boys, and girls) at the right time, with the right type of assistance at this stage? To what extent the beneficiaries and target stakeholders view the intervention as useful and valuable?	beneficiaries are included in program design Perception of stakeholders and beneficiaries about the importance of programme intervention	student, and KII with different tiers of government (federal, provincial, and local level), WFP officials and implementing partners, FGD with the school management committee, and adolescent girls Record review of schools	Analysis of quantitative and qualitative data. Triangulation: Comparing views of different stakeholders, primary and secondary data	
Sustainability					•
To what extent the programme has inbuilt activities to sustain the benefits generated by the project.	To what extent the learnings from the past programme has been incorporated while designing the McGovern-Dole FY20 programme interventions? What strategies are in place in programme design to sustain the benefits generated by the programme? What is the preparedness for the handover of the programme?	Learnings from the past programme incorporated in McGovern-Dole FY20. Strategies designed to sustain the benefits post programme Preparedness for handover	Review of a project proposal. KII with different tiers of government, WFP officials, and implementing partners	Narrative review of secondary data Analysing programme design Analysis of qualitative data Triangulation: Comparing views of different stakeholders, and secondary data	Strong

Annex 8: Performance Monitoring Plan (PMP)

		McGovern-Dole - Worl	d Food Programme				
	Nepal				Target	:S	
	Desferre a la llaster	Data Gaussa	D :	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
Indicator Number	Performance Indicator	Data Source	Disaggregation	2021	2022	2023	2024
Standard 1	Percent of students who, by the end of two grades of primary schooling,	Baseline Survey Midterm Evaluation Endline evaluation	Total (fluency and comprehension)	2	4.5	7	12
	demonstrate that they can read and understand the		Female	-	4.5	7	12
	meaning of grade-level text		Male	2	4.5	7	12
			Total (Oral reading fluency)		24	26	
			Female	-	22	24	26
			Male	-	22	24	26
Standard 2	Average student attendance rate in USDA supported classrooms/schools	Headcount and review of attendance register during routine process monitoring	Total	70%	75%	80%	85%
		Baseline Survey, Midterm evaluation	Female	70%	75%	80%	85%
		Endline evaluation	Male	70%	75%	80%	85%
Standard 3	Number of teaching and learning materials provided as a result of USDA assistance	Input Output Monitoring	n/a	904,506	77,680	291,150	0

Standard 4		Baseline survey, midterm evaluation and	Total	0	652	746	839
	0	endline evaluation	Female	0	217	248	279
	new and quality teaching techniques or tools as a result of USDA assistance		Male	0	435	498	560
		Input Output Monitoring	Total	932	318	614	0
Standard 5	assistants trained or certified		Female	310	106	205	-
	as a result of USDA assistance		Male	622	212	409	-
		Baseline survey, midterm and endline	Total	0	617	645	645
Standard 6		evaluation	Female	0	206	215	215
demonstrate the demonstrate the demonstrate the demonstrate the techniques or the result of USDA	demonstrate the use of new techniques or tools as a result of USDA assistance		Male	0	411	430	430
		Input Output	Total	921	921	921	0
Standard 7	administrators and officials trained or certified as a result	Monitoring	Female	307	307	307	0
	of USDA assistance		Male	614	614	498 614 205 409 645 215 430 921 307 614 600 300 300 232,875 13,336 12,491	0
		Input Output Monitoring	Total	400	700	600	108
Standard 8	classrooms, improved water sources, and latrines) rehabilitated/constructed as	Monitoring	Improved Water Sources (hand washing station)	200	350	300	54
	a result of USDA assistance		Latrines (maintenance)	200	350	300	54
		Resource Allocation Plan/Integrated	Total	276,314	312,380	232,875	133,133
Standard 9	1	Education Management Information System	Pre-Primary Female	21,100	21,100	13,336	7,511
	((IEMIS)	Pre-Primary Male	19,763	19,763	12,491	7,035
			Primary Female	106,805	106,805	67,506	38,020

			Primary Male	93,953	93,953	59,383	33,445
			Secondary Female	34,693	39,650	44,606	23,966
			Secondary Male	26,665	31,109	35,553	23,156
	Number of policies,	Documentation of the	Total	16	21	19	5
	regulations, or administrative procedures in each of the	development of guidelines routinely	Education (Stage 1-2)	16	26	19	0
Standard 10	following stages of development as a result of USDA assistance	during project implementation.School meal/School Health and Nutrition (SHN) policy at different tiers of government	Education (Stage 3-5)	0	16	21	24
Standard 12	Number of public-private partnerships formed as a result of USDA assistance	Input Output Monitoring	Education	142	150	160	3
Standard 13	Number of Parent-Teacher Associations (PTAs) or similar "school" governance structures supported as a result of USDA assistance	Process Monitoring	n/a	2,297	2,297	1,506	718
Standard 16	Number of daily school meals (breakfast, snack, lunch) provided to school-age children as a result of USDA assistance	Input Output Monitoring	n/a	17,396,712	28,392,336	17,188,416	6,192,792
	Number of school-age	Input Output	Total	241,621	241,621	152,717	86,011
	children receiving daily school meals (breakfast,	Monitoring	New, Female	127,906	21,100	13,336	7,511
Standard 17	snack, lunch) as a result of USDA assistance		Continuing, Female	0	106,805	67,506	38,020
			New, Male	113,715	19,763	19 19 21 160 1,506 17,188,416 152,717 13,336	7,035
			Continuing, Male	0	93,953		33,445
Standard 18			Total	322,754	325,190	259,191	198,065

			Human Assets/Capital, Female, New	162,599	26,057	18,292	7,511
			Human Assets/Capital, Female, Continuing	0	141,498	107,155	61,986
			Human Assets/Capital, Male, New	140,380	24,207	16,935	37,698
	Number of social assistance beneficiaries participating in	Input Output Monitoring	Human Assets/Capital, Male, Continuing	0	93,953	59,383	33,445
	productive safety nets as a result of USDA assistance		Household Assets/Capital, Female, New	3,955	3,940	3,590	0
			Household Assets/Capital, Female, Continuing	0	3,955	7,895	11,485
			Household Assets/Capital, Male, New	15,820	15,760	14,360	0
			Household Assets/Capital, Male, Continuing	0	15,820	31,580	45,940
	Number of individuals who	Baseline Survey	Total	0	5,678	11,086	16,003
Stondard 10	demonstrate the use of new	Midterm Evaluation	Female	0	1,847	4,093	6,240
practices as a resu	child health and nutrition practices as a result of USDA assistance	Endline evaluation	Male	0	3,831	6,993	9,763
	Number of individuals who	Baseline Survey	Total	0	1,378	1,493	1,608
Standard 20	demonstrate the use of new safe food preparation and	Midterm Evaluation Endline evaluation	Female	0	1,103	1,195	1,287
			Male	0	275	298	321

	storage practices as a result of USDA assistance						
Standard 22	Number of individuals trained in safe food	Input-Output Monitoring	Total	#REF!	2,577	2,577	0
56110810 22	preparation and storage as a result of USDA assistance		Female	#REF!	515	515	0
	Tesult of OSDA assistance		Male	#REF!	2,062		0
	Number of individuals trained in child health and	Input- Output	Total	9,464	8,320	7,024	0
Standard 23	nutrition as a result of USDA	Monitoring	Female	3,079	3,455	3,067	0
	assistance		Male	6,385	4,865	515 2,062 7,024 3,067 3,957 1,058 848 848 197,376 194,153 116,479	0
Standard 27	Number of schools using an improved water source	Input and Output monitoring Routine process monitoring Baseline Survey Midterm Evaluation Endline evaluation	n/a	408	758	1,058	1,112
Standard 28	Number of schools with improved sanitation facilities	Input and Output monitoring Routine process monitoring Baseline Survey Midterm Evaluation Endline evaluation	n/a	248	548	848	902
Standard 29	Number of students receiving deworming medication(s)	Input and Output monitoring	n/a	148,032	172,704	197,376	133,543
	Number of individuals	Input and Output	Total	334,600	272,357	194,153	185,505
	participating in USDA food	Monitoring	Students Female	162,599	167,556	116,479	64,445
	security programs		Students Male	140,380	144,824	107,426	63,635
Standard 30			School administrators and officials Female	153	153	153	0

			School administrators and officials Male	613	613	613	0
			Teachers Female	575	293	205	0
			Teachers Male	1,239	649	409	0
			Cook Female	191	191	191	0
			Cook Male	575	574	574	0
			Producers Female	15,820	31,580	45,940	45,940
			Producers Male	3,955	7,895	11,485	11,485
			School governance structure member Female (SMP and SWASH CC)	2505	2,347	2,348	0
			School governance structure member Male (SMP_SWASH CC)	5709	3,877	3,877	0
			Government official's female	58	56	55	0
			Government official's male	228	224	223	0
	Number of individuals	Input and Output	Total	334,600	272,357	194,153	185,505
	participating in USDA food	Monitoring	Students Female	162,599	167,556	116,479	64,445
	security programs that		Students Male	140,380	144,824	107,426	63,635
Standard 30	include an LRP component		School administrators and officials Female	153	153	153	0
			School administrators and officials Male	613	613	613	0
			Teachers Female	575	293	205	0

			Teachers Male	1,239	649	409	0
			Cook Female	191	191	191	0
			Cook Male	575	574	574	0
			Producers Female	15,820	31,580	45,940	45,940
			Producers Male	3,955	7,895	11,485	11,485
			School governance structure member Female (SMP and SWASH CC)	2505	2,347	2,348	0
			School governance structure member Male (SMP_SWASH CC)	5709	3,877	3,877	0
			Government official's female	58	56	55	0
			Government official's male	228	224	223	0
Standard 31	Number of individuals benefiting indirectly from USDA-funded interventions	Input and Output Monitoring	n/a	1,271,480	1,034,956	737,781	704,919
	Number of schools reached	Input and Output	Total	2,297	2,297	1,506	708
Standard 32	as a result of USDA assistance	Monitoring	Pre-Primary	1,783	1,783	1,121	556
Stanuaru Sz			Primary	1,474	1,474	957	453
			Secondary	823	823	549	265
Standard 33	Number of schools reached with LRP activities as a result of USDA assistance	Input and Output Monitoring	n/a	791	1,579	1,506	708
LRP 5	Cost of commodity procured as a result of USDA	Input and Output Monitoring	Total (USD)	405,306	300,000	953,700	0

	assistance (by commodity		Vegetables (USD)	405,306	300,000	389,970	0
	and source country)		Rice (USD)	0	0	172,200	
			Lentils (USD)	0	0	391,530	0
	Quantity of commodity	Input and Output	Total (MT)	640	480	1,349	0
	procured as a result of USDA	Monitoring	Vegetables (MT)	640	480	619	0
	assistance (by commodity and source country)		Rice (USD)	0	0	420	
			Lentils (MT)	0	0	310	0
	Number of individuals who	Input and Output	Total	19,775	39,475	57,425	57,425
	have received short-term agricultural sector productivity or food security	Monitoirng	Producers Female New	15,820	15,760	14,360	0
FFPr 21	training as a result of USDA assistance		Producers Male New	3,955	3,940	3,590	0
			Producers Female Continuing	0	15,820	31,580	45,940
			Producers Male Continuing	0	3,955		11,485
	Number of individuals in the	Baseline Survey Midterm Evaluation Endline evaluation	Total	-	11,865	27,633	45,940
	agriculture system who have applied improved management practices or technologies with USDA assistance		Smallholder producers Female; age 15- 29	-	2,848	6,632	11,026
LRP 12	assistance		Smallholder producers Male; age 15-29	-	712	1,658	2,756
			Smallholder producers Female; age 30+	-	6,644	15,474	25,726
			Smallholder producers Male; age 30+	-	1,661	3,869	6,432

	Number of organizations	Baseline Survey	Government				
FFPr 12	with increased performance	Midterm Evaluation	agencies	-	158	171	179
	with USDA assistance	Endline evaluation					
Note: Custom	indicators targets will be finalized after	er the baseline survey, d	eleted as per suggestic	on of USDA comme	ent in Attachme	ent D ver 1	
	Average retention rate	Baseline Survey	Total				
Custom 1		Midterm Evaluation	Female				
			Male				
Custom 2	Number of schools receiving food commodities for school meal program on a timely basis	Input and Output Monitoring	n/a				
	Number of schools	Input and Output	Total				
	conducting at least one annual health screening.	monitoring	Pre-Primary				
Custom 3			Primary				
			Secondary				
Custom 4	Number of adolescent girls aged 10-19 years receiving biannual weekly Iron Folic Acid supplementation	Input and Output monitoring	n/a				
Custom 5	Number of schools with provision of sanitary pads.	Routine process monitoring	n/a				
Custom 6	Number of schools with toilets with sanitary pads disposal bins.	Routine process monitoring	n/a				
Custom 7	Number of schools supported for segregated waste management pit.	Input and Output monitoring	n/a				

Custom 8	Number of schools practicing segregated waste management practice. Number of local governments recognizing and	Routine process monitoring Baseline Survey Midterm Evaluation Endline Evaluation Input and Output Monitoring	n/a		
Custom 9	rewarding teachers making changes or taking special initiatives for their students to achieve reading outcomes		n/a		
Custom 10	Number of school meals committee established at the municipal level as per the standard guideline.	Input and Output Monitoring	n/a		
	Minimum diet diversity of school-age children	Baseline Survey Midterm Evaluation	Total		
Custom 11	school-age children	Endline Evaluation	Boys		
			Girls		
	Percentage of parents having	Baseline Survey Midterm Evaluation	Total		
Custom 12	school-going children aware about the benefits of school		Male	 	
	meal program.	Endline Evaluation	Female		
Custom 13	Percentage of local government developing contextualized instructional materials.	Input and Output Monitoring	n/a		
	Percentage of students aware	Baseline Survey	Total		
Custom 14	of the importance of school meal program	Midterm Evaluation Endline Evaluation	Boys		
			Girls		
SBCC Custom Indicators					
	Health-related absenteeism	Baseline Survey	Total		
SBCC Custom 1	among school age children	Midterm Evaluation Endline Evaluaiton	Boys		
			Girls		

	Percent of school-age	Baseline Survey	Total		
SBCC Custom 2	children with good personal hygiene.	Midterm Evaluation Endline Evaluation	Boys		
			Girls		
	Percent of adolescent girls	Baseline Survey			
SBCC Custom 3	reporting practice of hygienic	Midterm Evaluation			
	menstrual behavior.	Endline Evaluation	n/a		
	Percent of school-age	Baseline Survey	Total	 	
SBCC Custom 4	children reporting handwashing practice at	Midterm Evaluation Endline Evaluation	Boys		
	critical times.		Girls		
	Number of schools with at	Baseline Survey			
	least one set of Information	Midterm Evaluation			
SBCC Custom 5	Education and	Endline Evaluation			
	Communication and behavior				
	change package.		n/a		
	Number of schools	Input and Output			
SBCC Custom 6	celebrating national	Monitoring			
SBCC Custom 6	sanitation-related campaigns				
	at the community level.		n/a		
	Number of school-age	Baseline Survey	Total		
SBCC Custom 7	children receiving school	Midterm Evaluation	Boys		
	meals on all school days	Endline Evaluation	Girls		

Annex 9: Data Collections Tools

A: Quantitative Tools

Baseline Study of USDA McGovern Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme-2021 WFP/New ERA

Questionnaire for Head Teacher Survey Informed Consent

Namaste! My name is...... I am here from New ERA, a research organization based in Kathmandu. Now, we are conducting a baseline Survey of USDA McGovern Dole International FFECN Programme managed by World Food Programme. For this purpose, we are collecting data about education, school meal program activities, personal hygiene, school infrastructures, and health and nutrition from 330 schools in 6 districts of Nepal. We will collect these data interviewing with head teacher, Literacy teacher, SHN focal teacher, cook, students, parents and other concerned local stakeholders.

We are inviting you to participate in this study. During this study, I will ask you questions related to your school in general, EGR components and mid-day meal program.

We value your opinion and there are no wrong answers to the questions. We will use approximately 1 hour of your time. There will be no risk as a result of your participation in the study. Your participation in this research is completely voluntary. You are free to withdraw your consent and discontinue participation in this survey at any time. All information gathered will be strictly treated as confidential and will be used only for the study purposes. If you need further information, you can contact Udbodh Rijal (Kalopul, Kathmandu; email: udbodh@newera.com.np; Ph. No. 01-4413603).

Your participation will be highly appreciated. The answers you give will be used for planning school meal related programs and services.

Are you willing to participate in the study? 1 = Yes 2 = No (End interview)

Date: ___/___/2078

Section 1: Interview Information			
Q. No	Question		
HT1	Name of School:		
HT2	Name of Village:		
HT3	Province name and number		
HT4	District name and code number		
HT5	Name and code of Rural/Urban Municipality:		
HT6	Ward no.:		
HT7	Sampled school EMIS #:		
HT8	Type of School	School receiving continuing WFP support1 New School	
H9	Up to which grade the school functions	Basic (ECD- 3)	
HT10	Name and code of the Interviewer:		
HT11	Name and code of the Supervisor:		
HT12	Date of Interview	DD MM YYYY	
HT13	Interview Start Time:	Hour Minute Minute	
HT14	Is the school benefitting from any other light touch intervention?	SIDP	
	GPS coordinates of School		
HT15	Latitude		
HT16	Longitude		
HT17	Altitude (m)		

Section	2: Questions related to school's genera	al information	
Q.No.	Question	Responses	Skip to
HT18	Code of the respondent / ID		
HT18a	Is the respondent the school Head teacher?	Yes1 No2	
HT18b	If no, then probe his/her designation.		
HT19	What is your gender?	Male1 Female2 Other3 Not willing to express4	
HT20	What is your ethnicity?	Brahmin/Chhetri 1 Dalit 2 Newar 3 Other Janjati (excluding Newar) 4 Madhesi 5 Muslim 6 Other (Specify) 96	
HT21	Do you have any disability?	Yes1 No2-	→ HT22
HT21a	lf 'Yes', what type of disability do you have?	Hearing deficiency1Visual impairment2Speech impairment3Physical disability4Other (Specify)96	
HT22	Years of experience as Teacher	A. Overall:	
HT23	Years of experience as Head Teacher	A. Overall:	
HT24	Number of teachers by gender	B. In this school:	
11124	Number of teachers by genuer	a. Male	
HT25	Number of teachers by ethnicity	Brahmin/Chhetri 1 Dalit	
		Other Janjati (excluding Newar) 4 Madhesi	

Q.No.	Question	Responses	Skip to
HT26	Is the number of teachers adequate according to the grades school is operating	Yes	
HT27	How is the availability of classrooms for early grades?	Adequate1 Not Adequate2	

Module	Module 3: School and Community Relation					
Q.No.	Question	Options	Skip to			
HT28	When was the current School Management Committee formed? (Review record)	DD / MM / YYYY				
HT28a	Number of SMC members by gender (Review record)	a. Male				
HT28b	Number of SMC members by ethnicity	c. Other1				
	(Review record)	Dalit2				
HT29	Have the SMC members received any orientation or training on school management?	Other (Specify)	→HT30			
HT 29a	If 'Yes', by whom? (Mention the agency/organization)					
HT29b	If 'Yes', what were core contents of the training ? (Multiple response possible)	Roles and responsibilities of SMC members				
HT30	How many meetings of SMC were held in the year of 2076 ?		lf "0", go to HT 31			
Q.No.	Questio	on	Opti	ons		Skip to
-------	--	-------------------	-------------------------------------	--------	-------	---------
HT30a	Did the meeting	Торіс		Yes= 1	No= 2	
	discuss about the	Activities to pr	omote reading skills in			
	following?	early grades	-			
		Mid-day Meal				
			and Nutrition (WaSH in			
		school)				
		Other (Specify)				
HT31	Has the Executive Com		Yes			
_	Parent- Teachers' Asso		No			→ HT33
	formed?					
HT32	How many meetings o	f the Executive				
	Committee were held	in the last year?				
			·	1	Ĭ.	
HT32a	Did the meeting	Торіс		Yes= 1	No= 2	
	discuss about the		mote reading skills in			
	following?	early grades				
		Regular attendar	nce of students			
		Parents engagen				
		Students' perform	mance			
		Other (Specify) _				
HT33	Has Child Club been fo	ormed?	Yes		1	
			No		2-	→HT35
HT34	If 'Yes', what is the nun	nber of members				
	in Child Club by gende	r?	a. Male			
			b. Female			
HT34a	If 'Yes', what is the nun	nber of Child				
	Club members by ethr		a. Dalits			
		2	b. Non- Dalits			
HT34b	If 'Yes', how many mee	etings were held	No. of meetings			
	in the last year?		Don't know			
HT35	Does your school orga	nize meetings	Yes, for all grades			
	with parents regularly	-	Yes, for early grades only (Grade 1			
			to 3)	-		► HT36
			No		3	
HT35a	If 'Yes', what are the ag	gendas discussed	Students performance	2	A	
	in parents meeting?		Regular attendance of			
			Parent's support to ch			
	(Multiple response p	ossible)	Others (Specify)	····	X	
HT35b	If 'Yes', what is the free	quency of	Monthly			
	meeting with parents?		Quarterly			
			Semi-annually			
			Annually		4	
		and the -	Others (Specify)			
HT35c	If 'Yes', who usually att	ena the	Father			
	meetings?		Mother			
			Male guardian			
		opting work	Female guardian			
HT35d	How many parents' me conducted in last acad		Grade 1:times Grade 2:times			
	(Observe the record)		Grade 2:times Grade 3:times			
					3	

Module	4: Internal Efficiency							
Q.No.	Questic			Options			Skip to	
HT36	Is there School Opera	tion Cale	endar	Yes, observed		1		
	(school routine)?		Yes, not observed		2			
	(Observe)			No		3		
HT37	Do you have the upda	ted Scho	ool	Yes, observed		1		
	Improvement Plan?			Yes, not observed		2		
	(Observe)			No		3	— → HT38	
HT37a	If 'Yes', does it	S.N.	Needs	·	Yes	No		
	include the	1.	Early G	rade Reading				
	following:	2.	Use of	mother tongue in early grades				
		3.	School	Meal Management				
		4.	SHN/W	ASH				
		5.	Inclusiv	ve education				
		6.	Co- cur	ricular activities				
		7.	Increas	e instructional time	1			
HT38	How is the regularity of	of studer		90% and above			1	
-	early grades?			80-90%			⊢ HT39	
	, 0			70-80%		3		
				60-70%		4		
				Below 60%		5		
HT38a	If below 70% (codes 4	or 5), wł	nat are	Because of sickness		A		
	the main reasons for t			Because of long distance from h	iome to)		
				school				
	(Multiple response p	ossible)		Because of adverse climateC				
	(Because of festivals D				
			Because of involvement in hous					
				works/farm works				
				Because of financial crisis				
				Lack of students awareness/or in				
				Lack of parental awareness				
				Lack of parental support				
				As the students above grade 5 d				
				midday meal				
				Mensuration (for girls)K				
				Other (Specify)X				
HT39	How is the regularity of	of the Ne	epali	90 % and above		1	h	
	language teachers for	early gr	ades?	80-90%		2	⊱HT41	
			70-80%					
				60-70%				
11700				Below 60%				
HT39a	If below 70% (codes 4			Lack of motivation				
	the main reasons for t	ine irreg	ularity?	Transfer of teachers				
	(Multiple response possible)		Teachers attending trainings Long distance					
	(manupie response p	5551010)		Climatic conditions				
				Frequent replacement of teache				
				Others (Specify)				
HT40	lf below 60-70%, has t	he schoo	ol taken	Yes				
	any action to increase			No		2	HT41	
	attendance?							

Q. No.	Question	Options	Skip to
HT40a	If yes, what actions does the school	Strictly follows the school guidelines/	
	usually take to increase the	protocolA	
	attendance?	Encourages those who attend regularlyB	
		Properly evaluates the teacherC	
	(Multiple response possible)	Marks the teacher as absenteeD	
		Asks justification from the teacher E	
		Stops the promotion of the teacherF	
		Other (Specify)X	
HT41	Do teachers prepare lesson plans in	Yes 1	
	advance?	No	T_HT43
		Don't know98	
HT42	Do you review and provide feedback		
	on lesson plans prepared by		
	teachers?		
HT43	Do you monitor the classroom	Yes 1	
	activities of the early grade Nepali	No2-	→HT44
	language teachers?		
HT43a	If 'Yes', how frequently?	Daily1	
		Weekly2	
		Every 15 days	
		Monthly	
		Quarterly	
		Others	
HT44	As per your observation, do the early	Yes	
	grade teachers need further support?	No	НТ45
		Don't know	
HT44a	If 'Yes', in which area?		
in 44a			
HT45	Did local municipality officials monitor	 Yes	
	your school activities in the last year?	No	нт46
	(Please record top two priority areas)	Don't know	<u> </u>
HT45a	If 'Yes', how many times did they	One time	
111450	monitor your school activities during	Two times 2	
	education calendar year of 2076?	Three times	
	education calendar year of 2070:	Four times	
		More than four times	
HT46	What is the allocated time for Nepali		
17140		45 minutes a day	
	language class for early grades? (G1 -	90 minutes a day	
	G3)	Others (Specify)	
HT47	Were monthly meeting with teachers	Yes	
	conducted in the last academic year/	No2-	►HT48
	2076?		
	(Pls check the meeting minutes)		
HT47a	If yes, how many times were the	times	
	meeting conducted in the last	Record not available for review	
	academic year? (Review record)		

Q. No.	Question	Options	Skip to
HT47b	If 'Yes', what are the common issues	Early grade Students' performance	
	discussed during the meeting?	Challenges faced during teaching/learning	
		activities	
	(Choose all that apply)	Mitigation measures for the challenges 3	
		Support needed from school	
		management	
		Involvement of parents	
		Status on implementation of SIP	
		Other (Specify)	
HT48	Did the school participate in mobile	Yes	
	meeting in last academic year?	No	► HT50
HT48a	If yes, how many times were the	Yes 1	
	mobile meeting conducted in the last	No	
	academic year?		
	(Observe the record)		
HT50	In your opinion, how is the overall	Excellent	1
11150	learning achievement of grade 2	Satisfactory	HT51
	students?	Poor	
HT50a	If 'Poor', why?	Frequent absence of subject teachers	
moou		Frequent absence of students	
	(Multiple response possible)	Lack of parental support	
		Lack of teaching-learning materialsD	
		Less effective teaching methods E	
		Lack of trained teachersF	
		Less effective teaching-learning activitiesG	
		Different mother tongues of the studentsH	
		Other (Specify) X	
HT51	How is the student's assessment	Internal evaluationA	
IIIJI	performed?	ExamsB	
	performed?	Continuous Assessment System	
	(Multiple response possible)	Other (Specify) X	
HT52	Do you use the student evaluation to	Yes 1	
пізг	3		
	improve their performance?	No2-	
HT52A	How do you use the results of	To promote studentsA	
	assessment?	To plan for remedial teaching	
		To improve overall instructionC	
	(Multiple response possible)	To support individuallyD	
		Other (Specify)X	
HT53	Are there any school-going age	Yes 1	- · · ·
	children in your catchment area not	No	HT54
	admitted to school?	Don't know	
HT53a	If 'Yes', why are they not admitted?	Involvement in household chores A	
		Socio cultural factorB	
	(Multiple response possible)	Lack of parental awareness/IlliteracyC	
		To support the family financiallyD	
		Feeling less importance of education	
		by children E	
		Child marriageF	
		SicknessG	
		DisabilityH	
		Other (Specify)X	

Q. No.	Question	Options	Skip to
HT54	Does the school help the parents to	Yes 1	
	help improve student learning?	No 2 -	→HT55
HT54a	How does school mobilize parents for	Awareness programme for the parents A	
	improving students' learning	Regular meetings/ interactions with parents B	
	achievement?	Involving parents in volunteer activities in	
		schoolC	
	(Multiple response possible)	Involving parents in developing learning	
		materialsD	
		Organizing reading melas E	
		Involving parents in developing school	
		plans/SIPF	
		Requesting parents to support learning at	
		homeG	
		Awarding supportive parentsH	
		Other (Specify)X	

Q.No.	Question	Options	Skip to
HT55	Has your school received assistance of	Yes	
11100	teaching materials through WEP or its partners?	No2-	► HT57
HT55a	If 'Yes', what types of materials have you received?	Digital resources and materials (audio-video, e-library, online materials, laptops etc.)A Levelled reading materialsB	
	(Multiple response possible)	Book corner/ libraryC ⁻ Flash cards/ chartsD Locally made materialsE Other (Specify)X	HT57
HT56	If the assistance of 'Digital resources and materials' received, how is the use of such resources?	Regular 1 Occasional 2 Never 3 Don't know 4	
HT57	Has any teachers/staff member of your school received new knowledge and skills through WFP or its partners in the last 12 months?	Yes	► HT58
HT57a	If yes, what new knowledge/skills were learnt by the teachers/staff members through these programme/trainings? (Multiple response possible)	On improving literacy skills of the early grade students,A On improving the quality of teaching/ instruction by the teachersB On school health, hygiene and nutritionC On safe food preparation and storageD On school managementE On financial managementF On school community relationG	

Q. No.	Question	Options	Skip to
HT58	Have all early grade teachers (teaching	Yes, all early grade Nepali subject	
	Nepali subject) been trained in EGR	teachers are trained1	
	instruction?	Yes, but only one or some Nepali	
		subject teachers are trained	
		No, none of the Nepali subject teachers	
		are trained	→ HT60
HT59	Have the EGR trained teachers	Yes	
	demonstrated improved teaching skills	No2_	► HT60
	after the training?		•
HT59a	If 'Yes', in what ways?	Application of enhanced teaching	
		methodsA	
	(Multiple response possible)	Preparation and use of additional teaching	
	(p p p ,	materials besides textbooks	
		Better organization and management of	
		classroom arrangementC	
		Better communication with parentsD	
		Better communication with students E	
		Preparation and use of teaching plansF	
		Use of continuous assessment chartG	
		Better support based on student learning	
		skills and personalized learning	
		Better record keeping and follow-up on	
		lessons	
		Better access and use of technological	
		equipment	
		Provision of instructions to children in	
		mother tongueK	
		More interactive class deliveryL	
		Use of integrated curriculum	
		Other (Specify)X	
HT60	Is the school getting the right amount of	Received entire amounts for all days	
	ration (80g of fortified rice, 20g of	Received between 80%-99% of the days 2	
	lentils, and 10g of fortified vegetable oil,	Received between 60%-79% of the days 3	
	2gram iodized salt) for all students of	Received between 50%-59% of the days 4	
	grades 1-5 for entire 180 school days?	Received between 40%-49% of the days 5	
		Received between 20%-39% of the days 6	
		Received less than 20% of the days	
		Not received at all	
		Don't know	
HT60A	Since school reopened as the covid	Every day the school is open	
	cases lessened, has the school provided	Every day the school is open (except Friday)2	
	mid-day meal every day the school is	Every day the school is open (except maay)2	
	open?	exam days)	
		Every day the school is open (except on	
		Fridays and exam days)	
		Only sometimes	
		Never	
		INCACI	<u> </u>

Q.No.	Question	Options	Skip to
HT61B	If never, then why?	School distributes the food for the children	
	-	to take home1	
		The school is open for a short duration	
		and it's impossible to provide mid-day	
		meal2	НТ61
		Other (specify)96	
HT60C	If the school distributes the food for	-	
	the children to take home, then what	1	
	are the advantages of such a process?	2	
	(Please mention 3 advantages)	3	
HT61	Has the school, in collaboration with	Yes1	
	WFP/Partner Organization, initiated any	No2	
	actions towards exploring availability of	Don't know	
	locally produced food in view of	50 JUNE KITOW	
	transition of current kind-based mid-		
	day meal into cash-based home-grown		
	school feeding in the future?		
HT62	Has the school adopted home-grown	Yes, observed1	
	school meal menus developed and	Yes, could not be observed2	
	circulated by CEHRD?	No	
	(Observe)	Don't know	
HT63	Is the school receiving fresh, locally	Yes1	
	purchased vegetables from	No2	
	WFP/Partner Organization for the mid-	Don't know	
	day meal? (Observe)		
HT64	Are you receiving lentils (Daal) from	Yes1	
	WFP/Partner Organization for the	No2	
	students?	Don't know	
HT65	How confident are you about ensuring	Very confident1	
	adequate dietary diversity in school	Somewhat confident2	
	meals by the use of locally produced	Not so confident3	
	food items once the current kind-based	Don't know	
	support transitions into cash-based		
	Home-Grown School Feeding?		
HT66	What community feedback	Report to SMC/FMC A	
	mechanisms are in practice regarding	Report to headteacher/teacher	
	School Meal Programme?	Telephonic contact with the concerned C	
		EmailsD	
	(Multiple response possible)	Report to partnersE	
	,	Toll Free Helpline/Namaste WFPF	
		Suggestion boxG	
		Complaint handling teacher	
		Report to WFP staff	
		Report to distribution centre staffJ	
		Report to local government	
		-	
HT67	Have you ever used Namasta WED to	Other (Specify)X Yes1	
107	Have you ever used Namaste WFP to		
	provide suggestions or feedback about	No2	HT69
	the program?	Don't know	

Q.No.	Question			Options			Skip to
HT68	If 'Yes', how satisfied are you with the	Very sat	isfied			1 → HT69	
	redressal process of 'Namaste WFP' in	Somewh	nat satisfie	d		2	
	terms of ease of use, timeliness of the	Not so s	atisfied			3	
	redressal and quality of the redressal?	Don't kn	Don't know 98-			98–	► HT69
HT68a	If somewhat or not satisfied, why?						
	(Record the top reason)						
HT69	What would be the best option for	Through	n SMC/FMC			1	
	school children, parents and others to	Report t	o school/h	eadteacher	/teache	r2	
	communicate their issues to WFP?	Logbool	<	•••••		3	
			-	suggestion b			
				nes			
				f			
		-		staff			
			-	ernment			
				ion centre s			
				lessenger to			
HT70							
HI/U	Does your school receive any support for midday meal from Local						. UT71
	Government/community/any	NO	•••••	•••••	•••••		→ HT71
	organisation other than WFP/its						
	partner?						
HT70a	If 'Yes', what kind of support?	In-kind				1	
111700							
HT71			Other (Specify)				►HT72
	Does your school have a designated	a designated No					,
	cook?			t prepare m			► HT72
HT71a	If 'No', how is it managed?						-
HT72	Do you have a set of Information	Yes, obs	erved			1	
	Education and Communication and	Yes, cou	ld not be o	bserved		2	
	Behaviour Change package?	No				3	
	(Observe)	Don't kn	iow			98	
HT73	How do you rate your overall perceptio	ns on the fo	ollowing?				
				3=			
S.N.	(Ask this question's 7-15 items only in	1=		Neither		5=	97=
5.14.	EGR districts) Items	Strongly	2=	agree nor	4=	Strongly	Not
		Disagree	Disagree	disagree	Agree	Agree	applicable
1	School Meal Programme has increased						
	students' enrolment.						
2	School Meal Programme has increased						
	students' attendance.						
3	School Meal Programme has						
	decreased students' dropouts.						
4	School Meal Programme has increased						
	students' attentiveness in class.						
5	School Meal Programme has increased						
	students' interest in studying.						

6	School Meal Programme has increased			
0	overall students learning outcome.			
7	WFP's intervention (midday meal, EGR,			
	SHN) has contributed to increased			
	Grade 1-3 students learning outcome			
	for Nepali subject.			
8	The Mobile Meetings of Head teachers			
	and EGR teachers are focused on the			
	pertinent activities of early grade			
	reading.			
9	Reading Motivator is supporting the			
	teachers for material preparation.			
10	Reading Motivator is supporting the			
	teachers for use of teaching/learning			
	materials.			
11	Reading Motivator is supporting the			
	teachers by providing feedback based			
	on classroom observation.			
12	Reading Motivator is supporting the			
	teachers for demonstrating education			
	activities.			
13	There are grade appropriate reading			
	materials in the early grades.			
14	School has adopted an inclusive			
	pedagogy.			
15	Students get additional support for			
	enhancing their reading skills when			
	required.			

HT74	Interview End Time:	Hour		Minute]
------	---------------------	------	--	--------	--	---

Thank you for your time.

Baseline Study of USDA McGovern Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme-2021 WFP/New ERA

Questionnaire for Nepali Subject Teacher Survey Informed Consent

Namaste! My name is...... I am here from New ERA, a research organization based in Kathmandu. Now, we are conducting a baseline Survey of USDA McGovern Dole International FFECN Programme managed by World Food Programme. For this purpose, we are collecting data about education, school meal program activities, personal hygiene, school infrastructures, and health and nutrition from 330 schools in 6 districts of Nepal. We will collect these data interviewing with head teacher, Literacy teacher, SHN focal teacher, cook, students, parents and other concerned local stakeholders.

We are inviting you to participate in this study. During this study, I will ask you questions related to your experiences in Early Grade Reading instructions, related trainings/supports that you might have received and your use of EGR tools and techniques in teaching Nepali in early grades. Besides, we would like to sit in one of your classes and have real time experience of the methods that you use.

We value your opinion and there are no wrong answers to the questions. We will use approximately 30 minutes of your time. There will be no risk as a result of your participation in the study. Your participation in this research is completely voluntary. You are free to withdraw your consent and discontinue participation in this survey at any time. All information gathered will be strictly treated as confidential and will be used only for the study purposes. If you need further information, you can contact Udbodh Rijal (Kalopul, Kathmandu; email: udbodh@newera.com.np; Ph. No. 01-4413603).

Your participation will be highly appreciated. The answers you give will be used for planning International FFECN Programme.

Are you willing to participate in the study? 1 = Yes 2 = No (End interview)

Date: ___/__/2078

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category
NT1	Name of School:	
NT2	Name of Village:	
NT3	Province Name and Number:	
NT4	District:	
NT5	Name and code of Rural /Urban Municipality:	
NT6	Ward no.:	
NT7	Sampled school EMIS #:	
NT7a	Type of School	School receiving continuing WFP support 1 New School
NT8	Up to which grade the school functions	Basic (ECD- 3) 1 Basic (ECD- 5) 2 Basic (ECD-8) 3 Secondary (ECD- 10) 4 Secondary (ECD-12) 5
NT9	Name and code of the Interviewer:	
NT10	Name and code of the Supervisor:	
NT11	Interview Start Time:	Hour Minute
NT12	Date of Interview	

Module 1: Background Characteristics of Survey Area

Module 2: Background Information of Respondent

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
NT13	Identification code of the respondent		
NT14	What is your gender?	Male1 Female	
		Other	
		Prefer not to respond 4	
NT15	What is your age?	Below 25 years1	
		26-35 years2	
		36- 45 years3	
		46- 55 years4	
		Above 55 years5	
NT16	What is your formal education?	Under SLC1	
		SLC/SEE	
		+2/Intermediate3	
		Bachelor4	
		Master's or above5	
		Other (Specify)	

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
NT17	Type of appointment	Permanent1	
		Temporary (Fixed source)2	
		Temporary (Niji shrowt)3	
		Voluntary teacher4	
		Rahat teacher5	
NT18	How long have you been teaching in total?	Less than a year1	
		1-5 years	
		6-10 years	
		More than 10 years	
NT19	How long have you been teaching in this	Less than a year 1	
	school?	1-5 years	
		6-10 years	
		More than 10 years	
NT20	What is the total number of students in		
11120	grade 3? (Check attendance register)	Male	
		Female	
NT21	(If total number of students is greater		
	than 45) What is the total number of		
	sections in grade 3?	Number of sections	
NT22	What mother tongues do the majority of	NepaliA	
	students in your class speak?	DoteliB	
		TharuC	
	(Multiple response possible)	AcchamiD	
		Baitedi E	
		BajhangiF	
		MagarG	
		BajureliH	
		DarchuleliI	
		Other (Specify)X	
NT22a	What mother tongues do you mainly use	Nepali1	
	while teaching Nepali subject?	Doteli2	
		Tharu	
		Acchami4	
		Baitedi5	
		Bajhangi6	
		Magar	
		Bajureli8	
		Darchuleli9	
		Other (Specify)96	
NT23	Do you have regular meeting with the	Yes	
	head-teacher to discuss on teaching	No2-	► NT26
	learning achievements and challenges?		
NT24	If 'Yes', how frequently?	In less than a month1	
	,	Monthly	
		Bimonthly	
		Quarterly	
		Half- yearly	
		Yearly	
L		· curry	I

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
NT25	If 'Yes', what is the focus of the meeting?	PedagogyA	
		Class managementB	
	(Multiple response possible)	School administrationC	
		Management of daily activitiesD	
		Student's performanceE	
		Regularity of studentsF	
		Parent- teacher meetingsG	
		Extra-curricular activitiesH	
		Infrastructure managementI	
		Other (Specify)X	
NT26	How supportive is the school	Very supportive1	
	management in mitigating the challenges	Supportive2	
	shared?	Not supportive	
		Don't know4	

Module 3: Literacy Support and Trainings

Q.N.	Questions /Response	C	ategory		Skip To
NT27	As a Nepali EGRA teacher have you received any training or support from WFP/ partner organizations?	Yes No			→NT31
NT27a	What type of support did you receive (or cur				
	being a Nepali language teacher, from WFP	or its partners?	Door		
	(Multiple response possible) Content/Materials		1 = Yes	onse 2 = No	
	a) Training on Early Grade Reading instruct	tion	1	2 -	▶NT31
	b) Training on general pedagogy and asses	sment	1	2 —	► NT31
	c) Teacher's Guide		1	2	
	d) Integrated Curriculum		1	2	
	e) Teaching materials (audio-video, CD/DV materials, laptops etc.)	D, online	1	2	
	f) Print materials (Charts/Pictures, Word ca milestone stone chart or continuous ass etc.)		1	2	
	g) Classroom based game materials (e.g. cl	hamatkari ball)	1	2	
	h) Book corner		1	2	
	i) Book corner with leveled readers		1	2	
	j) Supplementary reading materials		1	2	
NT28	If 'Training received', when did you receive it last?	Year			
NT28a	If 'Training received', how long was the last training?	Months	or days		

Q.N.	Questions /Response		Category		
NT29	If any training(s) was/were received, what	Letter readin	g	A	
	were the contents of the training(s)?			В	
		Word reading	g	C	
	(Multiple response possible)	Reading fluencyI Listening comprehension			
				F	
				G	
		-		Н	
		Assessment	and evaluatior	nI	
				ntsJ	
				useK	
				s on EGR L activities M	
		-		m N	
			hildren with c		
				0	
		Other (Specify)X		X	
NT30	How effective was the training regarding	Response			
	following components?			Not	
	Component	Highly Effective=1	Somewhat Effective=2	Effective=3	
a.	Teaching letter reading				
b.	Teaching matra reading				
с.	Teaching vocabulary				
d.	Teaching reading fluency				
e.	Teaching listening comprehension				
f.	Teaching reading comprehension				
g.	Teaching writing skills				
h.	Classroom management				
i.	Assessment and evaluation				
j.	Communicating with parents				
k.	Materials preparation and use				
١.	Raising parental awareness on EGR				
m.	Implementing digital teaching actitivites				
n.	Use of integrated curriculum				
0.	Inclusion of differently abledstudents in class				
х.	Other (Specify)				

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
NT31	If 'Training not received', what type of	Teaching methodsA	
	contents would you like to be included in	Early grade reading skillsB	
	the training?	Use of additional teaching materials	
		besides textbookC	
	(Multiple response possible)	Classroom managementD	
	(Ask all teacher)	Communicating with parents E	
		Communicating with teachers F	
		Prepare and use lesson plansG	
		Use of continuous assessment	
		chartsH	
		Support to students based on the	
		capacityI	
		Record keeping and follow-up on	
		lessonsJ	
		Use of technological equipmentK	
		Dealing with children with different	
		mother tonguesL	
		Dealing with children with special	
		needsM	
		Making class interactiveN	
		Use of integrated curriculum O	
		Others (Specify)X	
NT32	Do you receive any support from reading	Yes 1	
	motivators?	No 2 ·	→ NT33
NT32a	If 'Yes', what type of support have you	Materials preparationA	
	received?	Activity demonstrationB	
		Classroom observation and	
	(Multiple response possible)	feedbackC	
		Others (Specify)X	
NT33	What kind of support do you expect from	Additional materials preparationA	
	reading motivators?	Activity demonstrationB	
		Classroom observation and	
	(Multiple responses possible)	feedbackC	
		Support to teach early grade	
		reading skillsD	
		Provide support to children who are	
		lagging behindE	
		Support for classroom	
		arrangementF	
		Support for communicating with	
		parentsG	
		Development of sample lessons planH	
		Use of continuous assessment charts I	
		Record keeping and follow-up on	
		lessons	
		Use of technological equipment	
		Dealing with children with different	
		mother tonguesL	
		Dealing with children with special	
		needsM	
		Others (Specify)X	
NT34	Are you satisfied with the support	Yes 1	
	received from reading motivators? (Ask	No2	
	only if NT32 response is 'Yes')		

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Cate	egory	Skip To
NT34a	Why? Give reasons.	1		
		2		
	(Please specify top two reasons)			
NT35	Do you participate in the mobile meeting			► NT36
	regularly?			
NT35a	If 'No', why?	1		
NT36	(Please specify top two reasons) If yes, how do you get benefit from the	2	A	
10130	mobile meetings?		esB	
	mobile meetings:		C	
	(Multiple response possible)	Discuss issues durir		
			giesD	
			X	
NT37	What materials in general do you use to			
	teach early grade reading/ literacy?			
	(Multiple response possible)		onse	
	Materials	Reported Yes1 No2	Observed Yes1 No2	
a.	Textbooks	Tes1 NO2	Tes1 NO2	
	Teacher's Guide			
b.				
С.	Curriculum			
d.	Lesson plan			-
e.	Charts/ Pictures			
f.	Word cards/ Flash cards			
g.	Electronic audio- video materials			
h.	Online materials			
i.	Book corner			
j.	Levelled readers			
k.	Supplementary reading materials			
١.	Locally available materials			
x.	Other (Specify)			
NT38	(If Book Corner mentioned in NT37),	Grade appropriate	hooks A	
NISO	What types of supplementary reading	Story books		
	materials are available in the book corner?	Informative books.		
	(Multiple responses possible) (Observe)	Others (Specify)	X	
NT39	(If Supplementary Reading Materials		exposure to reading	
	mentioned in NT37)		Α	
	What is your perception about the benefit	Improves children's		
	of the supplementary reading materials on the development of literacy and overall	Improves children's	B	
	learning outcomes of the children?	-	C	
		Improves children n		
	(Multiple response possible)	-	D	
		Motivates children t	o stay longer at	
			E	
			entiveness in class . F	
			learning outcomesG	
			X	
NT40	How do you rate the sufficiency of		1	
	available teaching learning materials?		2	

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
NT41	How regularly are these materials used?	Regularly 1	
		Often2	
		Sometimes3	
		Never4	
NT42	Do you encourage other subject teachers	Yes1	
	to use these resources while teaching?	No2	

Module 4: Learning support

Q.N.	Questions /Response		Category		Skip To
NT43	Has the training on EGR instruction or	Yes			
	pedagogy helped you to improve your	No		2 -	→ NT44
	teaching skills? (Don't ask this question if				
	EGR training is not taken)				
NT43a	If yes, how have the trainings contributed		Category		
	to improve teaching methods?	Regularly	Often	Seldom	
a.	Apply enhanced teaching methods				
b.	Started to develop and use additional				
	teaching materials besides textbooks				
с.	Better organization and management of				
	classroom arrangement				
d.	Better communication with parents				
e.	Better communication with students				
f.	Helped prepare and use teaching plans				
g.	Use of continuous assessment chart				
h.	Provide better support based on student				
	learning skills and personalized learning				
i.	Better record keeping and follow-up on				
	lessons				
j.	Better access and use to technological				
	equipment				
k.	Started to provide instructions to children				
	in mother tongue				
١.	Started to make the class more interactive				
m.	Use of integrated curriculum				
х.	Others (Sepcify)				
NT44	What is the allocated time for Nepali			1	
	language class for early grades?			2	
		Others (Spec	cify)		
NT45	Do you prepare a lesson plan for teaching?				
				2	
NT46	What method of teaching do you usually			A	
	apply?			d explanation	
	(Multiple response pessible)			B	
	(Multiple response possible)			C	
		-	tudents to rea	D	
			tudents to rea		
		-		E	
				F	
				G	
				H	
				1	
				X	

Module 5: Student assessment and faci	litation
---------------------------------------	----------

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Categ	ory	Skip To
NT47	How do you assess the students'	Written exams		→ NT50
	performance?	Oral exams		
		Portfolio assessment.	C	-
	(Multiple response possible)	Use of continuous ass	sessment	
		chart	D-	► NT49
		No assessment at all .		
NT48	(If 'Portfolio assessment' is mentioned)			
	Please specify the contents of the portfolio.	Respo	nse	
	Contents	Yes	No	
a.	Class participation			
b.	Regularity			
С.	Homework			
d.	Class tests			
e.	Oral presentations			
f.	Performances			
х.	Other (Specify)			
NT49	How are the findings of portfolio	Support for struggling	g readersA	
	assessment or continuous assessment	Giving extra time to n		
	used?	Group work during cla	-	
	(Multiple responses possible)	Simplifying the text during class		
		roomD		
		Other (Specify)	X	
NT50	What do you do for the low performing	Additional support cla		
	students?	Separate grouping and supportB		
		More attention in the		
	(Multiple responses possible)	Counselling to studen		
		Calling parents for me		
		Other (Specify)		
		No any special suppo	rt Y	
NT51	Do you prepare report cards on students	Yes		
	reading progress and discuss with parents/ students?	No	2-	► NT53
NT52	If 'Yes', in what intervals?	Monthly		
		Quarterly	2	
		Half- annually	3	
		Annually	4	
NT53	What difficulties do children mostly face in	Distance problem	A	
	their learning?	Language barrier		
		Financial barriers		
	(Multiple response possible)	Traditional norms (Ge	-	
		marriage, chaupad		
		household responsibi		
		Lack of required texts		
		Lack of adequate stat		
		Lack of supplementar		
		materials		
		Not conducive class/s		
		environment		
		Lack of family suppor		
		Ineffective teaching m		
		Teachers' behavior/co	•	
		punishment	K	

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
		BullyingL	
		Other (Specify)X	
NT54	Are there any Special Need children in your	Yes 1	
	class?	No2-	→ NT59
NT55	If 'Yes', what type of Special Need children		
	are there?		
	Туре	Number	
a.	Children with hearing deficiency		
b.	Children with visual impairment		
с.	Children with learning disability		
d.	Children with speech impairment		
e.	Children with physical disability		
Х.	Other (Specify)		
NT56	If 'Yes', what strategy of learning facilitation	Keeping them in the front benchesA	
	do you implement to the children with	Using audio- visual aids moreB	
	Special Learning Needs?	Giving assistive devicesC	
		Use of individualized education	
	(Multiple response possible)	plan (IEP)D	
		Others (Specify)X	
		No special facilitation strategy in	
		placeY	
NT57	How are the children with special need	Assessment1	
	(hidden disability) identified?	Others (Specify)	
NT58	Did your school provide any trainings to	Yes 1	
	facilitate the class for special needs children?	No 2	

Module 6: Parental Involvement

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
NT59	What do you do to involve the parents for the improvement in learning outcomes of the children?	By rewarding certificates to the children A By sending letters to parentsB	
	(Multiple response possible)	By telephoning parentsC By arranging parents meetingsD Other (Specify)X Do nothingY	
NT60	Interview End Time:	Hour Minute	

Thank you for your time and cooperation.

Baseline Study of USDA McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme in Nepal WFP/New ERA - 2021

Questionnaire for School Health and Nutrition Focal Teacher

Namaste! My name is...... I am here from New ERA, a research organization based in Kathmandu. Now, we are collecting data about education, school meal programme activities, personal hygiene, school infrastructures, and health and nutrition from 330 schools in 6 districts of Nepal. We will collect these data interviewing with head teacher, Literacy teacher, SHN focal teacher, cook, students, parents, and other concerned local stakeholders.

We are inviting you to participate in this study. During this study, I will ask you questions related to school health and nutrition in this school in relation to the School Meal Programme being implemented by WFP.

We value your opinion, and there are no wrong answers to the questions. We will use approximately 30 minutes of your time. There will be no risk as a result of your participation in the study. Your participation in this research is completely voluntary. You are free to withdraw your consent and discontinue participation in this study at any time. All information gathered will be strictly treated as confidential and will be used only for the study purposes. If you need further information, you can contact Udbodh Rijal (Kalopul, Kathmandu; email: udbodh@newera.com.np; Ph. No. 01-4413603).

Your participation will be highly appreciated. The answers you give will be used for planning school meal related programs and services.

Are you willing to participate in the study? 1 = Yes 2 = No (End interview)

Date: ___/__/2078

Section 1	Section 1: Interview Information				
Q.N.	Questions/Response	Category			
SHT1	Name of the school:				
SHT2	Name of the village:				
SHT3	Province name and number:				
SHT4	District:				
SHT5	Name and code of Rural /Urban				
	Municipality:				
SHT6	Ward no.:				
SHT7	Sampled school EMIS #:				
SHT8	Name and code of the Interviewer:				
SHT9	Name and code of the Supervisor:				
SHT10	Interview start time:	Hour Minute Minute			
SHT11	Date of interview	DD MM YYYY			

Section 2	Section 2: Background information of SHN teacher					
Q.N.	Questions/Response	Category	Skip To			
SHT13	Name of respondent and ID					
SHT14	What is your gender?	Male				
SHT15	Number of years of experience in teaching profession					
SHT16	Number of years of experience as SNH teacher					
SHT17	Have you received any training on SHN provided by WFP and partner organization?	Yes 1 No 2–	—►SHT19			

Q.No.	Question	Responses			Skip to
SHT18	What major topics were covered				
	during the training related to SHN?		Yes	No	
		Food preparation safety			
	(Multiple response possible)	Health, hygiene and			
		nutrition			
		Mensuration hygiene			
		Waste management			
		Pest management			
		Communication for			
		behaviour change			
		Not included those			
		topics			
		Other (Specify)			

Module	Module 3: SCHOOL WASH INFRASTUCTURE AND HYGIENE				
Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To		
SHT19	What is the main source of DRINKING	Piped water1			
	water in this school?	Tube well2			
		Protected dug well3			
		Cart with small tank/drum4			
		Tanker truck5			
		Bottled/jar water6			
		Children carry water from home7			
		Spring water8			
		Other (specify)96			
SHT20	Is there a provision of purifying water	Yes1			
	before drinking in school?	No2-	→SHT22		
SHT21	What are the methods school have	Let it stand and settle/sedimentation A			
	been using to purify water before	Strain it through cloth B			
	drinking?	Boil itC			
		Add bleach/chlorineD			
	(Multiple answers possible. Probe,	Use a water filterE			
	but don't read possible answers)	Solar disinfection (Sodis method)F			
		Warm itG			
		Other (Specify)X			
SHT22	Is there a provision of dustbins, in each	of the following places, in school?			
	A. Classrooms	Yes1			
		No2			
	B. Toilets	Yes1			
		No2			
	C. Kitchen	Yes1			
		No2			
	D. Teachers/staff room	Yes1			
		No2			
	E. Playing ground/School premises	Yes1			
		No2			

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
SHT23	Do school staff and students use the o	dustbins to dispose waste? (Ask only if 1 in	
	either SHT22A or SHT22B or SHT22C).		
	A. School staff and teachers	Yes1	
		No2	
	B. Students	Yes1	
		No2	
SHT24	Does school have separate dustbins to	dispose waste for different types of waste?	
	A. (Ask only if 1 in SHT22A)	Yes	
	Classrooms	No2	
	B. (Ask only if 1 in SHT22B) Toilets	Yes1	
		No2	
	C. (Ask only if 1 in SHT22C) Kitchen	Yes1	
		No	
SHT25	What type of toilets does the school	Flush to piped sewer systemA	
511125	have?	Flush to septic tank	
	Have:	Flush to pit latrineC	
	(Observe and record)	Flush to somewhere elseD	
	(Multiple answer possible)	Flush, don't know where E	
		Ventilated improved pit latrine F	
		Pit latrine with slabG	
		Pit latrine without slab/Open pit H	
		Composting toilet/Eco-san I	
		Bio-gas toiletJ	
		No facility at school K	
CLUTO C		Other (Specify) X	
SHT26	During school hours, where do	Toilet in school only1	
	children typically go for relieving	Toilet at home	
	themselves?	Open fields/grounds	
		Both toilet and fields4	
SHT27			
	A. Does the school have separate	Yes1	
	toilet for boys?	No2	
	B. Does the school have separate	Yes1	
	toilet for girls?	No2	
	C. Does the school have common	Yes1	
	toilet for both boys and girls?	No2	
	D. Does the school have separate	Yes1	
	toilet for teachers/staff?	No2	
	E. Does the school have toilet for	Yes1	
	people with disability?	No2	
SHT28	Do the toilets have regular supply of	Yes, in all toilets1	
	water?	Yes, in some of them 2	
		No3	
SHT29	Does the school have handwashing	Yes1	
	facility?	No2	
	(Reported only)		
SHT30	What do the students mainly use to	Water only1	
	wash hand?	Both soap and water2	
		Other things3	
		Do not wash hands4	

Q.N.	Questions /Respons	e	Category				Skip To
SHT31	Is there availability of water a	ind soap	Yes, water only1				
	in the handwashing facility?		Yes, both water and soap2			2	
	(Observe and record)		None			3	
SHT32	What changes have you obse	rved in	Less male st	udent dropo	outs	A	
	school dropouts and absente	eism	Less male s	student abs	senteeism	due to	
	since the implementation of	WASH	sickness			B	
	program?		Less girl st	tudent abse	enteeism	due to	
			sickness			C	
	(Multiple response possible	e)	Less girl stud	dent dropou	ts	D	
			WASH progr	am is not im	plemente	d E	
			Other (Speci	fy)		X	
			No change			E	
SHT33	On a scale of 1-5, where 1						
	is least satisfied and 5 is			Neither			
	most satisfied, what is your			agree			
	perception of the school	Strongly		nor-		Strongly	
	toilets in terms of:	Disagree	Disagree	disagree	Agree	Agree	
a.	Availability: can use when						
	necessary without having	1	2	3	4	5	
	to wait						
b.	Accessibility: easy to reach,	1	2	3	4	5	
	easy to use	I	2	5	4	5	
с.	Cleanliness: facility is kept	1	2	3	4	5	
	clean	1	2	5	4	J	
d.	Adequacy of water: there						
	is adequate water in the	1	2	3	4	5	
	toilet						
e.	Safety: door can be latched,	1	2	3	4	5	
	adequate lighting	I	۷	5	4	J	

Module	Module 4: Health and Menstrual Hygiene						
Q.N.	Questions /Response		Category				Skip To
SHT34	Were there any of the following		Hea	Ith screening	Yes	No	
	health screening program		1	Height measurement			
	conducted in school during last		2	Weight measurement			
	academic year?		3	Vision test			
			4	Hearing test			
			5	Dental hygiene			
			96	Others(specify)			
SHT35	Were the children given deworming	`	Yes, twice a year1				
	tablets twice in the last year to	`	Yes, k	out only once a year		2	
	prevent from worm infestation?	1	No		•••••	2	
SHT36	Were the adolescent girls given iron	`	íes			1	
	and folic acid supplementation	1	No			2	
	tablet weekly in the last year?						
SHT37	Is there a Health and Nutrition			bservFed			
	Register maintained in the school,			not observed			
	and can you show it to me?	1	No			3	
	(Observe and record)						

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
SHT38	Has the school received first aid tool	Yes, observed1	
	kit boxes from the government?	Yes, not observed2	
	(Observe and record)	No	→ SHT40
SHT39	Does the school refill the free items	Yes1	
	for the first aid kit from any local	No2	
	health facilities?		
SHT40	Have there been any trainings on	Yes1	
	menstrual hygiene for girls	No2	SHT42
	conducted in your school?	Not applicable97	
	(Only for basic schools)		
SHT41	In what ways did these trainings	Fewer girl absenteeism A	
	benefit the girls?	Lesser reported health problemsB	
		Increased practice of using sanitary	
	(Multiple response possible)	padsC	
		Observed changes in community	
		behaviourD Others (Specify)X	
		Not applicableZ	
SHT42	What are the changes you have	Regular use of latrine at homeA	
511142	observed in the hygiene knowledge	Regular use of latrine at school	
	and practices of the students since	Hand washing with soap after using latrine,	
	the implementation of WASH?	before eating foodC	
		Clean drinking water from a safe source	
	(Multiple response possible)	(e.g. tube well, or treated water collected	
		from river/lake)D	
		Maintain a waste disposal system	
		(Water drainage, garbage pits, waste	
		basket/dust bins)E	
		Keep the School building and	
		compounds cleanF	
		Maintaining hygienic environment while	
		eating foodG	
		Use and disposal of sanitary pads by	
		adolescent girls during menstruation H	
		WASH program is not implemented	
		Other (Specify)X	
CUT 42		Don't KnowZ	
SHT43	Are menstrual pads/sanitary	Yes1	
	napkins adequately available at school?	No	
SHT44	Do the students regularly attend	Don't know3 Yes1	
31144	school during menstruation?	Yes1 No2	
SHT45	What facilities are available in the	DustbinA	
511145	school toilet to properly dispose of	Shoot/Burning chamber/IncineratorB	
	sanitary pads?	Other (Specify)X	
	(Multiple response possible)	No more facility/throw haphazardlyZ	
	(mainiple response hossible)		

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
SHT46	What is the provision of disposing	Burn/ IncineratorA	
	sanitary napkin/pad at the school?	Dig a hole and throw and coverB	
		Drop in toiletC	
	(Multiple response possible)	Throw it in dustbin D	
		Throw haphazardly without coveringE	
		Other (Specify)X	
		No more practice of aboveZ	
SHT47	Has this school celebrated national	Yes1	
	sanitation related campaign at the	No2	
	community level?		

Thank you for your valuable time and information.

Baseline Study of USDA McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme in Nepal WFP/New ERA - 2021

Questionnaire for Student Interview

Namaste! My name is...... I am here from New ERA, a research organization based in Kathmandu. Now, we are conducting a baseline Survey of USDA McGovern Dole International FFECN Programme managed by World Food Programme. For this purpose, we are collecting data about education, school meal program activities, personal hygiene, school infrastructures, and health and nutrition from 330 schools in 6 districts of Nepal. We will collect these data interviewing with head teacher, Literacy teacher, SHN focal teacher, cook, students, parents and other concerned local stakeholders.

We are inviting you to participate in this study. During this study, I will ask you questions related to health, hygiene, sanitation facilities, school meal, and study materials etc.

We value your opinion and there are no wrong answers to the questions. We will use approximately 30 minutes of your time. There will be no risk as a result of your participation in the study. Your participation in this research is completely voluntary. You are free to withdraw your consent and discontinue participation in this survey at any time. All information gathered will be strictly treated as confidential and will be used only for the study purposes. If you need further information, you can contact Udbodh Rijal (Kalopul, Kathmandu; email: udbodh@newera.com.np; Ph. No. 01-4413603).

Your participation will be highly appreciated. The answers you give will be used for planning school meal related programs and services.

Are you willing to participate in the study? 1 = Yes 2 = No (End interview)

Date: ___/___/2078

Questions Q. No, Response SC1 Name of School: SC2 Name of Village: SC3 Province Name and Number: SC4 District: SC5 Name and code of Rural /Urban Municipality: SC6 Ward no.: SC7 Sampled school EMIS #: School receiving continuing WFP support1 SC8 Type of School New School2 Basic (ECD- 3)1 Basic (ECD- 5)......2 SC9 Up to which grade the school functions Secondary (ECD-10)4 Secondary (ECD-12)5 SC10 Name and code of the Interviewer: SC11 Name and code of the Supervisor: SC12 Date of Interview DD MM YYYY SC13 Interview Start Time: Hour Minute SC14 UID of selected student

Module 1: Background Characteristics

Module 2: Respondent Characteristics (For grades 4-8)

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
SC15	Code of respondent?		
SC16	What is your gender?	Male1 Female2 Other3 Not willing to express4	
SC17	What is your age?	Completed age	
SC18	Which grade do you study?	Grade	
SC19	What is the name of your guardian? (Record only one name)		

Module 4: School Meal Related Questions

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
SC45a	(Only in SHN districts) Do you usually	Yes1	
	purify your drinking water?	No2	
		Don't know98 -	∫ SC45c
SC45b	(Only in SHN districts)	BoilingA	
		FilteringB	
	If yes, then how do you purify your	Through ChlorinationC	
	drinking water?	Sodis D	
	(Multiple response pessible)	Others (Specify)X	
	(Multiple response possible)	Don't knowZ	
SC45c	Where do you dispose your waste?	Anywhere (no fixed place)1	
		In a waste bin/container2	
		In a pit dug to collect waste/manure pit3	
		Other (Specify)96	
SC46	(For grades 4-8)		
	On an average, how many school days	No. of days	
	in a week do you eat tiffin/ snacks after		
SC47	returning from school? (For grades 4-8)		
3047	On an average, how many school days	No. of days	
	in a week do you eat dinner?		
SC49	(For grades 4-8)	Motivates children to go to school	
	In your opinion, what are the	consistentlyA	
	advantages of School Meal Programme	Motivates children to stay longer	
	for the students?	at schoolB	
		Promote girl child's enrolmentC	
	(Multiple response possible)	Improves children's ability to learn or	
		concentrate once they are in class D	
		Improves learning outcomesE Improves good health and hygiene	
		behavior of school age children	
		Improves awareness about nutrition	
		among school age children G	
		Provides nutritional benefits/ improves	
		nutritional status of school age children	
		Н	
		Saves money of household to provide	
		lunch to school children	
		Improves awareness about the use of	
		locally available fresh foodsJ	
		Other (Specify)X Don't knowZ	
	<u> </u>		

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category					Skip To	
SC50	(For grades 4-8)	Before, during, and after preparing						
	How often or at what times do you wash	foodA Before eatingB After using the toilet (for urination, defecation, menstrual hygieneC						
	your hands?							
	Multiple response, probe but don't read							
	out options.	After he						
		<mark>used the toilet</mark> D						
		After blowing one's nose, or coughing or sneezingE After touching an animal, animal						
							F	
					<mark></mark>			
		After to						→ SC53
SC51	What do you mostly use to wash your		Other (Specify)X Water only1					
	hand?	Both so	-					→ SC53
		Other (S	•					
SC52	(If student does not mention soap),							
	What is the main reason that you don't	There is no soap available1 There is no enough water to rinse the						
	use soap to wash your hand?	soap away2						
		It takes longer time3						
		Not necessary4						
		Other (S	pecify)				96	
SC53	(For all grades 4-8)							
	On a scale of 1-3, how well the students-	Very g			bod		oor	
	maintained personnel hygiene?	(1)			2)		3)	
	1=Very good, 2= Good, and 3=Poor	В	G	В	G	В	G	
	(Observe and record)							
	a. Trimmed nail							
	b. Groomed hair							
	c. Clean teeth							
	d. Clean dress							
	e. Clean shoes/Slipper							
SC54	(For grades 4-8)	Yes1						
	Did you take deworming tablet in the	No2 Don't know				SC26		
	school in the last academic year?							
SC55	If yes, how many times in last academic			times	S			
6656	year?							
SC56	(Only for grade 6-8 girls) Has your	Yes1						
SCET	menstruation started?	No2 > S Yes1			→ SC68			
SC57	If 'yes', are you aware about menstrual							
SC58	hygiene? If 'yes', do you speak about menstrual	No2						
3630	hygiene to anybody?	Yes1 No				→SC60		
SC59	Who do you usually speak to about					-3000		
5635	menstrual hygiene?	Grandmother/Mother/Aunt						
		Relative						

Module 5: WASH/Personal Hygiene/Menstruation Related Questions (Ask SC50-SC71 only in SHN districts-Bajura, Bajhang, Darchula and Achham)

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
		Friend Female4	
		Friend Male	
		SHN Focal Teacher5	
		Head Teacher6	
		Other teachers7	
		Any Healthcare related person	
		Male members of the family	
5550		Other (Specify)	
SC60	What do you mostly use to manage	Nothing1 Commercial/disposable sanitary pad2	
	your blood flow during menstruation?	Old cloths clean	
		Old cloths dirty	
		Reusable/Homemade pad5	
		Other (Specify)96	
SC61	What hygienic practices do you do	Change of menstrual pad every six hoursA	
	during your menstruation period?	Safe disposal of the menstrual padB	
		Hand washing before and after changing	
	(Multiple response, probe but don't	the padsC	
	read out options)	Changing sanitary pad every 6 hours D	
		Others (Specify)X	
SC62	Are menstrual pads/sanitary napkins	Yes1	Ļ
	adequately available at school?	No2	L SC65
		Don't know3	ļ
SC63	If yes, have you ever used sanitary pads	Yes1	→SC65
	from the school during your	No2	
	menstruation?		
SC64	If 'No', why?	Not needed1	
		Feeling uncomfortable to ask for2	
		Others (Specify)96	
SC65	Do you regularly attend school during	Yes1 -	►SC66
	your menstruation period?	No2	
SC65a	If 'No', why?	Religious belief1	
		Ill health2	
		Embarresment/shyness	
		School environment not conducive to	
		changing sanitary pads4	
		School does not have appropriate	
		toilet5	
		Other (specify)96	
SC66	Does the toilet have regular supply of	Yes1	
	water?	No2	
SC67	Did you take biannual weekly iron and	Yes 1	
	folic acid supplementation tablet in the	No2	→SC68
	school?		
SC67a	If yes, then how many tablets did you		
	take?	Tablet number	

Q.N.	Question /Response	Category	Skip To
SC68	Do you get First Aid in school when	Yes1	
	required?	No2	
		Don't know98	
SC70	Does school measure your height and	Yes1	
	weight	No2	
SC71	Do you have your eyes, ears, teeth,	Yes1	
	heart, etc. checked at school?	No2	

Module 6: School Health Facilities (For grades 4-8)

Module 7: Community Feedback Mechanism (For grades 4-5)

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To		
SC72	When you have any queries or have any	Namaste WFP toll Free numbersA			
	feedbacks on school meals, how would	Report to Child ClubB			
	you like to share it to WFP?	Report to teacher/sC			
		Report to Reading Motivator D			
	(Multiple response possible) WFP/ CP staff SMC/FMC				
		School Principal/teacher G			
		Parents H			
		Others (Specify)X			
		Don't knowY			
SC73	How would you like to receive	SMC/FMC 1			
	information on WFP related activities?	Teacher/principal2			
		Child club3			
		Reading motivator4			
		WFP staff5			
		WFP partner staff (NGO)6			
		Radio7			
		Printed materials8			
		Parents9			
		Others (Specify)			
		Don't know98			
SC74	SC74 (Only for class 4-8 students) Yes				
	Does your school have comments/	No2			
	suggestion box?	Don't know			
SC75	Interview End Time:	Hour Minute			

Thank you for your valuable time and information.

Baseline Study of USDA McGovern Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme-2021 WFP/New ERA

Questionnaire for Parent Survey Informed Consent

Namaste! My name is...... I am here from New ERA, a research organization based in Kathmandu. Now, we are conducting a baseline Survey of USDA McGovern Dole International FFECN Programme managed by World Food Programme. For this purpose, we are collecting data about education, school meal program activities, personal hygiene, school infrastructures, and health and nutrition from 330 schools in 6 districts of Nepal. We will collect these data interviewing with head teacher, Literacy teacher, SHN focal teacher, cook, students, parents and other concerned local stakeholders.

We are inviting you to participate in this survey. During this study, I will ask you questions related to you and your household's background characteristics, your child's study activities, and the mid-day meal that your child is receiving at school as a beneficiary of School Meal Programme.

We value your opinion and there are no wrong answers to the questions. We will use approximately 30 minutes of your time. There will be no risk as a result of your participation in the survey. Your participation in this survey is completely voluntary. You are free to withdraw your consent and discontinue participation in this survey at any time. All information gathered will be strictly treated as confidential and will be used only for the study purposes. If you need further information, you can contact Udbodh Rijal (Kalopul, Kathmandu; email: udbodh@newera.com.np; Ph. No. 01-4413603).

Your participation will be highly appreciated. The answers you give will be used for planning food for education and child nutrition program and services.

Are you willing to participate in the survey? 1 = Yes 2 = No (End interview)

Date: ___/__/2078

Q. No	Question	
PT1	Name of School:	
PT2	Type of school	WFP continuing programme school1 New school2
PT3	Sampled school EMIS #:	
PT4	Province Name and Number:	6 7
PT5	District:	
PT6	Name and code of Rural /Urban Municipality:	
PT7	Ward no.:	Ward no
PT8	Name and code of the Interviewer:	
PT9	Name and code of the Supervisor:	
PT10	Interview Start Time:	Hour Minute

Module 1: Background Characteristics of Survey Area

Module 2: Household's General Information

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
PT11	Code of reference child	Code	
PT11a	Name of reference child		
PT12	Grade of reference child	Code	
PT13	Age of reference child	Age	
PT14	Code of parent	Code	
PT15	Gender of respondent (observe)?	Male1 Female	
PT16	What is your relation to the child?	Father 1 Mother 2 Brother 3 Sister 4 Other (Specify)	
PT17	What is your household size? (Only who are living currently together at home)	Total no. of family members	
PT18	Is there any member of your household that needs help due to long term difficulties or any type of disability?	Yes1 No2	

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To	
PT20				
	members have?	HearingB		
		CommunicatingC		
	(Multiple responses possible)	UnderstandingD		
	(maniple responses possible)	Walking and or climbing stairs E		
		Dressing and or washing F		
		Other (Specify)X		
PT21	What is the ethnicity of household head?	Brahmin/Chhetri1		
		Newar2		
		Other Janjati (excluding Newar)		
		Dalit		
		Muslim5		
		Madhesi6		
		Other (Specify)96		
PT22	How many school-going age children			
l	(Year 5-16 or less) are there in the			
	family?	No. of children		
PT23	Among them, currently, how many			
	children are going to school?	No. of children		
PT24	What is your formal education?	No formal schooling0		
		Grade completed1-10		
		SEE/ SLC		
		Intermediate/ +212		
		Bachelor13		
		Master or above14		
PT25	(Skip if the respondent is child's	No formal schooling0		
	mother)	Grade completed1-10		
	What is the child's mother's formal	SEE/ SLC 11		
	education?	Intermediate/ +212		
		Bachelor		
		Master or above14		
		Other (Specify)96		
		Don't know98		
PT26	(Skip if the respondent is child's	No formal schooling0		
	father)	Grade completed1-10		
	What is the child's father's formal	SEE/ SLC 11		
	education?	Intermediate/ +212		
		Bachelor13		
		Master or above14		
		Other (Specify)96		
		Don't know98		
PT27	Which language is mostly spoken at	Nepali1		
	home?	Doteli2		
		Tharu		
		Acchami 4		
		Baitedi5		
		Bajhangi6		
		Bajureli7		
		Darchuleli8		
		Magar/Kham9		
		Other (Specify)96		
		Don't know98		

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Cat	egory	Skip To
PT28	What is the main source of income of	Agriculture/Livesto		
	your family?			
		-	2	
			usiness5	
			6	
			vance7	
		Traditional occupat	ion8	
PT29	What is the secondary source of	Agriculture/Livesto		
	income of your family?			
		-	2	
		Migrant labour	4	
			usiness5	
		Retired/pension	6	
		Social security allov	vance7	
		-	ion8	
			ce9	
		-	96	
PT30	Do you produce staple crops like rice,			
	wheat, corn, millet etc. for your family?			→ PT32
PT31	If 'Yes', how many months of the year do			
	your produces fulfill your family's need?	About 10 months		
		About 8 months		
		About 6 months		
		Less than 6 months5		
PT32	Including your household's farm and			
	off-farm incomes and without selling of	About 10 months		
	fixed assets and borrowing, how many	About 8 months		
	months of the year do your resources	About 6 months		
	fulfill your family's basic need?		55	
PT33	How long does it take (by walking) for		7	
	your child to reach school from home?	Minute		
PT34	How far is the nearest health post/			
DTOF	hospital from home?	Minute		
PT35	How far is the nearest marketplace from home?	Minute		
PT36	Do you have at home?	Yes		
F150	(Ask one by one)	Tes	Νο	
a.	Electricity	1	2	
а. b.	Television	1	2	
<u>р.</u> С.	Radio	1	2	
d.	Computer/ laptop/Tablet	1	2	
e.	Internet	1	2	
g.	Supplementary reading materials	1	2	
<u>b.</u> h.	Telephone/mobile (Android/Smart)	1	2	
i.	Toilet	1	2	
i.	A separate room/spot for child to study	1	2	
k.	Vehicle (Motorbike/Car/Jeep etc.)	1	2	
Ι.	Solar light	1	2	
Module 3: Dietary Diversity

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
PT37	Was yesterday a special day, like a celebration or feast day or a fast day where you ate special foods or more or less than usual or did not eat because of	Yes 1 No 2 -	→SC39
PT38	fasting? Was the day before yesterday a special day, like a celebration or feast day or a fast day where you ate special foods or more or less than usual or did not eat because of fasting?	Yes	

Direction: If "yes" in PT38, then ask about yesterday in PT39. If "no" in PT38, then ask about day before yesterday in PT39.

Enumerator Instructions: Now I would like you to **describe everything (meals and snacks) that your child ate or drank yesterday during the day and night, whether are home or outside the home**. Please include all foods and drinks, any snacks or small meals, as well as any main meals. Start with the first food or drink of the morning. Write down all foods and drinks mentioned. When composite dishes (like porridge, sauce or stew) are mentioned, ask for the list of ingredients. When the respondent has finished, please probe for meals and snacks not mentioned.

PT	39	Did your child eat following food items yesterday (or the day before if yesterday was unusual)? (Ask one by one)			
Food Crean				Response	
FO	od Group	Examples	1=Yes	2=No	
1.	Grains, White Roots and Tubers	Rice, wheat, maize, sorghum, millet, barley, potato, cassava. Roti, bread	1	2	
2.	Pulses	Beans, peas, lentils (daal), soy products, chickpeas.	1	2	
3.	Nuts and Seeds	Peanuts, tree nuts (ex. almonds, walnuts), pumpkin seeds, sesame seeds, ground nuts, sunflower seeds	1	2	
4.	Dairy Products	Milk, Cheese, Yogurt or other milk products (does not include butter, ghee, ice cream)	1	2	
5.	Meat, Poultry and Fish	Goat, buff, chicken, pigeon, pork, duck, dried or fresh fish	1	2	
6.	Eggs	Eggs from Chicken, Duck, or any other bird	1	2	
7.	Dark Green Leafy Vegetables	Including wild forms (ex. nettle/shishnu) + locally available vitamin A rich leaves such as spinach, pumpkin leaves, kale, chinese cabbage	1	2	
8.	Other Vitamin Rich Fruits and Vegetables	Pumpkin, Carrot, Squash, or Sweet Potato, persimmon, ripe mango or papaya that are orange inside + other locally available vitamin A rich vegetables and fruits	1	2	
9.	Other Vegetables	Other vegetables (e.g. Tomato, Onion, Eggplant, Green Beans, Cauliflower, Okra)	1	2	
10	. Other Fruits	Other fruits, including wild fruits and 100% fruit juice made from these	1	2	
11.	. Small Protein Foods	Snails (Ghungi), Insect Larvae (Barula, Aringal, Mahuree), Grubs (Khumlikira), Fish Eggs	1	2	
12	. Oils and Fats	Ghee, butter, vegetable oil added to food or used for cooking including oil extracted from nuts	1	2	
13	. Spices, Condiments and Seasoning	Spices (Black Pepper, Salt, cumin), Condiments (Ketchup), flavoring pastes used in small amounts (ginger, garlic, tomato)	1	2	
14	. Other Foods and Beverages	Savory and friend snacks (crisps, samosa, Tea, coffee, alcohol, thin broth or soup, pickles (achar), sugary snacks (ex. biscuits, crisps), candy, fried snacks (ex. samosa)	1	2	

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
PT40	Currently, does your child go to school	Yes1-	▶PT42
	regularly?	No2	
PT41	If 'No', why?	Child's frequent sicknessA	
		Long distance from home to	
	(Multiple response possible)	schoolB	
		Sickness of family membersC	
		Child's involvement in household	
		worksD	
		Child's involvement in agricultural/	
		livestock activitiesE	
		Road blockage due to natural calamities	
		(flooded river on way, road blockage	
		due to landslide) F	
		No study materials availableG	
		School closed due to Covid-19	
		pandemicH	
		Child not interestedI	
		Other (Specify)X	
PT42	How often does the child study at home	Everyday/Regularly (as required)1-	→ PT44
	in recent times?	Few days a week 2	
		Never	
PT43	If 'few days a week' or 'Never', why?	Child's involvement in taking care of	
		siblingsA	
	(Multiple response possible)	Child's frequent sicknessB	
		Sickness of family membersC	
		Child's involvement in household	
		worksD	
		Child's involvement in activities related	
		to farming/ livestockE	
		No study materials available F	
		Child do not have interest on studyG	
		Child gives more interest to watch	
		TV and play games in gadgetsH	
		Child engaged in livelihood activities I	
		No one to guide/help with lessonsJ	
		Other (Specify)X	
PT44	If every day or regularly, on average how	3 hours or more1	
	long does the child study at home in a	1-2 hours2	
	day?	Less than 1 hour 3	
PT45	Does the child have the complete set of	Yes, all books are available1-	→ PT47
	textbooks?	Yes, but only few books are available 2	
		No books are available	
		Don't know98	
PT46	If 'no, why?	School has not distributed the	
	-	textbooks1	
		School distributed only few books 2	
		Obtained books are torn or lost	
		Other (Specify)96	

Module 4: Child Studies Related Questions

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
PT47	Other than textbooks, what reading	Story booksA	
	materials are available for the child at	NewspapersB	
	home?	Flashcards/ChartsC	
		Online learning materialsD	
	(Multiple response possible)	Dictionary E	
		Reference books F	
		No other materials availableG	
		Other (Specify)X	
PT47a	Does the reference child have necessary		➡ PT48
	stationaries?	No2	
PT47b	If no, then what are the reasons?	School doesn't provide1	
		Lack of money2	
		Distributed stationary is torn/	
		_	
		broken or lost3	
		Stationary shop is far away4	
		Don't know5	
PT48	Does anyone at your home guides/ helps	Yes 1	
	child to study or do homework?	No one helps 2 ·	▶ PT50
PT49	If 'Yes', who helps the child to study or do	Father/MotherA ⁻	h
-	homework at home?	Sister/BrotherB	
		RelativesC	5754
	(Multiple response possible)	NeighborsD	≻ PT51
	(Tuition E	
		Other (Specify)	
PT50	If 'No one helps', why?	Child does quite well in studies	
		(does not require help)A	
	(Multiple response possible)	Lack of family members' capacity to	
	(support the childB	
		Too busy to helpC	
		No relatives/neighbors are available	
		to helpD	
		Child not interested	
		It is not our responsibilityF	
		Others (Specify)X	
PT51	(Ask if answer is yes in PT48)	Managing study time for the childA	
	How is the child helped for the studies?	Observing child's study related	
		activitiesB	
	(Multiple response possible)	Managing reading materialsC	
	(indicipie i coponice possible)	Explaining thingsD	
		Arranging tuitionE	
		Checking homework F	
		Help children to complete homework .G	
		Other (Specify)X	
PT52	What types of activities does the child	Helps in household worksA	
52	mostly engage at home?	Takes care of young siblingsB	
		Takes care of cattleC	
	(Multiple response possible)	Helps in the farmD	
		Self-studyE	
		Takes additional tuition classes	
		Engaged in livelihood activitiesG	
		Not involved in any activities	
		Sports	
		•	
		Other (Specify)X	

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
PT53	How satisfied are you with your child's	Highly satisfied1	
	performance in studies?	Somewhat satisfied 2	
		Not satisfied3.	▶PT55
PT54	If 'highly/ partially satisfied', why?	Child is getting good resultsA	
		Attends school regularlyB	
	(Multiple response possible)	Does homework wellC	
		Engages in co-curricular activitiesD	
		Child is overall smartE	
		Other (Specify)X	
PT55	If not satisfied why?	Child is not getting good resultsA	
		Child does not attend school regularly.B	
	(Multiple response possible)	Child does not do homework wellC	
		Child does not engage in co- curricular	
		activitiesD	
		Child is not overall smartE	
		Child is not interested in study F	
		Child spend most of the time in	
		playing/entertainmentG	
		Other (Specify)X	
PT56	Do you talk to the teachers about the	Yes 1	
	child's performance ?	No2-	▶PT58
PT57	If 'Yes', how frequently?	Every month 1 ⁻	ħ
	(Select the closest option)	Every two months2	
		Every three months 3	≻PT59
		On a half-yearly basis4	
		On a yearly basis5	ļ
PT58	If 'No', why?	No timeA	
		Not awareB	
	(Multiple response possible)	Don't think it is importantC	
		Not invitedD	
		Feel shy/not confidentE	
		Do not know what to talk F	
		Teacher do not give timeG	
		Other (Specify)X	
PT59	Do you talk to child about his/her	Yes 1	
	studies?	No2-	→PT61
PT60	lf yes, when	Everyday]
		Weekly2	PT62
		Occasionally	
		At the time of result only	μ
DTCA	If the contract of the D	Never	
PT61	lf 'Never', why?	No timeA	
		Not awareB	
	(Multiple response possible)	Cannot support in studiesC	
		Do not think it is importantD	
		Do not think it is my roleE	
		Other (Specify)X	

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
PT62	Has your child been absent in the school in the last month due to health-related		
	reason/ illnesses?	Days absent	
	(Record the number of days absent. Record zero if no absent)		
PT63	(Ask only if a number greater than 0 is	FeverA	
	recorded in PT62)	DiarrheaB	
	Can you please elaborate the symptoms	InjuryC	
	of the illness of the child	Don't knowD	
PT64	Liss your shild been abcent in the school	Other (Specify)96	
P104	Has your child been absent in the school in the last 30 days due to reasons other	Days	
	than health?	Don't know	
	(Record the number of days absent.		
	Record zero if no absent)		
PT65	On average, how many days in a week	Everyday1	h
	does the child eat morning meal/	3-4 days a week	≻ PT69
	breakfast at home?	1-2 days a week	
		Only occasionally/Never	
PT66	If '1-2 days a week or occasionally/ Never',	There is shortage of food1	
	why?	No one is there to cook meal	
		Other (Specify)96	
PT69	(For all parents) On average, how many	Everyday1	h
	school days in a week does the child eat	3-4 days a week2	PT71
	lunch or mid-day snacks at home (after	1-2 days a week3	
	returning from school)?	Only occasionally/Never4	
PT70	If '1-2 days a week or occasionally/Never',	There is shortage of food1	
	why?	No one is there to cook meal 2	
		Other (Specify)96	
PT71	(For all parents) In your opinion, what	Motivates children to go to school	
	are the advantages of School Meal	consistentlyA	
	Programme for the child?	Motivates children to stay longer	
	(Multiple year and such a but day)	at schoolB	
	(Multiple response, probe but don't	Promotes girl child's enrolmentC	
	read out options)	Improves children's ability to learn or concentrate once they are in class D	
		Improves learning outcomes E	
		Improves good health and hygiene	
		behavior of school age children	
		Improves awareness about nutrition	
		among school age children G	
		Provides nutritional benefits/ improves	
		nutritional status of school age	
		children H	
		Saves money of household to	
		provide lunch to school children l	
		Improves awareness about the	
		use of locally available fresh foodsJ	
		Increases the student admission	
		rateK	
		Increases awareness about the	
		usefulness of textbooks made locallyL	
		Other (Specify)X	
		Don't knowZ	

Module 5: Child Health and School Meal Programme

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
PT73	(Only for parents of grade 4-5 students) Did your child receive any take home ration distributed by WFP/ School since Baisakh 2077 during the pandemic?	Yes	} PT75
PT74	If 'Yes', h ow many times did your child receive take home ration distributed by WFP/ School since Baishakh 2077?	times1 Don't know98	
PT75	(For all parents) Were you informed about alternative school teaching arrangements (like on-line teaching, radio-based teaching, television- based teaching, or community teaching- Tole Sikai) when the school was closed because of COVID-19?	Yes	5
PT76	If yes, did the child participate in alternative school arrangement?	Yes	
PT77	Do you know about Namaste WFP Toll Free number to register any complaint, queries or provide suggestion?	Yes	→ PT80
PT78	If yes, have you/family member ever used /Call Namaste WFP Toll Free number to register any complaint, queries or provide suggestion?	Yes	_ PT80
PT79	If 'Yes', was the issue reported at Namaste WFP solved?	Yes	
PT80	When you have any feedbacks or complaints about school meal, how would you like to voice your feedback or complaint or whom do you feel comfortable to share it with?	Report to SMC/FMC 1 Report to school Principal/teacher 2 Use school complaint/suggestion 3 box 3 Call through WFP toll free hotlines 4 Report to WFP staff 5 Report to cooperating partner staff 6 Report to local government 7 Report to distribution center staff 8 Do not want to share with anyone 9 Other (specify) 96	
PT81	Interview End Time:	Hour Minute	

Module 6: Effects of Covid-19

Thank you for your time and information.

Baseline Study of USDA McGovern Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme-2021 WFP/New ERA

Questionnaire for Farmer Group/Co-operative Survey Informed Consent

Namaste! My name is...... I am here from New ERA, a research organization based in Kathmandu. Now, we are conducting a baseline Survey of USDA McGovern Dole International FFECN Programme managed by World Food Programme. For this purpose, we are collecting data about education, school meal program activities, personal hygiene, school infrastructures, and health and nutrition from 330 schools in 6 districts of Nepal. We will collect these data interviewing with head teacher, Literacy teacher, SHN focal teacher, cook, students, parents and other concerned local stakeholders.

We are inviting you to participate in this study. During this study, I will ask you questions related to your farmer group/cooperative characteristics, activities, prospects including future potentiality of partnership with local government/school/WFP for the school meal program.

We value your opinion and there are no wrong answers to the questions. We will use approximately 30 minutes of your time. There will be no risk as a result of your participation in the study. Your participation in this research is completely voluntary. You are free to withdraw your consent and discontinue participation in this survey at any time. All information gathered will be strictly treated as confidential and will be used only for the study purposes. If you need further information, you can contact Udbodh Rijal (Kalopul, Kathmandu; email: udbodh@newera.com.np; Ph. No. 01-4413603)

Your participation will be highly appreciated. The answers you give will be used for planning school meal related programs and services.

Are you willing to participate in the study? 1 = Yes 2 = No (End interview)

Date: ___/__/2078

viodule	Module 1: Background Characteristics			
Q.No	Question			
FC0	Is this a Farmer's group or Cooperative?	Farmer's group1 Cooperative2		
FC1	Name of Farmer's Group/Cooperative:			
FC2	Province Name and Number:			
FC3	District Name and code:			
FC4	Name and code of Rural /Urban Municipality:			
FC5	Ward no.:			
FC6	Serial No.:			
FC7	Name of Respondent			
FC8	Position of Respondent			
FC9	Caste/Ethnicity of respondent:	Brahmin/Chhetri1Dalit2Newar3Other Janjati (excluding Newar)4Madhesi5Muslim6Other (Specify)96		
FC10	Disability status of the respondent :	Hearing deficiency1Visual impairment2Learning disability3Speech impairment4Physical disability5Multiple disability6Other (Specify)96None97		
FC11	How far is the nearest public school from here? (Ask KM, if less than 1 KM then write down 1 in box)	Kilometer		
FC12	Name and code of the Interviewer:			
FC13	Name and code of the Supervisor:			
	1			

Module 1: Background Characteristics

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
FC14	When was your farmer group / cooperative established?	Year	
FC15	How many members are there in your farmer group/cooperative?	Male	
		Female	
		Total No	
FC15a	How many members are there in your farmer group/cooperative by ethnicity?	Brahmin/Chhetri	
		Newar Other Janajati (excluding	
		Newar)	
		Madhesi	
		Muslim	
		Other (Specify)	
5646		Don't know98	
FC16	Is your farmer group/cooperative	Yes1	5 E C 1 O
FC17	registered?	No2- Palika/Ward office1	FC18
FCT	If yes, where?	Farmer group network	
		District Cooperative Federation Ltd 3	
		District Administration Office	
		Co-operative division Office	
		District Agriculture Office6	
		Other (Specify)96	
FC18	What are the key activities of your farmer	Organize local farmersA	
	group/cooperative?	Encourage and mobilize savings by the	
		membersB	
	(Multiple response possible)	Provide credit/loan services to the	
		membersC	
		Support member farmers to grow	
		diverse crops and vegetables D	
		Engage members in income generation activitiesE	
		Provide seeds/agri-equipment to	
		the membersF	
		Participate in home grown school	
		feeding program G	
		Support in local development activities H	
		Capacity development of women farmer	
		members on leadership and technical	
		skillsI	
		Other (Specify)X	

Module 2: Questions Related to Establishment of Farmer Group/Cooperative

Q.N.	Questions /Response		Categor	у	Skip To
FC19	Please tell me the total capital of the	Rs			
	FG/Coop based on last audit	Don't k			
FC20	What is the figure of last year's turnover?	Rs			
		Don't k	now		
FC21	Does your farmer group/ cooperative have	Yes		1	
	own building?	No		2	
FC22	Does your farmer group/ cooperative have fo	llowing	Yes	No	
	physical assets? (Ask one by one)				
	a) Furniture				
	b) Computer/laptop				
	c) Tent/cooking utensils				
	d) Meeting/training hall				
	e) Store room/storage facility				
	f) Child care space				
	g) Drinking water facilities				
	h) Wash room /toilets				
	i) Farming tools				
	j) Pests and manure				
	k) Seeds and seedling				
	l) Other (Specify)				
FC23	How many schools are there in the		•		
	catchment area of the farmer		schools		
	group/cooperative?	Don't k	(now		

Module 3: Functions of Farmer Group/Cooperative

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
FC24	Does your farmer group/ cooperative have	Yes 1	
	executive committee?	No2-	→FC31
FC25	How many members are in the executive		
	committee?	Total no. of members	
FC26	Among them, how many are male and		
	females in the committee?	Male	
		Female	
FC27	How may female members are there in		
1027	leadership position (chair, co-chair,		
	secretary and treasurer)	Total no. of Female leadership	
FC29	Does your farmer group/ cooperative hold	Yes	
1 625	executive committee meeting regularly?	No	
FC30	How often do your farmer group/	Fortnightly1	
	cooperative hold executive committee	Monthly	
	meetings?	Bio-monthly	
		Other (Specify)96	

Module 4: Benefitting activities

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
FC31	How many members are currently		
	benefited from the farmer group/	No. of loan holders	
	cooperative as loan holders?	Don't know98	
FC32	No. of loan holders by gender?		
		Male loan holders	
		Female loan holders	
		Don't know98	
FC33	What kinds of IGAs are being carried out by	Cereal crop farmingA	
	the loan holders?	Pulse/legumes farmingB	
		Vegetable farmingC	
	(Multiple response possible)	Mustard/Oil seed farming D Fruit production E	
		Cow/Goat/Sheep raising F	
		Poultry farming G	
		Fishery	
		Seed productionI	
		Seeding production and Nursery	
		establishmentJ	
		Being used for non-agriculture	
		purposeK	
		Other (Specify)X	
		No IGAs are being carried out/loan used	
		for other purposesY	
FC34	Do you have members who are involved in	Yes 1	
	IGAs as a group (such as in farming, grocery, etc.)?	No2-	→FC36
	What sorts of IGAs are these group/s	Vegetable farmingA	
FC35	involved in?	Poultry farmingB	
		Goat raisingC	
	(Multiple answer possible)	Grocery shop D	
		Nursery establishmentE	
		Other (Specify)X	
FC36	Where do you/members sell the products?	Sell to membersA	h
		Sell in local marketB	
	(Multiple response possible)	Sell to vendorC	_ FC39
		Sell in district HQ D	
		Sell in outside district E	ļ
		Sell to the co-operativeF	
		Sell to schools G	
		Don't sell	FC39
FC37	If you/members sell product to the schools,	Other (Specify)X	μ
rC3/	what product do you usually sell?		
FC20			
FC38	If you sell product to the schools, what was	Amount (RS):	
	your total sales in the last month?	Don't know98	

Module 5: Training Exposures

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
FC39	Has any member of your farmer group/	Yes1	
	cooperative received training/s in the last 12	No2	FC42A
	months?	Don't know98	\int

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
FC40	What were the training/s that were	Climate-resilient improved	
	received?	technologies/varietiesA	
		Crop diversityB	
		Cereals, legumes, pulses farmingC	
	(Multiple response possible)	Seasonal/off season vegetable farmingD	
		Fruits farmingE	
		Integrated Pest managementF	
		Trico-compositing G	
		Micro-irrigation technology H	
		Land managementI	
		Improving the quality of farm	
		productsJ	
		Standardising the farm productsK	
		Food safetyL	
		Food procurementM	
		Store handlingN	
		Improved linkages to input	
		suppliers O	
		Communication & FacilitationP	
		Social mobilizationQ	
		LeadershipR	
		Gender equality and social inclusion	
		S	
		Record keepingT	
		Other (specify) X	
FC41	Are the knowledge and skills imparted	Yes1	
	through the training helpful for the	No2	
	members other farmer members to		
	improve produces from the farm ?		
FC42	Are the knowledge and skills imparted	Yes1	
	through the training helpful for the	No2	
	members to improve market linkages?		
FC42A	Have any farmer members of this	Crop genetics (use of improved	
	institution applied following improved	seed varities)A	
	agriculture technologies?	Cultural practices (mulching, staking,	
	(Multiple response possible, Read the	improved nusery practices, line	
	options one by one)	sowing, weeding)B	
		Disease and pest managment:	
		(integrated pest management	
		practices- jhol mal, traps, bio-	
		pesticides)C	
		Soil conservation and fertilizer	
		management: use of compost/	
		manure, use of lime, use of organic	
		fertilizer, inter cropping of pulses and	
		legumesD	
		Climate smart technology (Drought-	
		tolerant varieties, plastic house/	
		plastic high tunnel, plastic tunnel) E	
		Water management and water	
		technology (drip irrigation, sprinkler	

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
		irrigation, plastic pond/recharge pond,	
		cement pond/thai jar) F	
		Practice of input purchase (seeds, bio-	
		pesticides, micro-nutrients, sprinkler,	
		dip-irrigation set, hermatic bags) G	
		Marketing, Collection and	
		Distribution Center (Practice of	
		produce sale with market price	
		Information, use of collection center)H	
		Post harvest handling (packing	
		technology, improved transportation,	
		improved handling, use of local made	
		bamboo basket (DOKO), use of	
		hermatic bag)I	
		Record keeping of any activities	
		performed (use of improved varieties,	
		use of bio-pesticides, mulching etc.) J	
		Do nothing/noneY	
FC43	Altogether how many farmer members of	Total no. of farmers	
	this institution have applied above	Don't know	FC46
	improved agriculture technologies?		
FC44	No. of farmer members who applied	Male farmers	
	improved agriculture technologies by		
	gender?	Female farmers	
		Don't know98	
FC45	No. of farmer members who applied		
	improved agriculture technologies by	Brahmin/Chhetri	
	ethnicity?	Dalit	
		Dalit	
		Newar	
		Other Janajati (excluding	
		Newar)	
		Madhesi	
		Muslim	
		Other (Specify)	
		Don't know	

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
FC46	Have you heard about the school feeding programme which is running in your localities?	Yes	
FC47	Has WFP/Palika/school or any organization approached you to talk about the possibility of producing and supplying food items (vegetables, cereals, legumes, fruits etc.) for the school feeding?	Yes	→ FC49
FC48	What topics were discussed during the meeting(s)?	Menu of potential food itemsA Volume of food items (vegetables, cereals, fruits etc.)B	
	(Multiple response possible)	Quality of food itemsC Pricing of food itemsD Storing of food itemsE Procurement/supply chainF Partnership modalityG About potential schools for the possible partnershipH Economic empowerment of women and marginalized groupI Other (specify)X	
FC49	Are you currently collaborating with/ or participating in any school feeding programme in your area? (The collaboration can be directly with school or via Palika)	Yes1 No2-	→ FC55
FC50	If yes, what food commodities do you usually supply?	Vegetables/beansA Pulse/LentilsB Mustard/oil seedsC	
	(Multiple response possible)	Paddy/riceD Wheat E Corn F Barley G Millet H Buckwheat I Egg J Other (Specify)X X Don't knowZ Z	
FC51	How do you determine price of the food items (vegetables, cereals, fruits and others)?	Price similar to local market valueA A bit lesser than local market valueB On the basis of negotiationC	
	(Multiple response possible)	Consulting with local bodies D Based on farmer group/cooperative member suggestion E Other (Specify)X	

Module 6: Current/Future Partnership for School Feeding

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
FC52	What advantages are the members and the	Higher income for the farmersA	
	community getting because of this	Secured income for the farmersB	
	collaboration?	Empowerment of womenC	
		Contribution in employment of	
	(Multiple response possible)	local people D	
		Promotion of local farmingE	
		Better nutrition for the students	
		Nutritional status of the local	
		community improved G	
		Others (Specify)X	
		No benefitsY	
		Don't knowZ	
FC53	What challenges are you facing for the	Problem in contract pricingA	
	success of this collaboration?	Fluctuation of market priceB	
		Uncertain harvestC	
	(Multiple response possible)	Poor quality of produces D	
		Poor storage for perishable goods E	
		Lack of extension programs F	
		Lack of fertilizers G	
		Lack of irrigation facilities H	
		Problem of supply chainI	
		Problem of record keepingJ	
		Socio cultural behaviors and norms	
		against womenK	
		Covid/lockdownL	
		Natural calamities/DisastersM	
		Unable to procure essential food N	
		Others (Specify)X No challengesY	
		Don't knowZ	
FC54	How optimistic are you about the	Very optimistic	→ End of
rCJ4	sustainability of the collaboration?	Somewhat Optimistic	interview
	sustainability of the conaboration:	Not optimistic	interview
		Don't know	
FC55	If you are not currently collaborating	Yes1	
	with any school, are you willing to	No2-	→End of
	collaborate for school feeding in the		Interview
	future?		
FC56	If you wished, would your farmer group/	Yes 1	
	cooperative be able to collaborate for	No2 .	End of
	school meal programme ?		Interview

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
FC57	What makes you think that your farmer	Well organized and reputedA	
	group/ cooperative is able for this?	Availability of storageB	
		Availability of farming toolsC	
	(Multiple response possible)	Availability of seeds and seedlings D	
		Availability of fertilizers/manuresE	
		Irrigation facilities F	
		Fertile land parcels with member	
		farmers G	
		Transportation facilitiesH	
		Can sell food on credit for several	
		monthsI	
		Skilled human resource to manage	
		storeJ	
		Workers skilled in supplying foodK	
		Better farming experience of member	
		farmersL	
		Similar previous experienceM	
		Trained farmersN	
		Motivation among farmers O	
		Group/cooperative led by skilled	
		women farmersP	
		Other (Specify)X	
FC58	What are the food items that you could	Paddy/riceA	
	supply for the school meal programme in	Pulse/LegumesB	
	the future?	Green vegetablesC	
		Other Vegetables (onion, tomato,	
	(Multiple response possible)	radish) D	
		Potato/Root Vegetables/Tuber	
		VegetablesE	
		Sunflower/oil F	
		Wheat G	
		CornH	
		BarleyI	
		MilletJ	
		BuckwheatK	
		Fresh fruitsL	
		Dry fruitsM	
		Milk/Dairy productsN	
		EggO	
FC59	If you collaborate for the acheal fooding	Other (Specify)X Price similar to local market valueA	
FC39	If you collaborate for the school feeding,		
	how would you determine the price of food	A bit lesser than local market valueB	
	items?	On the basis of negotiationC	
	(Multiple response ressible)	Consulting with local bodies D	
	(Multiple response possible)	Based on farmer group/cooperative	
		member suggestionE	
FC60	How would you opsure the quality of	Other (Specify)X	
r00	How would you ensure the quality of foods?	Well packingA	
	10005?	Fully weighingB	
	(Multiple response pessible)	Stick label and expiry dateC	
	(Multiple response possible)	Well storing D	
		Supplying fresh and organic crops/	
		vegetablesE	
	1	Other (Specify)X	

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
FC61	What advantages do you think you and the	Higher income for the farmersA	
	community will get because of the	Secured income for the farmersB	
	collaboration?	Empowerment of womenC	
		Contribution in employment of local	
	(Multiple response possible)	people D	
		Promotion of local farmingE	
		Better nutrition for the students F	
		Community's nutrition improved G	
		Others (Specify)X	
		No benefitsY	
		Don't knowZ	
FC62	If you collaborate for the school feeding in	Problem in contract pricingA	
	the future, what challenges do you foresee	Fluctuation of market priceB	
	that may affect your success?	Uncertain harvestC	
		Poor quality of produces D	
		Poor storage for perishable goods E	
	(Multiple response possible)	Lack of extension programs F	
		Lack of fertilizers G	
		Lack of irrigation facilities H	
		Problem of supply chainI	
		Problem of record keepingJ	
		Socio cultural behaviors and norms	
		against womenK	
		Covid/lockdownL	
		Natural calamities/DisastersM	
		Others (Specify)X	
		No challengesY	
		Don't knowZ	
FC63	Does your farmer group/ cooperative have	Yes1	
	an adequate human resource to manage	No2	
	store and deliver foods to the schools?		
FC64	Does your farmer group/ cooperative have	Yes1	
	transporatation facility?	No2	
FC65	Can your farmer group/ cooperative	Yes1	
	provide food commodities in credit to the	No2	
	schools?		
FC66	For you to be able to collaborate for the	Improved agricultural extension	
	school feeding, what sorts of supports	programsA	
	from Palika/WFP/ Programme could be	Enhanced food processing know-	
	useful?	howB	
		Supply chain know-howC	
	(Multiple response possible)		
	(
		Planning and organizing trainings H	
		Other (Specify)X	
	(Multiple response possible)	Familiar with collaborative approachD Ensure economic opportunities to small-landholder farmersE Ensure economic opportunities to women led farmer groups/ cooperativesF Linkage establish with local governing bodiesG	

Thank you for your valuable time and Information.

Baseline Study of USDA McGovern Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme-2021 WFP/New ERA

Questionnaire for Cook Survey Informed Consent

Namaste! My name is...... I am here from New ERA, a research organization based in Kathmandu. Now, we are conducting a baseline Survey of USDA McGovern Dole International FFECN Programme managed by World Food Programme. For this purpose, we are collecting data about education, school meal program activities, personal hygiene, school infrastructures, and health and nutrition from 330 schools in 6 districts of Nepal. We will collect these data interviewing with head teacher, Literacy teacher, SHN focal teacher, cook, students, parents and other concerned local stakeholders.

We are inviting you to participate in this study. During this study, I will ask you questions related to school meal program and your jobs such as cooking and distributing mid-day meal and related activities.

We value your opinion and there are no wrong answers to the questions. We will use approximately 30 minutes of your time. There will be no risk as a result of your participation in the study. Your participation in this research is completely voluntary. You are free to withdraw your consent and discontinue participation in this survey at any time. All information gathered will be strictly treated as confidential and will be used only for the study purposes. If you need further information, you can contact Udbodh Rijal (Kalopul, Kathmandu; email: udbodh@newera.com.np; Ph. No. 01-4413603)

Your participation will be highly appreciated. The answers you give will be used for planning school meal related programs and services.

Are you willing to participate in the study? 1 = Yes

2 = No (End interview)

Date: ___/___/2078

Module 1: Background Characteristics

Q.No	Question	Response
CS1	Name of School and EMIS no.	
CS2	Province Name and Number:	
CS3	Name and Code of District:	
CS4	Name and code of Rural / Urban Municipality:	
CS5	Ward no.:	
CS6	Name of the village	
CS7	Type of School	School receiving continuing WFP Support 1 New School
CS8	Name and code of the Interviewer:	
CS9	Name and code of the Supervisor:	
CS10	Interview start time:	Hour Minute Minute

Module 2: Background Information of Respondent

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category
CS11	Disability status of the respondent:	Hearing deficiency1
		Visual impairment2
		Learning disability3
		Speech impairment4
		Physical disability5
		Multiple disability6
		Other (Specify)
		None97
CS12	Sex of the respondent:	Male1
		Female2
		Other 3
CS13	Age of the respondent	15-19 year 1
		20-24 year 2
	(Choose the right age group code)	25-29 year 3
		30-34 year 4
		35-39 year 5
		40-44 year 6
		45-49 year 7
		50-54 year 8
		55-59 year 9
		60 Above 10

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category
CS14	Caste/ethnicity of the respondent:	Brahmin/Chhetri1
		Dalit 2
		Newar
		Other Janjati (excluding Newar)
		Madhesi 5
		Muslim 6
		Other (Specify)
CS15	Number of years working as a cook in the school	
	(Write '0' if less than 1 year)	Complete year

Module 3: Training Exposure

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
CS16	Have you received any training related to cooking?	Yes1 No2 -	→ CS21
CS17	How many times have you received training?	No. of times	
CS18	What all topics were discussed during the training? (Multiple response possible)	Commodity managementA Record keepingB Storage type and utilizationC Health and hygieneD Food preparation and items requiredE Checking food items before cookingF Measuring food before cookingG Ensuring personal health and hygieneH Ensuring cleanliness of food commodities before cookingI Checking of cooked foodI Prevention of nutrient lossK Storage equipmentL Other (Specify)X	
CS19	In your opinion, was the training useful?	Yes1 - No2	→ CS21
CS20	If not, why? (Write up to two major reasons)	1. 2.	

Module 4: Kitchen Safety and Availability of Equipment/Resources (Observe)

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
CS21	Does your school have a separate kitchen?	Yes1-	→ CS23
	(Observe)	No2	
CS22	lf no separate kitchen, where do you	Inside school premise1	
	prepare food?	Outside school premise2	
		At own home3	
		Other (Specify)96	
CS23	Is the kitchen/place where you cook food	Very clean1	
	clean?	Satisfactory2	
		Not clean3	
	(Observe)	Not applicable97	

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Cate	gory	Skip To
CS24	Does the kitchen/place where meal is cooked have following amenities? (Ask if CS21=1)	Yes	No	
	a) Window			
	b) Chimneys			
	c) Improved cooking stove/ Cylinder coking stove and Heater			
CS25	Is the place where students eat food clean?	Very clean		
	(Observe)	Satisfactory	2	
		Not clean	3	
		No separate place f	or students to eat. 4	

Module 5: Personal Hygiene of the Cook (Observe)

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
CS26	Clothes worn by the cook are clean	Yes1	
		No2	
CS27	Well-trimmed nails	Yes1	
		No2	
CS28	Well groomed beard (Only for male cook)	Yes1	
		No2	
		Not applicable97	
CS29	Well groomed hair	Yes1	
		No2	
CS30	Washes his/her hand with soap and water	Yes1	
	as appropriate	No2	
		Not applicable97	

Module 6: Knowledge/Practice of Cook Related to Hygiene, Cooking, Storing and Distribution of Food

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
CS31	What are the occasions you wash your	Before handling food and often during	
	hand?	food preparationA	
		After using the latrineB	
	(Multiple response possible)	After finishing food preparation.C	
		After storing foodsD	
		After serving foodE	
		Don't wash hands with soapF	
		Other (Specify)X	
CS32	When do you wash the utensils (cooking	Before food preparationA	
	pot, lids, scoops, knives, plates etc.) with	After food preparationB	
	clean water and soap?	Before serving food C	
		After eating foodD	
	(Multiple response possible)	At the end of the dayE	
		Not using water and soapF	
		Other (Specify)X	
CS33	Generally when do you clean the kitchen?	Before food preparationA	
		After food preparationB	
	(Multiple response possible)	At the morning C	
		At the end of the dayD	
		At the end of the weekE	
		Other (Specify)X	

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
CS34	How do you get the number of students	Doing a manual headcount of the	
	(who eat meal) present on the day?	students during class A	
		Checking the attendance register for	
	(Multiple response possible)	the day B	
		Confirming with the Head teacher or	
		the teacher in chargeC	
		Do not count D	
		Other (Specify)X	
CS35	How do you measure the quantity of	Using standard measuring	
	various food items (rice, lentil, oil, spices)	weights/containers1	
	on the basis of student head count?	Use roughly estimated	
		measurements2	
		Other (Specify)96	
CS36	What do you check for, in the food item, to	Expiry date A	
	determine if it is fit for cooking or not?	PackagingB	
		Color of the food C	
	(Multiple response possible)	Presence of pests D	
		Color of the packageE	
		Do not checkF	
		Other (Specify)X	
CS37	Do you clean the food items before	Always1	
	cooking?	Clean depending on the food items2	
		Occasionally3	
		Do not clean4	
CS38	How do you ensure that food is clean	Rinse it in water and cook A	
	before cooking?	Remove unwanted food matters then	
		cookB	
	(Multiple response possible)	Remove foreign matters and then	
		wash it with clean water thoroughly	
		before cookingC	
		Use clean containers to collect	
		it from the storeD	
6620		Other (Specify)X	
CS39	Do you check the food after cooking?	Yes1	
66.40		No2 -	→ CS41
CS40	How do you check the food after cooking?	Taste the food	
	(Can also be observed)	Look for the presence of foreign	
	(Multiple response pessible)	particlesB Smell the foodC	
	(Multiple response possible)		
CS41	How do you store cooked food prior to	Other (Specify)X Store cooked food in covered cooking	
C341	How do you store cooked food prior to serving the students?	pots in a clean, safe place before	
	Serving the students:	serving1	
	(Observe)	Store cooked food in open containers	
		inside the kitchen	
		Store cooked food outside the kitchen	
		without covers	
		Other	
CS42	Are the food hot/warm when the students	Yes1	
0.12	get them?	No2	
	(Observe)	Not applicable	
	x		

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
CS43 CS43	On what basis do you serve the cooked food to the students? Are there any measures in place to prevent	Equal distribution of food for all students1 Different quantities according to grade of the students2 Different quantities according to gender of the students3 Different quantities according to the age/need of the students4 Based on experience5 Other (Specify)96 Yes1	
	food from contamination from pests and rodents?	No2-	→CS46
CS45	What are the measures taken? (Observe and record up to three measures)	Measure 1 Measure 2 Measure 3	
CS46	How do you ensure the proper food storage and safety? (Multiple response possible)	Proper lock system A No more water spillage B Proper ventilation C Food stacked using palates D	
	(Food placed in dry, high places to avoid soggy/humidityE Other (Specify)X	
CS47	Do you ensure prevention of nutrient loss of fortified food?	Yes1 No2 -	→ CS49
CS48	How do you ensure the nutrient loss of fortified food? 1. For rice: 2. For salt: 3. For oil:	1 2 3	
CS49	Do students wash their hands before eating the meal? (Observe)	Yes (all/mostly do)1 No (all/mostly don't do)2 Don't know98	
CS50	How do they wash your hands most of the time?	Only with water1Water with soap2Water with mud3Water with Ash4Never5Other (Specify)96	
CS51	Is there any wastage of food in your school?	Yes1 No2 Don't know98	
CS52	Does your school have FMC (Food Management Committee)/SMP (School Management Committee)?	Yes1 No2 -	→ CS55
CS53	Do they provide feedback to You?	Yes1 No2 -	→ CS55

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
CS54	What are the feedbacks they provide to you?	Kitchen cleanlinessA	
		Preparation and distribution of	
	(Multiple response possible)	food on timeB	
		Storing food items properlyC	
		Waste food managementD	
		Cleanliness of kitchen utensilsE	
		Proper counting of students	
		numberF	
		Other (Specify)X	
CS55	What are the common problems you	Not getting foods on time due to bad	
	encounter while fulfilling your	weather A	
	role and responsibilities?	Not getting food due to lockdown B	
		Lack of proper kitchenC	
	(Multiple response possible)	Lack of cooking amenitiesD	
		Lack of storeroomE	
		No budget for store room	
		maintenanceF	
		No incentives/Not getting salary on	
		timeG	
		Overburdened -Too many	
		responsibilitiesH	
		Discriminatory treatment by students	
		and staff (only for Dalits)I	
		Other (Specify)X	
CS56	When you have any feedbacks or	SMC/ FMC1	
	complaints about your work or school	School Principal2	
	meal, whom do you share it with?	School Complaint/suggestion box3	
		WFP toll free hotlines4	
		WFP staff5	
		Implementing partners6	
		Distribution center staff7	
		I don't share it with anyone8	
		Other (Specify)	
CS57	How would you like to voice your	SMC/ FMC1	
	feedbacks, queries or suggestions about	School Principal2	
	school meal?	School Complaint/suggestion box3	
		WFP toll free hotlines4	
		WFP staff5	
		Implementing partners6	
		Distribution center staff7	
		Other (Specify)	
CS58	Interview End time	Hour Minute Minute	

Thank you for your valuable time and Information.

Baseline Study of USDA McGovern Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme-2021 WFP/New ERA

Questionnaire for Food for Education Staff/Store-keeper Survey Informed Consent

Namaste! My name is...... I am here from New ERA, a research organization based in Kathmandu. Now, we are conducting a baseline Survey of USDA McGovern Dole International FFECN Programme managed by World Food Programme. For this purpose, we are collecting data about education, school meal program activities, personal hygiene, school infrastructures, and health and nutrition from 330 schools in 6 districts of Nepal. We will collect these data interviewing with head teacher, Literacy teacher, SHN focal teacher, cook, students, parents and other concerned local stakeholders.

We are inviting you to participate in this study. During this interview, I will ask you questions related to school meal program and your jobs as a manager/store keeper and activities related to managing/safe storing of foods received, distribution of foods to the schools and keeping the records.

We value your opinion and there are no wrong answers to the questions. We will use approximately 20 minutes of your time. There will be no risk as a result of your participation in the study. Your participation in this research is completely voluntary. You are free to withdraw your consent and discontinue participation in this survey at any time. All information gathered will be strictly treated as confidential and will be used only for the study purposes. If you need further information, you can contact Udbodh Rijal (Kalopul, Kathmandu; email: udbodh@newera.com.np; Ph. No. 01-4413603.

Your participation will be highly appreciated. The answers you give will be used for planning school meal related programs and services.

Are you willing to participate in the study? 1 = Yes 2 = No (End interview)

Date: ___/__/2078

Module 1: Background Characteristics

Q.No	Questions /Response	Category
SK1	Province Name and Number:	
SK2	Name and Code of District:	
SK3	Name and code of Rural /Urban Municipality:	
SK4	Ward no.:	
SK5	Name of the village:	
SK6	Code of the FDP/EDP /Warehouse:	
SK7	Name and code of the Interviewer:	
SK8	Name and code of the Supervisor:	
SK9	Interview start time:	Hour Minutes

Module 2: Introduction of Respondent

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
SK10	What is your position?		
SK11	What is your gender?	Male1	
		Female2	
		Other 3	
		Prefer not to respond4	
SK12	What is your ethnicity?	Brahmin/Chhetri 1	
		Dalit2	
		Newar	
		Other Janjati (excluding Newar)	
		Madhesi5	
		Muslim6	
		Other (Specify)96	
SK13	How many years have you been working as a FFEP staff?	No. of year	

Module 3: Condition of Warehouse (Observe and Record)

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
SK14	Is the warehouse lockable?	Yes1	
		No2	
SK15	Does the warehouse have windows for	Yes1	
	ventilation?	No2	
SK16	Is there any evidence of the presence	Yes1	
	of rodents in the store during the last	No2	
	working month?		
SK17	Is there any evidence of the presence	Yes1	
	of insects (weevil and others) during	No2	
	the last working month?		
SK18	Is there any evidence of mold and	Yes1	
	excess of humidity?	No2	
SK19	Is there any evidence of spillage or	Yes1	
	leakage?	No2	

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
SK20	Is the food stored on the ground?	Yes1 No2	→ SK22
SK21	If yes, does the EDP use pallets for commodities' storage?	Yes1 No2	
SK22	Does the EDP have a pest/insects' management plan?	Yes1 No2	
SK23	Does EDP carry out pest/ insects control measures?	Yes1 No2-	→ SK24
SK23a	What pest/insect control measures are being used?	1. 2.	
	(Record up to 3 measures)	3	

Module 4: Training on Store-keeping (If possible, observe and record the response)

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
SK24	Are you trained in safe food storage	Yes1	
	practices?	No2 -	→ SK29
SK25	When was the last time that you	months before	
CIVOC	received the training?		
SK26	What were the topics discussed during	Commodity management A	
	the training?	Record keepingB	
	(Multiple versiones pessible)	Storage type and utilization C	
	(Multiple response possible)	Health and hygieneD	
		Food preparation and items requiredE Food measurementF	
		Storage equipmentG	
CV27	In your opinion, was the training weeful.	Others (Specify)X	
SK27	In your opinion, was the training useful?	Yes1	
CI/20		No2	
SK28	Why was it/was it not useful? Please	1	
	explain.	2	
SK29	(Record up to three points).	3 Yes1	
3K29	Do you maintain proper record of the food items that you receive from WFP?		
	(to be observed for verification)	No2	
SK30	Do you review records from school	Yes1	
3130	while providing foods to the schools?	No2	
SK31	Do you maintain proper records while	Yes1	
1676	distributing food to schools?	No2	
	(To be observed for verification)	NO2	
SK32	How do you keep a record of the food	Issue waybillsA	
51(52	items?	Record stack cardsB	
		Maintain manual recordsC	
	(Multiple options)	Maintain digital recordsD	
	(indiciple options)	Other (Specify)X	
		Don't knowZ	
SK33	How many schools are you working with		
21.00	currently?	No. of schools	
SK34	What are the challenges do you face in	1	
	record keeping?	2	
	(Record up to two challenges)		

Module 5: Warehouse Management

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
SK35	What steps are taken by you as soon as	Check waybillsA	
	the food commodities arrive at the EDP?	Unload the food commoditiesB	
		Carry to the warehouseC	
	(Multiple response possible)	Stack food commodities correctlyD	
		Record stack card E	
		Other (Specify)X	
		Don't knowZ	
SK36	What precautions do you take while	Check the warehouse before storing	
	carrying food and storing it in the	food bagsA	
	warehouse?	Protect food commodities from rainB	
		Not using hooks to pull/move	
	(Multiple response possible)	food bagsC	
		Handling food bags with care (avoiding	
		stepping, throwing)D	
		Store in a cool and dry place E	
		No specific actions takenF	
		Other (Specify)X	
		Don't knowZ	
SK37	What are the steps taken by you to	Check lumps/damp/moldA	
	ensure that the food items are of good	Check the pestB	
	quality and fit for storing in the	Check the smell C	
	warehouse?	Check for damaged food packetsD	
		Check expiry date E	
	(Multiple response possible)	No specific actions takenF	
		Other (Specify)X	
		Don't knowZ	
SK38	What steps do you take before storing	Clean the warehouseA	
	the food in the warehouse?	Check the pestB	
		Check the smell C	
	(Multiple response possible)	Check for lumps/damp/mouldD	
		Remove weevils E	
		Store according to LIFO/FIFO/ FEFO as	
		applicableF	
		No specific actions takenG	
		Other (Specify)X	
		Don't knowZ	
SK39	Once the food is stored in the	Check for holes and leakage	
	warehouse, what precautions do you	in the food bags/packetsA	
	take to ensure its safety?	Check for hole and leakage in the	
		warehouse roof and wallsB	
	(Multiple response possible)	Use pallets to keep food stacksC	
		Use tarpaulins/plastic sheet to cover the	
		food stacksD	
		Protect from condensation drips E	
		Allow ventilation in the warehouseF	
		Inspect the stacks from time-to-timeG	
		Use of FIFO technique (first in first out) to	
		deliver foodH	
		Check expiry date I	
		Other (specify)X	
		Don't knowZ	

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
SK40	Are you satisfied with the way food is	Very satisfactory1	h
	stored safely and hygienically at school?	Satisfactory2	∽ sK42
		Not satisfied3	-
		Don't know98-	→ SK42
SK41	Why are you not satisfied with the way	1	
	food is stored at school?	2	
	(Record up to 3 points)	3	
SK42	Do you provide feedback to school for	Yes1	
	proper food storage?	No2-	→ SK45
SK43	Generally what sorts of feedback do you	Proper handling and distribution of	
	provide?	foods A	
		Proper store keepingB	
	(Multiple response possible)	Proper record keepingC	
		Preparation of hygienic foodsD	
		Food measurementE	
		Proper management of cooking spotF	
		Arrangement of cooking amenitiesG	
		Other (Specify)X	
SK44	Does the school follow the feedback	Yes	
	provided by you?	No2	
		Don't know	
SK45	What are the common problems you	Food commodities not arrived	
	encounter while fulfilling your role?	on timeA	
		Lack of proper warehouseB	
	(Multiple response possible)	Lack of supporting staffC	
	(F F F ,	Lack of budget for warehouse	
		maintenanceD	
		No incentivesE	
		Overburdened -Too many	
		responsibilitiesF	
		Other (Specify)X	
Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
SK46	How has the COVID pandemic impacted	1	
	your work?	2	
	(Please mention up to three points)	3	
SK47	Did you experience any challenges while	Yes 1	
	managing Take Home Rations?	No2 -	→SK49
SK48	If yes, can you please specify?	1	
		2	
	(Up to five points)	3	
		4	
		5.	

Module 6: Coordination with the Schools (Questions related to FMC/SMC)

Q.N.	Questions /Response	Category	Skip To
SK49	When you face any problem or have	Local government1	
	any feedbacks, who/how would you like	SMC/FMC2	
	to share it?	School Principal/teachers3	
		Namaste WFP toll free numbers4	
		WFP5	
		CP staff6	
		Viber/Facebook Messenger7	
		Suggestion box8	
		Email9	
		SMS10	
		Others (Specify)96	
SK50	How/from whom would you like to	WFP staff1	
	receive information related to your Job?	WFP partner staff (NGO2	
		Government leader (Ward Chair,	
		Gaupalika/Nagarpalika member	
		Viber/Facebook messenger4	
		Radio5	
		Printed materials6	
		SMC/FMC7	
		Others (Specify)96	
SK51	Interview end time:	Hour Minutes	

Module 7: Community Feedback Mechanism

Thank you for your valuable time and information.

Baseline Study of USDA McGovern Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme-2021 WFP/New ERA

Record Review Tools

Q. No.	Questions	Response
1	Cluster number	
2	Name of School:	
3	Sampled school EMIS #:	
4	Name and code of the Supervisor:	
5	Date	DD MM YYYY
6	Interview Start Time:	Hour Minute Minute

1. Indicator: Average retention rate

In the following table, record last year's (Academic year 2077) enrollment of students, those who are repeaters from last year (studying in the same grade in the current year), those who were promoted and are studying in the higher grades, and those who are dropouts from the school. Disaggregate the number of students by gender and grade.

Record 9997 for "Not Available/Applicable".

		Last year's	grade	
		Grade 1	Grade 3	Grade 8
Last year's enrollment	Boys			
(Observe 2077 register)	Girls			
	Total			
Repeaters from last year's	Boys			
enrollment (Observe 2078 register)	Girls			
	Total			
Promoted to higher grades	Boys			
from last year's enrollment (Observe 2078 register)	Girls			
	Total			
Dropouts	Boys			
(Observe 2078 register)	Girls			
	Total			

Supervisor's Note (if any):_____

- 2. Indicator: Average student attendance rate in USDA supported classrooms/schools
- a. Head-count on the day of school visit.

	Number of enrolled students in the current year (2078)			Number of day of schoo	•	sent on the
Grade	Girls	Boys	Total	Girls	Boys	Total
1						
3						
8						

Record 9997 for "Not Available/Applicable".

Supervisor's Note (if any):_____

b. Average attendance rate in the last month.

Please look at the attendance register for **Bhadra 16 to Ashoj** 14 and fill up the following table separately for each of the grades (1, 3 and 8) to collect the information for the calculation of average attendance rate.

Record 9997 for "Not Available/Applicable".

Grade: 1

Roll Number	Gender M = Male; F = Female	Number of school days in the last month	Number of days attended (If male)	Number of days attended (If female)
1	r – remaie	month		(II Terriale)
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				

	Gender M = Male;	Number of school days in the last	Number of days attended	Number of days attended
Roll Number	F = Female	month	(If male)	(If female)
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				
47				
48				
49				
50				

Supervisor's Note (if any):_____

Grade: 3

	Gender M= Male; F=	Number of school days in the last	Number of days attended	Number of days attended
Roll Number	Female	month	(If male)	(If female)
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

Dell Number	Gender M= Male; F=	Number of school days in the last	Number of days attended	Number of days attended
Roll Number	Female	month	(If male)	(If female)
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				
47				
48				
49				
50				

Supervisor's Note (if any):_____

Grade: 8

Grade: 8	Gender M= Male;	Number of school days in the last	Number of days attended	Number of days attended
Roll Number	F = Female	month	(If male)	(If female)
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				
47				
48				
49				
50				

Supervisor's Note (if any):_____

3. Indicator: Number of Students Receiving De-worming Medications

Does the school have maintained School Health and Nutrition Register having the required information on number of students receiving de-worming medications in the academic year (2076)? 1. Yes-> Record the necessary information 2. No -> Go to next module.

Please record the number of students receiving de-worming medications in the academic year (2076) in the following table.

Record 9997 for "Not Available/Applicable".

Grade	Boys		Girls		Total	
	Enrolled	Number receiving deworming	Enrolled	Number receiving deworming	Enrolled	Number receiving deworming
1						
3						
8						
Total						

4. Indicator: Health Related Absenteeism Among School Age Children

Does the school have maintained School Health and Nutrition Register having the required information on number of students absent due to sickness in the month of Falgun, 2076? 1. Yes-> Record the necessary information

2. No -> Go to next module.

Please record the number of students who were absent due to sickness in the month of Falgun, 2076.

Record 9997 for "Not Available/Applicable".

Grade	Boys	Girls	Total
1			
3			
8			
Total			

5. Indicator: Number of adolescent girls aged 10-19 years receiving biannual weekly Iron Folic Acid supplementation

Instruction: Please ask following questions and also check the health and nutrition register.

1.	Is record/information about iron folic acid distribution in the	Yes 1
	year 2076 available?	No2
		(If No, go to next module)
2.	What is the number of total adolescent girls in 2076?	
3.	How many adolescent girls received iron folic acid in 2076?	
4.	How is iron folic acid typically distributed?	Weekly1
		Biweekly 2
		Monthly3
		Quarterly4
		Biannually5
		Other (Specify)
6. Indicator: Number of school age children receiving school meal on all school days.

- a. Does the school have maintained school meal register? (Observe)
 - 1. Yes
 - 2. No → Stop
- b. Does the school meal register have record of number of school meal received by the students disaggregated by school days for the month of Chaitra, 2077?
 1. Yes
 - 2. No -> Stop
- c. Please record the number of school meal received by the students (ECD to grade 5) disaggregated by school days in Chaitra, 2077 in the following table.

School days Was it a school day? Number of boys Number of girls Number of (Gate) 1. Yes receiving school receiving school students receiving 2. No-> Go to next row meal meal school meal Number... Number... Number... 9997 NA 9997 NA 9997 NA 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 10 11 12 13 16 17 18 19 20 22 23 24 25 26 27 29 30 31

Note: First day is Sunday. There are four Saturdays (7, 14, 21, 28) and Falgu Purnima (15) have been dropped.

d. Record the number of eligible students (ECD-grade 5) for school meal from the attendance register of Chaitra, 2077.

Number of eligible boys:		
Number of eligible girls:		
Total number of eligible students:		

Baseline Study of USDA McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme in Nepal WFP/New ERA – 2021

School Environment Observation Record Form

Q.N.	Questions/Response	Category
SO1	Name of School:	
SO2	Name of Village:	
SO3	Province Name and Number:	
SO4	District:	
SO5	Name and code of Rural /Urban Municipality:	
SO6	Ward no.:	
SO7	Sampled school EMIS #:	

Instruction to the observer:

Observe and choose the option about the school environment focusing the material and facility aspects in school.

Q.N.	Questions/Response	Category	Skip To
SO8	Is there an open space/playground for	Yes1	
	students?	No2	
SO9	Is there a wall/ fence surrounding school	Yes1	
	compound?	No2	
SO10	Are there adequate number of	Yes1	
	classrooms?	No2	
SO11	Are benches/desks adequate for students?	<u>Grade 1</u> :	
		Yes1	
	(Observe grades 1, 3 and 5)	No2	
		<u>Grade 3</u> :	
		Yes1	
		No2	
		<u>Grade 5</u> :	
		Yes1	
		No2	
SO12	Is the ventilation adequate in classrooms?	<u>Grade 1</u> :	
	(Observe grades 1, 3 and 5)	Yes1	
		No2	
		<u>Grade 3</u> :	
		Yes1	
		No2	
		<u>Grade 5</u> :	
		Yes1	
		No2	
SO13	Is the light adequate in classrooms?	<u>Grade 1</u> :	
	(Observe grades 1, 3 and 5)	Yes1	
		No2	
		<u>Grade 3</u> :	
		Yes1	

Q.N.	Questions/Response	Category	Skip To
		No2	
		<u>Grade 5</u> :	
		Yes1	
		No2	
SO14	Are there dustbins in classrooms?	<u>Grade 1</u> :	
		Yes1	
	(Observe grades 1, 3 and 5)	No2	
		<u>Grade 3</u> :	
		Yes1	
		No2	
		<u>Grade 5</u> :	
		Yes1	
		No2	
SO15	Are there separate dustbin/pits to dump	Yes1	
	trash according to the nature of waste?	No2	
	(Observe in school premises)		
SO16	Is the school premise clean?	Yes1	
		No2	
SO17	Is there a separate teachers'	Yes1	
	office/working station?	No2	
SO18	Is there telephone (landline) facility?	Yes 1	
		No2	
SO19	Is there electricity facility?	Yes 1	
		No2	
SO19a	Is there solar energy facility?	Yes1	
		No2	
SO20	Is there Internet facility? (should be in	Yes1	
	functional condition)	No2	
SO21	Is there computer lab? (should be in	Yes1	
	functional condition)	No2	
SO22	Is there a science laboratory?	Yes1	
		No2	
SO23	Is there a library?	Yes 1	
	-	No2	
SO24	Digital Library	Yes1	
		No2	
SO25	Audio-Visual room	Yes1	
		No2	
SO26	Book corners	Yes1	
		No2	
SO27	First aid box	Yes1	
		No2	
SO28	Dispensary	Yes1	
		No2	
SO29	Kitchen	Yes1	
-		No2	
SO30	Canteen	Yes1	
		No	
SO31	Complaint/suggestion box	Yes1	
5051		No	
SO32	What is the main source of drinking	Piped water	
5052	water?	Tube well	
		Protected dug well	
		Projected dug well	

Q.N.	Questions/Response	Category	Skip To
		Bottle water 5	
		Students brings water from home 6	
		Spring water7	
		Other (Specify)	
SO33	What is the drinking water purifying	Let it stand and settle/sedimentation1	
	technique in use?	Strain it through cloth2	
		Boil it	
		Add bleach/chlorine4	
		Use a water filter5	
		Solar disinfection (Sodis method)6	
		Boil to lukewarm7	
		Don't purify water8	
		Other (Specify)	
SO34	Are there separate toilets for boys	Yes1	
	students?	No2.	 SO35
SO34a	What is the type of boys' toilet?	Flush to piped sewer system1	
		Flush to septic tank2	
	(Observe the main/common toilet if	Flush to pit latrine3	
	there is no more separate boys toilet)	Flush to somewhere else4	
		Flush, don't know where5	
		Ventilated improved pit latrine	
		Pit latrine with slab7	
		Pit latrine without slab/Open pit8	
		Composting toilet/Eco-san9	
		Bio-gas toilet10	
		School does not have any toilet11	
		Other (Specify)96	
SO34b	Is there water available in boys' toilet?	Yes 1	
	(Observe the main toilet if no more separate toilet for boys)	No2	
SO35	Are there separate toilets for girls	Yes	
0000	students?	No2-	→SO36
SO35a	What is the type of girls' toilet? (Observe	Flush to piped sewer system1	,
00000	the main toilet if more than one girls	Flush to septic tank	
	toilets.)	Flush to pit latrine	
		Flush to somewhere else	
		Flush, don't know where	
		Ventilated improved pit latrine	
		Pit latrine with slab	
		Pit latrine without slab/Open pit	
		Composting toilet/Eco-san	
		Bio-gas toilet10	
		School does not have any toilet11	
		Other (Specify)	
SO35b	Is there water available in girls' toilet?	Yes1	
	(Observe the main toilet if more than	No2	
	one girls toilet)		
SO36	Are there shared toilets for both boys and	Yes 1	
	girls?	No2-	→SO39
SO37	What is the type of shared toilet?	Flush to piped sewer system1	
		Flush to septic tank2	
	(Observe the main toilet if more than	Flush to pit latrine3	
			1
	one shared toilets)	Flush to somewhere else4	
	•	Flush to somewhere else	

Q.N.	Questions/Response	Category	Skip To
		Pit latrine with slab7	
		Pit latrine without slab/Open pit8	
		Composting toilet/Eco-san9	
		Bio-gas toilet10	
		School does not have any toilet11	
		Other (Specify)	
SO38	Is there water available in the shared	Yes1	
	toilet? (Observe the main toilet if more	No2	
	than one shared toilet)		
SO39	Are there handwashing station in the	Yes1	
	school premise?	No2-	→SO42
SO40	Is there soap or other liquid based hand	Yes1	
	wash available in handwashing station?	No2	
SO41	Is there water available in handwashing	Yes1	
	station?	No2	
SO42	Are menstrual pads/sanitary napkins	Yes1	
	available at school?	No2	
SO43	Is there a facility within the girls' toilet	Yes1	
	(separate or shared) to collect/dispose	No2	
	sanitary pads?		
SO44	Is there facility to safely dispose the	Yes1	
	collected sanitary napkins/pads at the	No2	
	school?		

Thank you.

Baseline Study of USDA McGovern Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme-2021

Field Observation Sheet for Farmer's Improved Farming Technologies

A. Background Information

1. Name of Farmer's Group/Cooperative:
2. Serial number of Farmer's Group/Cooperative:
3. Province Name and Number:
4. Name and Code of District:
5. Name and Code of Rural /Urban Municipality:
6. Ward no.:
7. Code of farmer:
8. Name of Farmer (Respondent):
9. Contact number of Farmer (Respondent):
10. Distance of the field from Farmer group/cooperative:

B. Observe the Following Improved Farming Technologies (Select 'Yes' or 'No' options for all components)

	Improved Farming Technologies	Yes	No
1.	Crop genetics (use of improved seed varieties)		
2.	Cultural practices (mulching, staking, improved nursery practices,		
	line sowing, weeding)		
3.	Disease and pest management: integrated pest management		
	practices- Jhol mol, traps, bio-pesticides		
4.	Soil conservation and fertilizer management: use of compost/		
	manure, use of lime, use of organic fertilizer, inter cropping of		
	pulses and legumes		
5.	Climate smart technology (Drought-tolerant varieties, plastic		
	house/ plastic high tunnel, plastic tunnel, adopted cultivation		
	calendar)		
6.	Water management and water technology (drip irrigation,		
	sprinkler irrigation, plastic pond/recharge pond, cement pond/thai		
	jar, rainwater harvesting)		
7.	Practice of input purchase (seeds, bio-pesticides, micro-nutrients,		
	sprinkler, drip-irrigation set, hermatic bags)		
8.	Marketing, Collection and Distribution Center (Practice of		
	produce sale with market price Information, access to and use of		
	collection center)		
9.	Post-harvest handling (packing technology, improved		
	transportation, improved handling, use of local made bamboo		
	basket (DOKO), use of hermatic bag)		
10.	Record keeping of any activities performed (use of improved		
	varieties, use of bio-pesticides, mulching etc.)		

3. Observer's note (if any): (If practices such as local crop promotion, nutrition garden, mixed cropping, relay cropping are observed, please include them as well)

Classroom Observation Form

1.	Name of School:
2.	School EMIS Code:
3.	Cluster No.:
4.	Class:
5.	Teaching subject:
6.	Teaching lesson/course:
7.	Date of observation://_2078
	DD MM YY
8.	Observation starting time:::
	Hour Minute
	(Please mention the system of 24 hours)
9.	Observer's Name and Code:
10.	Province:
11.	District and Code Number:
12.	Municipality:Ward No.:
13.	Supervisor's code:

Module 1: Reading Skill Development

(Tick the correct alternative	e based on your observation.)

Dimensions		Questions		No (0)
1.1	Phonological Awareness	a. Did the teacher let the students listen to the letters or syllables related to the lesson by accurately pronouncing them?		
		 b. Did the students accurately pronounce the letters or syllables related to the lesson? 		
1.2	Graphophonemic	a. Did the students practice letter or word segmentation?		
	Awareness	b. Did the students practice blending letters or words by reading aloud or pronouncing them?		
1.3	Reading Fluency	a. Did the teacher present a model reading with proper tempo, rhyme, and rhythm audible to the students?		
		b. Did the students get a chance to read in pairs or individually with proper tempo, rhyme, and rhythm?		
1.4	Vocabulary	a. Did the teacher identify unfamiliar words or discuss the difficult words in the text with their meanings?		
		b. Did the teacher involve the students in written or oral activities such as using the words in sentences or matching/ segmenting activities?		
1.5	Comprehension	a. Did the teacher ask the students questions related to guessing the topic of the lesson or about prior		

Dimensions	Questions	Yes (1)	No (0)
	knowledge of the lesson before or while reading or listening?		
	b. Did the teacher ask questions related to the lesson after the students have heard or read it?		
	c. Was one answer at least to the questions asked by the teacher unanswerable by lifting information directly from the text?		
	d. Did the teacher ask the students to read the lesson by themselves?		
	e. Did the majority of the students answer the questions based on the text read?		
1.6 Writing	a. Did the students practice writing with accuracy such as writing letters with spelling, combining spellings and sentences, etc.?		
	 b. Did the students get a chance to practice original writing such as answering questions in their own way, or writing a paragraph, or writing an original story, etc.? (This does not include copying from the board.) 		

Module 2: Early Grade Reading Pedagogy

Statement		Fully	Partially	Disagree	Irrelevant
No.	Statement	agree (3)	agree (2)	(1)	(0)
2.1	Teacher involved all/ most students.				
2.2a	Teacher created equal opportunity to				
	involve for both girl and boy students.				
2.2b	Teacher arranged activities in small groups				
	or pairs.				
2.3	Most students were following the teacher's				
	instructions during the lesson.				
2.4	During the lesson, more than half of the				
	students responded to the questions				
	willingly (by raising hands or asking to				
	respond)				
2.5a	While reading individually or in groups,				
	most students were attending to the text.				
2.5b	Teacher offered positive feedback to the				
	correct responses of the students.				
2.6	Teacher offered feedback to improve when				
	students responded incorrectly? (Feedback				
	should not be understood for scolding				
	students or neglecting incorrect responses				
	or demanding only correct responses or				
	making negative remarks only)				
2.7	Teacher use the required teaching				
	materials.				
2.8a	Teacher monitored students' individual or				
	group activities walking around the class.				
2.8b	Teacher followed the 'I do', 'We do' and 'You				
	do' method?				

Based on the class observation, tick the correct alternative after the observation.

Statement		Fully	Partially	Disagree	Irrelevant
No.	Statement	agree (3)	agree (2)	(1)	(0)
2.8c.1	Teacher supported the struggling students				
	while the students were working				
	individually?				
2.8c.2	Teacher supported the struggling students				
	while the students were working in groups.				
2.8d	Did the teacher evaluate the understanding				
	of the students during the lesson?				
2.8e	Teacher provided an opportunity by				
	allocating time for asking questions or				
	discussing in groups so as to be clear on				
	the matters not understood.				

Statement No.	Dimension	Excellent (3)	Average (2)	Needs improvement (1)
2.9a	Teacher's planning			
2.9b	Teacher motivating the students to the lesson			
2.9c	Active participation of the students			
2.9d	Use of learner centric techniques			
2.9e.1	Feedback			
2.9e.2	Evaluation			

Module 3: Classroom Environment and Management of Teaching- Learning Materials

Based on the class observation, tick the correct alternative.

		Fully	Partially		
Statement		agree	agree	Disagree	Irrelevant
No.	Statement	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
3.1	There were posters, drawings, paintings or				
	paintings related to reading- writing hung on the				
	wall of the classroom.				
3.2	Student's works were displayed visibly on the				
	wall.				
3.3	Additional reading materials such as book				
	corner, classroom library, etc. in the classroom				
	were accessible to the students.				
3.4	Desks/ benches/ mats were available adequately				
	for all students.				
3.5	Cleanliness of the classroom was adequate.				
3.6	Light was adequate in the classroom.				
3.7	Temperature was adequate (not too hot or cold)				
	in the classroom.				
3.8	Classroom was spacious enough for students to				
	carry out different activities.				

Observation ending time:

: _____: ____. Hour Minute

(Please mention the system of 24 hours)

Signature of the Observer

Signature of Head Teacher

डाटा बेस

EGRA Assessment Tool

विध्यार्थी प्रतिक्रिया फाराम २०७३

परीक्षकका लागि निर्देशनहरूः

कृपया सबैभन्दा पहिले विद्यार्थीसँग छोटो कुराकानी गरी रमाइलो र सहज वातावरण बनाउनुहोस् । त्यसका लागि तपाईं तल बाकसमा दिइएका जस्ता कुराकानीमा आधारित क्रियाकलाप अपनाउन सक्नुहुन्छ । यो प्रश्नावलीलाई विद्यार्थीले परीक्षाको रूपमा नलिई एउटा खेलको रूपमा रमाइलोका लागि लिऊन् भन्ने ध्यान दिनुहोस् । यही क्रममा बच्चालाई कसरी कुराकानी गर्दा बढी सहज हुन्छ भन्ने कुरामा पनि ध्यान दिनुहोस् । तल बाकसमा दिइएका जानकारी आफूले बुझ्नका लागि मात्रै विस्तारै पढ्नुहोस् र विद्यार्थीलाई आफ्नै भाषामा मिलाएर भन्नुहोस् ।

म तिमीलाई मेरो बारेमा केही कुरा भन्न चाहन्छु । मेरो नाम ____ हो । म ____ मा बस्छु ।

(उमेर, बच्चाहरूको सङ्ख्या, मनपर्ने खेल, रेडियो, टिभी कार्यव्रmम आदि प्रसङ्ग पनि समावेश गर्न सकिने)

अब तिम्रो बारेमा पनि केही कुरा गरौँ ल !

(१) तिमीलाई विद्यालय नआएको बेला के गर्न मन पर्छ ? (विद्यार्थीको प्रतिक्रियाका लागि पर्खनुहोस् । यदि विद्यार्थीले उत्तर दिन इच्छा नगरेमा प्रश्न नं. २ सोध्नुहोस् । उनीहरूले सहज रूपमा उत्तर दिने देखिएमा मौखिक सहमति लिई अगाडि बढाउनुहोस् ।)

(२) तिमीलाई कुन-कुन खेलहरू खेल्न मन पर्छ ?

मौखिक सहमतिः बाकसमा दिइएको जानकारी विद्यार्थीहरूलाई प्रस्टसँग पढेर सुनाइदिनुहोस् वा मौखिक रूपमा बुझाइदिनुहोस् ।

मकार्यालय/संस्थाका तर्फबाट तिमीहरूले पढेर कत्तिको सिकेका छौ भनी बुझ्न आएको ह्ँ ।

यस कार्यमा हामीलाई तिम्रो सहयोग चाहिन्छ । यदि तिमीलाई यस कार्यमा भाग लिन मन नलागेमा भाग लिन्न भन्न सक्ने छौ ।

हामी एउटा पठन खेल खेल्न थाल्दै छौँ । म तिमीलाई केही अक्षरहरू र शब्दहरू पढ्न लगाउनेछु । साथै एउटा छोटो कथा सुनाउनेछु र एउटा कथा पढ्न पनि लगाउने छु ।

म कतै पनि तिम्रो नाम लेख्ने छैन । तसर्थ कसैलाई पनि यी तिम्रा उत्तरहरू हुन् भन्ने थाहा हुने छैन ।

यो जाँच होइन । त्यसैले तिमीले जे जान्दछौ त्यही उत्तर देऊ ।

मैले सोधेको वा दिएका पाठ कति समयमा पढिसक्दा रहेछौ भनी ख्याल राख्ने छु ।

म तिमीलाई तिम्रो परिवारका बारेमा केही प्रश्नहरू पनि सोध्नेछु । जस्तैः तिम्रो परिवारमा कोको हुनुहुन्छ ? तिम्रो आमा के गर्नुहुन्छ ? तिम्रो बुबा के गर्नुहुन्छ ? तिम्रो परिवारले घरमा प्रयोग गर्ने भाषा कुन हो ? तिम्रो घरमा केके सामानहरू छन् आदि ।

सोधिएका प्रश्नहरूमध्ये तिमीले कुनै प्रश्नको उत्तर दिन नचाहेमा वा नसकेमा पनि फरक पर्ने छैन ।

तिमीले मसँग केही सोध्न चाहन्छौ भने सोध्न सक्छौ ... ।

के तिमी अब खेल खेल्न तयार छौ ? सुरु गरौँ त ?

मौखिक सहमति भएको हो ? हो

यदि मौखिक सहमति नभएमा उक्त विद्यार्थीलाई धन्यवाद दिनुहोस् र अर्को विद्यार्थीलाई बोलाई यही फारामको प्रयोग गरी उल्लिखित निर्देशनहरू दोहोर्याउनुहोस् ।

А.	परीक्षण मितिः	गतेः	्र. कक्षा	कक्षा १ 🗔
		महिनाः		कक्षा २ 🗔
		सालः		कक्षा ३ 🗔
В.	कार्यक्रम जिल्ला समूह	जिल्ला समूह 1	к. सेक्सन/वर्ग	
	(EGRP लागू भएका	जिल्ला समूह 2		
	जिल्लाका सन्दर्भमा			
	मात्र)			
C.	अनुसन्धान समूह	उपचारात्मक	 विद्यार्थीको 	
		समूह	रोल नं.	
		नियन्त्रित समूह		
D.	भाषा	L1	м. लिङ्ग	ত্তার 🗌
	(घर परिवारमा बढी	L2		छাत्रा 🗌
	बोलिने भाषालाई{ L1 र			
	त्यसपछि बोलिने दोस्रो			
	भाषालाई L2 राख्रे)			
E.	परीक्षकको नाम			
F.	परीक्षकको कोड			
G.	विद्यालयको नाम			
н.	विद्यालय EMIS कोड			
١.	नियमित विद्यालय	पूरा दिनः	परीक्षण गर्दाको	पूर्वाहनः
	सञ्चालन हुने समय		समयः	
	-	बिहानः 📖		अपराहनः

अपराहनः	(एउटामा
	चिनो
	लगाउनुहोस्
	l)

उप-खण्ड १: श्रुतिबोध

3	
	🕒 ६० सेकेन्ड
अब म तिमीलाई एउटा सानो कथा पढेर सुनाउँछु । मैले	विद्यार्थीले कथा नदेख्ने गरी
पढेको ध्यानपूर्वक सुन । त्यसपछि म तिमीलाई केही	वाचन गर्नुहोस् ।
प्रश्नहरू सोध्छु । सकेसम्म राम्रोसँग उत्तर देऊ ।	विद्यार्थीलाई प्रश्नहरू पनि
सविता र गीता चौरमा खेलिरहेका थिए । त्यहाँ	हेर्न नदिनुहोस् ।
गाईवस्तु चरिरहेका थिए । एउटा गोरुले उनीहरूलाई	
लखेट्यो । गोठालाले त्यस गोरुलाई हकार्दै रोके ।	
उनीहरूले गोठालालाई धन्यवाद दिएर गए ।	
(√) १ = ठिक	यदि विद्यार्थीले जान्दिनँ
($$)) = बेठिक	भनेर भनेदेखि उत्तर नदिएको
(√) ◆ = उत्तर नदिएको	कोठामा चिनो लगाउनुहोस् ।

प्रश्नहरूः	ठिक (१)	बेठिक	उत्तर नदिएको]
		(o)	-*_
१) सविता र गीता कहाँ खेलिरहेका थिए ?			
उत्तरः चौरमा			
२) सविता र गीतालाई के ले लखेट्यो ?			

उत्तरः गोरुले ।		
३) गोठालालाई किन धन्यवाद दिए ?		
उत्तर : गोरुलाई रोकेकोले ।		

* धेरै राम्रो प्रयास, धन्यवाद ! अब अर्को उपखण्डमा जाऔँ है ।

उप-खण्ड २: अक्षर पहिचान

	🕒 ६० सेकेन्ड
यस पानामा नेपाली वर्णमालाका अक्षरहरू राखिएका छन् ।	जब विद्यार्थीले
कृपया तिमीले जानेसम्म यी अक्षरहरू पढ्नुपर्ने छ । (सुरुमा	पहिलो अक्षर पढ्न
तल दिएअनुसार यी तिन अक्षरहरू (अ, ग, न) को अभ्यास	सुरु गर्छन्, घडी हेर्न
गराउनुहोस् ।)	सुरु गर्नुहोस् ।
[उक्त पानामा "अ" सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्, उदाहरणको लागि, यो	यदि विद्यार्थीले
/ अ/ हो ।]	सङ्कोच मानेमा वा
त्यसै गरी उक्त पानामा "ग" सङ्केत गर्नुहोस् र यो कुन	एउटै अक्षरमा तिन
अक्षर हो भनी सोध्नुहोस् ।] (ठिक उत्तर भएमा) √ ठिक, यो / ग / हो ।	सेकेन्डसम्म पढ्न
(ठिफ उत्तर भएमा) ४ ाठफ, या / ग / हो । (बेठिक उत्तर भएमा) × यो त / ग / पो हो त ।	रोकिएमा अर्को अक्षर
[उक्त पानामा "न" सङ्केत गर्नुहोस् र यो कुन अक्षर हो	पढ्न सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्
भनी सोध्नुहोस् ।]	
(ठिक उत्तर भएमा) √ ठिक, यो / न / हो ।	
(बैठिक उत्तर भएमा) × यो त / न / पो हो त ।	घडीमा १ मिनेट
	पुगेपछि रोकिन
- जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक	भन्नुहोस् ।
अक्षरलाई देखाउँदै त्यो अक्षरलाई उच्चारण गर ।	
- तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर ।	🛝 ग्रानि निन्गार्भीने
- यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै अक्षर आएमा तिमी	💖 यदि विद्यार्थीले परिन्मे नगरुण ४०
अर्को अक्षर पढ्न सक्छौ । तिम्रो औंला पहिलो अक्षरमा	पहिलो हरफमा (१०
	अक्षरहरू) सही रूपमा

राख त । राख्यौ ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त ।										नपढेमा धन्यवाद
"स्	<u>रि</u> "		भन्नुहोस् र यो							
			उपकार्य बन्द गर्नुहोस्							
	`.		त्रत प	ढेका 🔅	अक्षरह	র্জনাই		चिह	न	। तल अन्त्यमा
	उनुहोर		~ ~ ~			• •				दिएको बाकसमा चिनो
					_	_		लगाएव		-√_ लगाई अर्को उप-
			5					नुहोस्		खण्डमा जान्होस् ।
_		ल प	का र	भान्तग	म अक्ष	तरपाछ	እ " /	" चिह	न	5
दिनुह	ાર્સ્									
দ	र	ए	औ	ध	ह	ए	ज	अ :	क	
थ	ण	इ	अं	उ	झ	स	ध	र	ਠ	
म	ब	ख	क्ष	ষ	ई	ब	इ	ਤ	क	
ञ	ষ	भ	ऐ	ढ	झ	ओ	त्र	न	ए	
व	इ	ਲ	ត	ध	ы	द	त	श	ਤ	
ण	प	त	भ	ज्ञ	थ	घ	ग	ਟ	ਤ	
ਠ	ਟ	आ	अ	ए	ර්ා	ख	क्ष	अः	व	
ग	ज्ञ	स	ञ	ਸ	च	अं	ॠ	দ	त	
ॠ	श	अः	य	ऐ	अ	ਕ	ন্ড	ओ	प	
च	ए	द	ज	आ	ग	औ	ন্ড	ह	इ	
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
यस व	नर्यको	अन्तर	यमा ब	ांकी र	हेको स	ामय (सेकेन्ध	डमा) ले	खुहोस्	[
विद्य	ार्थीले	पहिल	ो हरप	ज्मा कु	नै सह	ही उत्त	र नटि	एकाले	पठन	r
कार्य न	रोकिए	को ।								

* धेरै राम्रो प्रयास, धन्यवाद ! अब अर्को उप-खण्डमा जाऔँ है ।

उप-खण्ड ३: मात्रा पहिचान

	🕒 ६० सेकेन्ड
यस पानामा नेपाली वर्णमालाका मात्रा राखिएका छन् ।	जब विद्यार्थीले पहिलो
कृपया तिमीले जानेसम्म यी मात्राहरू पढ्नुपर्ने छ ।	अक्षर पढ्न सुरु गर्छन्, घडी
	हेर्न सुरु गर्नुहोस् ।
सुरुमा तल दिएअनुसार यी 'तेन मात्राहरू ा, ि , ु	
(का, घि, हु) को अभ्यास गराउनुहोस् ।	यदि विद्यार्थीले सङ्कोच
·	मानेमा वा एउटै अक्षरमा
[उक्त पानामा "का" औँलाले सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्,	तिन सेकेन्डसम्म पढ्न
उदाहरणका लागि, यो / का / हो । यसमा (ा) मात्रा	रोकिएमा अर्को अक्षर पढ्न
लागेको छ भन्नुहोस् ।	सङ्केत गर्नुहोस् ।
[उक्त पानामा "घि" सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्, अब यसमा कुन	
मात्रा लागेको छ भनी सोध्नुहोस् ।]	घडीमा १ मिनेट पुगेपछि
(ठिक उत्तर आएमा)√ ठिक, यो रघिर ्रौ। यसमा (रोकिन भन्नुहोस् ।
ि)मात्रा लागेको छ भन्नुहोस् ।	
(बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त / घि / पो हं, त । यसमा	💖 यदि विद्यार्थीले पहिलो
(ि) मात्रा लागेको छ भन्नुहोस् ।	हरफमा (१० मात्राहरू) सही
[उक्त पानामा "हु" सड्केत गर्नुहोस् र यसमा कुन	रूपमा नपढेमा धन्यवाद
मात्रा लागेको छ भनी सोध्नुहोस् ।]	भन्नुहोस् र यो उपकार्य
(ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो रहर हो । यसमा (ु)	बन्द गर्नुहोस् । तल
मात्रा लागेको छ भन्नुहोस् ।	अन्त्यमा दिएको बाकसमा
(बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त / हु / पो हा त । यसमा (चिनो -√_ लगाई अर्को उप-
ु) मात्रा लागेको छ भन्नुहोस् ।	खण्डमा जानुहोस् ।
- जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक	
मात्रा लागेको अक्षरलाई देखाउँदै त्यो मात्रा लागेको	
अक्षरलाई उच्चारण गर ।	
- तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास	
गर ।	

	_								
	- यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै मात्रा आएमा								
ति	तिमी अर्को मात्रा लागेको अक्षर पढ्न सक्छौ । तिम्रो								
अं	औँला मात्रा लागेको पहिलो अक्षरमा राख त । राख्यौ								
?	राख्यौ	ी भने	ल अब	ब पढ्न	न सुरु	गर त	न । "स्	नुरु"	
- वि	वेद्याः	र्थीले ग	लत	पढेका	मात्रा	लागे	का		
अ	क्षिरह	<u></u> রূলাई	" / " f	चेहन	लगा	उनुहो	स्।		
- त	पाईंले	अगा	डि नै	गलत	भनी	चिहर	न लग	ाएका	मात्र
3	क्त अ	क्षिरहर	रू सच	याउन्	ु परेम	∏ " ⊘	⊘ " चि	हन	
ল	गाउन्	रहो स्	I						
- वि	वेद्याः	र्थीले प	ढिको	अन्ति	म म	ात्रा यु	क्त अ	क्षिर प	नछि
"]	" चिह	न दिन्	नुहोस्	I					
	~ ~		_	•		- 1		_]
यो	लौ	खू	ម្ម	दं	ढो	भे	खे	भू	হ
मै	धौ	क्षा	थी	खु	नौ	घो	क्षे	घू	का
शै	फ <u>ु</u>	पो	दु	थै	छो	भै	लु	बो	झौ
ची	ढौ	खी	न्रू	डि	गै	के	पै	चि	शू
ढौ	ति	ಕು	चौ	झो	पौ	छो	ने	रो	नौ
ले	घौ	थै	कि	डि	वु	धो	ठे	वो	हे
दे	कौ	मा	हौ	त्रा	त्	गृ	बि	দু	র্হু
मो	ठू	चो	बा	गै	पृ	झू	ज्ञा	टो	मे
मे	ना	सा	डौ	जु	夬	दौ	तो	धू	फे
से	जा	लू	टू	जौ	হ	षो	दै	ढा	वे
यस ब	कार्यव	ने अन	-त्यम	ा बॉर्व	नि रहे	को र	समय	(सेके	न्डमा)
लेखुहोस् ।									
विद्यार्थीले पहिलो हरफमा कुनै सही उत्तर नदिएकोले									
पठन	नठन कार्य रोकिएको ।								

* धेरै राम्रो प्रयास, धन्यवाद ! अब अर्को उप-खण्डमा जाऔँ है ।

े ६० सेकेन्ड यस पानामा केही निरर्थक शब्दहरू राखिएका छन् । कृपया जब विद्यार्थीले पहिलो तिमीले जानेसम्म यी शब्दहरू पढ्नुपर्ने छ । (सुरुमा तल शब्द पढ्न सुरु गर्छन्, दिएअनुसार यी तिन निरर्थक शब्दहरू (शाखिने, छद्कु, फामो) शब्द पढ्न सुरु गर्छन, को अभ्यास गराउनुहोस् ।) [उक्त पानामा "शाखिने" सड़केत गर्नुहोस्, उदाहरणका यदि विद्यार्थीले लागि, यो "शाखिने" हो भल्नुहोस् ।] यदि विद्यार्थी ते सड्कोच मानेमा वा (उक्त पानामा "छदकु" सड़केत गर्नुहोस्, अब यसलाई उच्चारण गर भन्नुहोस् ।] यदि विद्यार्थी ते (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "छदकु" हो । संकेन्डसम्म पढ्न रोकिएमा अर्को पढ्न (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "छदकु" हो । सड़केत गर्नुहोस् । राकिएमा अर्को पढ्न (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छामो" हो । खठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । खठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । अर्च विद्यार्थी ते (वेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । भन्नुहोस् । (वेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । श्वदि विद्यार्थी ते (वेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो त । भन्न हो हर एक, सही - जतब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गरा गरा । निर्ते शब्दहरु, सही - यदि तिमीलाई थहा नभएका कुने शब्द आएमा तिमा पहिले हरक, सही		
तिमीले जानेसम्म यी शब्दहरू पढ्नुपर्ने छ । (सुरुमा तल दिएअनुसार यी तिन निरर्थक शब्दहरू (शाखिनै, छद्कु, फामो) को अभ्यास गराउनुहोस् ।) [उक्त पानामा "शाखिनै" सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्, उदाहरणका लागि, यो "शाखिनै" हो भन्नुहोस् ।] [उक्त पानामा "छद्कु" सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्, अब यसलाई उच्चारण गर भन्नुहोस् ।] (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "छद्कु" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छद्कु" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छद्कु" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छद्कु" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छद्कु" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छद्कु" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छद्कु" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो हो रा । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो हो रा । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो हो रा । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो हो रा । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो रा हो ति । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो रा हो ति स्व शब्दलाई देखाउँदै त्यो शब्द कर्जु रा । प्रत्येक शब्दलाई त्या शब्द तर्घा शब्द कर्जा रा । (तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर । (नर्याक शब्दहरू सही रपमा नपढेमा धन्याव द भन्नुहोस् र यो उपखण्ड बन्द गर्नुहोस् । तल अन्त्यामा दिमो लं) गरी बाकसमा चिने (५) गरी अर्को उप-खण्डमा		🕒 ६० सेकेन्ड
दिएअनुसार यी तिन निरर्थक शब्दहरू (शाखिनै, छद्कु, फाम)) को अभ्यास गराउनुहोस् ।) [उक्त पानामा "शाखिनै" सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्, उदाहरणका लागि, यो "शाखिनै" हो भन्नुहोस् ।] [उक्त पानामा "छद्कु" सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्, अब यसलाई उच्चारण गर भन्नुहोस् ।] [उक्त पानामा "छद्कु" सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्, अब यसलाई उच्चारण गर भन्नुहोस् ।] (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "छद्कु" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छद्कु" हो । [उक्त पानामा "फामो" सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्, एक पटक फेरि प्रयास गर । यसलाई उच्चारण गर ।] (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो त । - जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक शब्दलाई देखाउँदै त्यो शब्दलाई उच्चारण गर । - तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर । - यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी अर्को शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिमो औंला पहिलो शब्दमा एन्यवाद भन्नुहोस् र यो उपखण्ड बन्द गर्नुहोस् । - विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । - तपाईँले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु मन्दारक परेमा गोनो गिहन " (" लगारजहोम ।	यस पानामा केही निरर्थक शब्दहरू राखिएका छन् । कृपया	जब विद्यार्थीले पहिलो
को अभ्रेयास गराउनुहोस् ।) उक्त पानामा "शाखिनै" सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्, उदाहरणका लागि, यो "शाखिनै" हो भन्नुहोस् ।] उक्त पानामा "छदकु" सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्, अब यसलाई उच्चारण गर भन्नुहोस् ।] उक्त पानामा "छदकु" सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्, अब यसलाई उच्चारण गर भन्नुहोस् ।] ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "छदकु" हो । विठेक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छदकु" हो । उक्त पानामा "फामो" सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्, एक पटक फेरि प्रयास गर । यसलाई उच्चारण गर ।] ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । विठेक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । विठेक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । वेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । वेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । वेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो त । - जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक शब्दलाई देखाउँदै त्यो शब्दलाई उच्चारण गर । - यदि तिमीलोई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी अर्का शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिम्रो औंला पहिलो शब्दमा रखत । राख्यौ ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त । "सुरु" - विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । - तपाईले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु मच्याउक परेमा गोन्नो चिहन " (? " तयाउन्होम् ।	तिमीले जानेसम्म यी शब्दहरू पढ्नुपर्ने छ । (सुरुमा तल	शब्द पढ्न सुरु गर्छन्,
[उक्त पानामा "शाखिनै" सड्केत गर्नुहोस् उदाहरणका यदि विद्यार्थीले लागि. यो "शाखिनै" हो भन्नुहोस् ।] एउटै बाकसमा तिन [उक्त पानामा "छद्कु" सड्केत गर्नुहोस्, अब यसलाई पउटै बाकसमा तिन उच्चारण गर भन्नुहोस् ।] एउटै बाकसमा तिन (केठक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "छद्कु" हो । रोकिएमा अर्को पढ्न (केठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छद्कु" पो हो त । राक्रिय गर्नुहोस् । (केठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छद्कु" पो हो त । प्रवि विद्यार्थीले (केठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । प्रवि किन्या गर्नुहोस् । (केठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । प्रवि विद्यार्थीले (केठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । प्रवि विद्यार्थीले (केठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । प्रवि विद्यार्थीले (केठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" पो हो त । अन्जुहोस् । - जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक शब्दलाई देखाउँदै त्यो शब्दलाई उच्चारण गर । - तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर । निर्र्थक शब्दहरु सही - यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी स्पमा नपढेमा अर्का शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिमो औंला पहिलो शब्दमा यो उपखण्ड बन्द "सुरु" यो दिद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । जन्तुहोस् । तल अर्कॉ उप.खण्ड मा अर्कॉ उप.खण्ड मा <td>दिएअनुसार यी तिन निरर्थक शब्दहरू (शाखिनै, छद्कु, फामो)</td> <td>घडी हेर्न सुरु गर्नुहोस् ।</td>	दिएअनुसार यी तिन निरर्थक शब्दहरू (शाखिनै, छद्कु, फामो)	घडी हेर्न सुरु गर्नुहोस् ।
[उपत पानामा 'शाखिन' 'सङ्फत गनुहोस्, उदाहरणजा लागि, यो 'शाखिनै'' हो भन्नुहोस् ।] [उक्त पानामा 'छद्कु'' सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्, अब यसलाई उच्चारण गर भन्नुहोस् ।] (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो 'छद्कु'' हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त 'छद्कु'' पो हो त । [उक्त पानामा 'फामो'' सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्, एक पटक फेरि प्रयास गर । यसलाई उच्चारण गर ।] (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो 'फामो'' हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त 'फामो'' पो हो त । जब म ''सुरु'' भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक शब्दलाई देखाउँदै त्यो शब्दलाई उच्चारण गर । तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर । यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी अर्को शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिम्रो औंला पहिलो शब्दमा राख त । राख्यौ ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त । ''सुरु'' - विदयार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई '''' चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । - तपाईले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु मच्यारक परेमा योलो चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु मच्यारक परेमा योलो चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु	को अभ्यास गराउनुहोस् ।)	
लागि. यो "शाखिनै" हो भन्जुहोस् ।] [उक्त पानामा "छद्कु" सङ्केत गर्जुहोस्, अब यसलाई उच्चारण गर भन्जुहोस् ।] (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "छद्कु" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छद्कु" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छद्कु" हो । [उक्त पानामा "फामो" सङ्केत गर्जुहोस्, एक पटक फेरि प्रयास गर । यसलाई उच्चारण गर ।] (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" पो हो त ।		यदि विद्यार्थीले
[उक्त पानामा "छद्कु" सड़केत गर्नुहोस्, अब यसलाई एउटे बाकसमा तिन उच्चारण गर भन्नुहोस् ।] (ठेक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "छद्कु" हो । सेकेन्डसम्म पढ्न (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छद्कु" पो हो त । रोकिएमा अर्को पढ्न [उक्त पानामा "फामो" सड़केत गर्नुहोस्, एक पटक फेरि घडीमा १ मिनेट प्रयास गर । यसलाई उच्चारण गर ।] घडीमा १ मिनेट (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । घडीमा १ मिनेट (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । घडीमा १ मिनेट (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । घडीमा १ मिनेट (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । पहिले उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो त । • जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक शब्दलाई देखाउँदै त्यो शब्दलाई उच्चारण गर । ৺ यदि विद्यार्थीले • जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक शब्दहरू) सही ♥ यदि विद्यार्थीले • यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी भन्न शब्द श्र गर त । • यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी भन्यवाद भन्जुहोस् र • यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी भन्ग नपढेमा • यदि तियार्थी ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त । यो उपखण्ड बन्द • सुरु" • विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन • तपाई ले आगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु अर्कॉ उप-खण्डमा मच्या उत्त परेमा गोले चिहन	5	सङ्कोच मानेमा वा
उच्चारण गर भन्नुहोस् ।] (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "छद्कु" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छद्कु" पो हो त । [उक्त पानामा "फामो" सड्केत गर्नुहोस्, एक पटक फेरि प्रयास गर । यसलाई उच्चारण गर ।] (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" पो हो त । - जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक शब्दलाई देखाउँदै त्यो शब्दलाई उच्चारण गर । - तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर । - यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी अर्को शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिमो औंला पहिलो शब्दमा राख त । राख्यौ ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त । "सुरु" - विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । - तपाईँले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु मच्याउन परेमा गोलो चिहन " ⊘" लगाउनहोम ।	5	एउटै बाकसमा तिन
(ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "छद्कु" हो । रोकिएमा अर्को पढ्न (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छद्कु" पो हो त । सड्केत गर्नुहोस् । [उक्त पानामा "फामो" सड्केत गर्नुहोस्, एक पटक फेरि घडीमा १ मिनेट प्रयास गर । यसलाई उच्चारण गर ।] पुगेपछि रोकिन (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । पुगेपछि रोकिन (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । भन्नुहोस् । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" पो हो त । ७ यदि विद्यार्थीले - जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक ७ यदि विद्यार्थीले - जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक ७ विलो हरफमा (७ - तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर । निरर्थक शब्दहरू) सही - यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी छन्यवाद भन्नुहोस् र अर्को शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिम्रो औंला पहिलो शब्दमा धन्यवाद भन्नुहोस् र राख त । राख्यौ १ राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त । गर्नुहोस् । तल "सुरु" गर्नुहोस् । तल - विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन गर्नुहोस् । तल लगाउनुहोस् । बाकसमा चिनो (√) गरी न्याउत्त प्रेमा जलो उप-खण्डमा		सेकेन्डसम्म पढ्न
(बैठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "छद्कु" पो हो त । सड्केत गर्नुहोस् । [उक्त पानामा "फामो" सड्केत गर्नुहोस्, एक पटक फेरि घडीमा १ मिनेट प्रयास गर । यसलाई उच्चारण गर ।] (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । पुगेपछि रोकिन (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । कन्नुहोस् । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" हो । कन्नुहोस् । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" पो हो त । " यदि विद्यार्थीले - जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक " यदि विद्यार्थीले - जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक " यदि विद्यार्थीले - तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर । - निर्र्थक शब्दहरू) सही - यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी फपमा नपढेमा अर्का शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिम्रो औंला पहिलो शब्दमा घन्यवाद भन्नुहोस् र गख त । राख्यौ ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त । यो उपखण्ड बन्द "सुरु" गर्नुहोस् । तल - विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन गर्नुहोस् । तल लगाउनुहोस् । बाकसमा चिनो (√) गरी - तपाईले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु अर्का उप-खण्डमा	3	रोकिएमा अर्को पढ्न
[उक्त पानामा "फामो" सङ्केत गर्नुहोस्, एक पटक फेरि घडीमा १ मिनेट प्रयास गर । यसलाई उच्चारण गर ।] (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । पुगेपछि रोकिन (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" पो हो त । भन्नुहोस् । - जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक " यदि विद्यार्थीले - जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक " यदि विद्यार्थीले - तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर । " यदि विद्यार्थीले - यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी रूपमा नपढेमा अर्को शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिमो औँला पहिलो शब्दमा खन्यवाद भन्नुहोस् र गसुरु" यो उपखण्ड बन्द - विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन गर्नुहोस् । तल लगाउनुहोस् । बाकसमा चिनो (√) गरी - तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु अर्को उप-खण्डमा	5	सङ्केत गर्नुहोस् ।
प्रयास गर । यसलाई उच्चारण गर ।] (ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" पो हो त । - जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक शब्दलाई देखाउँदै त्यो शब्दलाई उच्चारण गर । - तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर । - यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी अर्को शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिम्रो औँला पहिलो शब्दमा राख त । राख्यौ ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त । "सुरु" - विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । - तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु मच्याउन परेमा गोलो चिहन " ⊘" लगाउनहोम ।	5	
(ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो । (बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" पो हो त । - जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक शब्दलाई देखाउँदै त्यो शब्दलाई उच्चारण गर । - तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर । - तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर । - यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी अर्को शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिम्रो औँला पहिलो शब्दमा राख त । राख्यौ ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त । "सुरु" - विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । - तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु मच्याउन परेमा गोलो चिहन "∅" लगाउनहोम ।	Ĵ	
(बेठिक उत्तर आएमा) × यो त "फामो" पो हो त । - जब म "सुरु" भन्छु, तिमीले पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्येक शब्दलाई देखाउँदै त्यो शब्दलाई उच्चारण गर । - तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर । - यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी अर्को शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिमो औंला पहिलो शब्दमा राख त । राख्यौ ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त । "सुरु" - विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । - तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु मच्याउन प्रेमा गोलो चिहन "⊘" लगाउनहोम ।	(ठिक उत्तर आएमा) √ ठिक, यो "फामो" हो ।	5
 जब म 'सुरु' मन्छु, तिमाल पढ्न सुरु गर । प्रत्यक शब्दलाई देखाउँदै त्यो शब्दलाई उच्चारण गर । तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर । तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर । यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी अर्को शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिम्रो औंला पहिलो शब्दमा राख त । राख्यौ ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त । विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु सच्याउन परेमा गोलो चिहन " () " लगाउनहोम । 		मन्नुहास् ।
शब्दलाई देखाउँदै त्यो शब्दलाई उच्चारण गर । - तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर । - यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी अर्को शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिम्रो औंला पहिलो शब्दमा अर्को शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिम्रो औंला पहिलो शब्दमा राख त । राख्यौ ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त । "सुरु" - विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । - तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु सच्याउन परेमा गोलो चिहन " ⊘" लगाउनहोम ।		🖑 यदि विद्यार्थीले
 तिमीले सकेसम्म चाँडो तर ध्यानपूर्वक पढ्ने प्रयास गर। यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी अर्को शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिम्रो औँला पहिलो शब्दमा राख त । राख्यौ ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त । यो उपखण्ड बन्द "सुरु" विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु मच्याउन परेमा, गोलो चिहन " (" लगाउनहोम । 	5 5 5	पहिलो हरफमा (अ
 यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी अर्को शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिम्रो औँला पहिलो शब्दमा राख त । राख्यौ ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त । "सुरु" विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । तपाईँले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु मच्याउन परेमा, गोलो चिहन " (0 " लगाउनहोस) 		निरर्थक शब्दहरू) सही
अर्को शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिम्रो औँला पहिलो शब्दमा राख त । राख्यौ ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त । "सुरु" - विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । - तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु सच्याउन परेमा गोलो चिहन " ∅ " लगाउनहोम ।	- यदि तिमीलाई थाहा नभएको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी	रूपमा नपढेमा
"सुरु" - विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस्। - तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु सच्याउन परेसा गोलो चिहन " ∅" लगाउनहोस ।	C C	धन्यवाद भन्नुहोस् र
 विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु सच्याउन परेसा गोलो चिहन " ∅ " लगाउनहोस । 	राख त । राख्यौ ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर त ।	यो उपखण्ड बन्द
 विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु सच्याउन परेसा गोलो चिहन " ∅ " लगाउनहोस । 	"सुरु"	गर्नुहोस् । तल
- तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु सच्याउन परेसा गोलो चिहन " Ø " लगाउनहोस ।	- विद्यार्थीले गलत पढेका शब्दहरुलाई "/" चिहन	•
- तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु सन्याउन परेमा गोलो चिहन " Ø " लगाउनहोम ।	लगाउनुहोस् ।	 बाकसमा चिनो (\/) गरी
मच्याउन परेमा गोलो चिहन " 🖉 " लगाउनहोम ।	- तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत भनी चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरु	
	सच्याउनु परेमा गोलो चिहन " Ø " लगाउनुहोस् ।	जानुहोस् ।

- विद्यार्थीले पढेको अन्तिम शब्दपछि "]" चिहन							
दिनुहोस्	दिनुहोस् ।						
साएचु	किढ	লদ্দ	जाग्सा	किर्फ			
जाऔर	होनाका	ऐकुलो	र्याप	जान्पा			
नोकी	पाल्का	रेलिजु	नाफे	त्तचि			
षवि	पन्दिस	थफि	बित्रे	डडारो			
ऐचाछ	लुपासे	हुदाभी	ज्ञाप्रा	घोनरु			
झथो	चैउगो	उँज्ञा	साप्धु	शणौ			
लिभो	रेधै	गृम	चषौ	आलीव			
वातावे	सम्पला	लवोत	ताछा	नारझ			
जनाखे	कमृश	अंक्र	ईनाज	चङ्शा			
यामौ टोछौ मलक गोथ्रो चस्ठा							
🗻 यस कार्यको अन्त्यमा बाँकी रहेको समय							
(सेकन्डमा) लेखुहोस्							
🖎 विद्यार्थीले पहिलो हरफमा कुनै सहि उत्तर							
नदिएकोले पठन कार्य रोकिएको							

* धेरै राम्रो प्रयास, धन्यवाद ! अब अर्को उप-खण्डमा जाऔँ है ।

उप-खण्ड ५: मौखिक पठन अनुच्छेद

उप-खण्ड ५ (क): मौखिक पठन अनुच्छेद	🕒 ६० सेकेन्ड
तपाईंले निर्देशनहरू पढ्दै गर्दा विद्यार्थीलाई कथा	
लेखिएको उद्धारण (अनुच्छेद) दिनुहोस् ।	
यहाँ एउटा सानो कथा छ । म तिमीलाई यो कथा पढ्न	यदि विद्यार्थीले सङ्कोच
दिन्छु । तिमीले ठूलो स्वरमा ध्यानपूर्वक छिटो पढ है ।	मानेमा वा एउटै शब्दमा
तिमीले पढिसकेपछि म तिमीलाई त्यही कथासँग	तिन सेकेन्डसम्म पढ्न
सम्बन्धित केही प्रश्नहरू सोध्ने छु । जब म सुरु गर्न	

	T
भन्छु त्यसपछि तिमी कथा पढ्न सुरु गर । यदि कथा	रोकिएमा अर्को शब्दमा
पढ्दा तिमीले नजानेको कुनै शब्द आएमा तिमी अर्को	जान सङ्केत गर्नुहोस् ।
शब्द पढ्न सक्छौ । तिम्रो औँला पहिलो शब्दमा राख त ।	
राख्यौ ? राख्यौ भने ल अब पढ्न सुरु गर । "सुरु"	यदि विद्यार्थीले पाठको
	पहिलो हरफबाट कुनै पनि
रमा विद्यालयबाट घर फर्किदै थिइन् । उनले पसलमा	शब्दहरू सही रूपमा
मानिसहरूको भिड देखिन् । भिडमा साथीहरूले	नपढेमा बोधसँग
बालकथाको किताब किनेको देखिन् । रमा घरमा पुगिन् ।	सम्बन्धित कुनै पनि
उनले आमालाई बालकथाको किताब किनिदिन भनिन् ।	प्रश्नहरू नसोध्नुहोला ।
आमाले किताब किनिदिनु भयो । रमाले कथाको किताब	
पढेर आमालाई सुनाइन् । शुक्रबार विद्यालयमा कथा	💖 यदि विद्यार्थीले आफूले
भन्ने प्रतियोगिता भयो । त्यो प्रतियोगितामा रमा प्रथम	नजानेको बताएमा
भइन् । आफू प्रथम भएको कुरा आमालाई सुनाइन् ।	त्यसलाई उत्तर नदिएको
आमाले खुसी भएर अर्को किताब पनि किनिदिनु भयो ।	भनी चिनो (√) लगाउनुहोस्
1 J	
	1
	1
विद्यार्थीले पढेकामध्ये गलत शब्दलाई "/" चिहन	। यदि विद्यार्थीले ३
	। यदि विद्यार्थीले ३ मिनेटसम्ममा पनि पठन
विद्यार्थीले पढेकामध्ये गलत शब्दलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् ।	मिनेटसम्ममा पनि पठन कार्य परा गर्न सकेन भने
विद्यार्थीले पढेकामध्ये गलत शब्दलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । यदि तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत "/" चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरू	मिनेटसम्ममा पनि पठन कार्य परा गर्न सकेन भने
विद्यार्थीले पढेकामध्ये गलत शब्दलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् ।	मिनेटसम्ममा पनि पठन कार्य पूरा गर्न सकेन भने
विद्यार्थीले पढेकामध्ये गलत शब्दलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । यदि तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत "/" चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरू	मिनेटसम्ममा पनि पठन कार्य पूरा गर्न सकेन भने विद्यार्थीलाई धन्यवाद
विद्यार्थीले पढेकामध्ये गलत शब्दलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । यदि तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत "/" चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरू सच्याउनु परेमा सर्कल "Ø" गर्नुहोस् ।	मिनेटसम्ममा पनि पठन कार्य पूरा गर्न सकेन भने विद्यार्थीलाई धन्यवाद दिई उक्त कार्य बन्द
विद्यार्थीले पढेकामध्ये गलत शब्दलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । यदि तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत "/" चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरू सच्याउनु परेमा सर्कल "Ø" गर्नुहोस् । विद्यार्थीले ६० सेकेन्डसम्म कथा पढ्न सकेन भने पढेका अन्तिम शब्दपछि "]" चिहन दिनुहोस् ।	मिनेटसम्ममा पनि पठन कार्य पूरा गर्न सकेन भने विद्यार्थीलाई धन्यवाद दिई उक्त कार्य बन्द गर्नुहोस् । त्यसपछि
विद्यार्थीले पढेकामध्ये गलत शब्दलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । यदि तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत "/" चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरू सच्याउनु परेमा सर्कल "Ø" गर्नुहोस् । विद्यार्थीले ६० सेकेन्डसम्म कथा पढ्न सकेन भने पढेका अन्तिम शब्दपछि "]" चिहन दिनुहोस् । ६० सेकेन्डसम्ममा पढेको भए अन्तिम शब्दपछि "]"	मिनेटसम्ममा पनि पठन कार्य पूरा गर्न सकेन भने विद्यार्थीलाई धन्यवाद दिई उक्त कार्य बन्द गर्नुहोस् । त्यसपछि विद्यार्थीले पढिसकेको अंशबाट मात्र बोध प्रश्नहरू
विद्यार्थीले पढेकामध्ये गलत शब्दलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । यदि तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत "/" चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरू सच्याउनु परेमा सर्कल "Ø" गर्नुहोस् । विद्यार्थीले ६० सेकेन्डसम्म कथा पढ्न सकेन भने पढेका अन्तिम शब्दपछि "]" चिहन दिनुहोस् ।	मिनेटसम्ममा पनि पठन कार्य पूरा गर्न सकेन भने विद्यार्थीलाई धन्यवाद दिई उक्त कार्य बन्द गर्नुहोस् । त्यसपछि विद्यार्थीले पढिसकेको
विद्यार्थीले पढेकामध्ये गलत शब्दलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । यदि तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत "/" चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरू सच्याउनु परेमा सर्कल " Ø" गर्नुहोस् । विद्यार्थीले ६० सेकेन्डसम्म कथा पढ्न सकेन भने पढेका अन्तिम शब्दपछि "]" चिहन दिनुहोस् । ६० सेकेन्डसम्ममा पढेको भए अन्तिम शब्दपछि "]" चिहन दिनुहोस् ।	मिनेटसम्ममा पनि पठन कार्य पूरा गर्न सकेन भने विद्यार्थीलाई धन्यवाद दिई उक्त कार्य बन्द गर्नुहोस् । त्यसपछि विद्यार्थीले पढिसकेको अंशबाट मात्र बोध प्रश्नहरू
विद्यार्थीले पढेकामध्ये गलत शब्दलाई "/" चिहन लगाउनुहोस् । यदि तपाईंले अगाडि नै गलत "/" चिहन लगाएका शब्दहरू सच्याउनु परेमा सर्कल "Ø" गर्नुहोस् । विद्यार्थीले ६० सेकेन्डसम्म कथा पढ्न सकेन भने पढेका अन्तिम शब्दपछि "]" चिहन दिनुहोस् । ६० सेकेन्डसम्ममा पढेको भए अन्तिम शब्दपछि "]"	मिनेटसम्ममा पनि पठन कार्य पूरा गर्न सकेन भने विद्यार्थीलाई धन्यवाद दिई उक्त कार्य बन्द गर्नुहोस् । त्यसपछि विद्यार्थीले पढिसकेको अंशबाट मात्र बोध प्रश्नहरू

र विद्यार्थीले पूरा कथा पढ्न लगाएको समयलाई टिपोट गर्नुहोस् ।	
यदि विद्यार्थीले ६० सेकेन्डभित्रमा कथा पढिसक्छ भने बाँकी रहेको समय टिपोट गर्नुहोस् ।	

उप-खण्ड ५ (ख)ः पठन बोधका लागि परीक्षकलाई निर्देशन

विद्यार्थीले कथा पढिसकेपछि उसलाई दिइएको अनुच्छेदको पाना लिनुहोस् । विद्यार्थीलाई उसले पढेको कथासंग सम्बन्धित प्रश्नहरू मात्र सोध्नुहोस् । विद्यार्थीले १८० सेकेन्ड (३ मिनेट) भित्रमा जति कथा पढिसक्छ त्यहाँ सम्मका प्रश्नहरू मात्र सोध्नुहोस् । तर प्रश्न नदोहोर्याउनुहोस् ।

यदि विद्यार्थीले १० सेकेन्डसम्म पनि सोधिएको प्रश्नको उत्तर नदिएमा उत्तर नदिएको भनी चिनो (•) लगाउनुहोस् । त्यसपछि अर्को प्रश्न सोध्नुहोस् ।

अब म तिमीलाई तिमीले भर्खर पढेको कथाबाट केही प्रश्नहरू सोध्ने छु । प्रश्नहरूको उत्तर सकेसम्म सही दिने प्रयास गर ।

(√) १ = ठिक	
($$)) = बेठिक	
(√) ◆ = उत्तर नदिएको	

प्रश्नहरूः	ठिक (१)	बेठिक (०)	उत्तर नदिएको] -
			<u>+_</u>
१) रमा कहाँबाट घर फर्किदै थिइन् ?			
उत्तर : विद्यालयबाट			
२) उनका साथीहरूले के किनेका थिए ?			
उत्तरः बाल कथाको किताब			
३) रमाले कथा पढेर कसलाई सुनाइन् ?			
उत्तरः आमालाई			

४) रमाको विद्यालयमा केको प्रतियोगिता		
भयो ?		
उत्तरः कथा वाचन		
७) आमाले रमालाई किन अर्को किताब		
किनिदिनु भयो ?		
उत्तरः रमा प्रथम भएकीले		

* धेरै राम्रो प्रयास, धन्यवाद ! हाम्रो काम सकियो । अब जाऊ है ।

B: Qualitative Tools

Baseline Study-USDA McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme (FY20)

KII with MOEST/CEHRD Officials

Name:	Date:
Position:	Start time:
Institution:	End time:
Province:	
District:	
Municipality:	
Ward/ Old VDC name:	

Location:

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
1. Gen	eral Perception about overall st	atus of education
1	Could you please shed some lights on the overall situation of primary education in Karnali and Sudur Paschim Provinces?	 We would like to understand your perspective on the status of primary education at the national level. Is the situation different for Karnali and Sudhur Paschim Province? How? What are the key problems in education standards in this region? [Probe points: General overview of attendance and enrolment in schools; How is it varied across gender?] What is your perspective on the type of infrastructure present in the basic grade schools in Karnali and Sudhur Paschim? Do you feel that the infrastructure provided to schools is adequate or needs to be improved? If so, what are the gaps that you feel are there? [Probe points: What are the sanitation measures (WASH) being provided and if those are sufficient?]
2	What is your understanding of the structural changes brought about by the SMP?	 How do you think has the situation changed over the last three years? Why do you feel there has been a change and what has led to it? GoN has scaled up the NSMP in 71 districts of Nepal. Has the introduction of NSMP (cash based) affected the enrollment, attendance and dropout and repetition rates? How the USDA McDole FFECN program has contributed?
3	What is your understanding of the program and its activities?	 What is your understanding on the Government of Nepal's School Meal Programme? It will also be helpful if you could share your perspective on the USDA McGovern Dole FFECN programme? Do you feel that there is a need for intervention such as McGovern Dole FFECN? Do you think the intervention supports the already existing initiative on SMP? [Probe points: What are individual features? What are the synergies and linkages between them? What are the mechanisms of provision of school meals? How are the provisions linked?]

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions		
		• To what extent does the programme complement other		
		donor-funded initiatives and Nepal government		
		programs? (Probe: presence of similar interventions in		
		the region, how has the program added value without		
		duplicating the efforts of similar interventions)		
		• Have there been any other interventions in the past that		
		have contributed to achieving the outcomes ⁵¹ ?		
		• What is your take on the anticipated outreach of the		
		programme? Do you feel that the intervention will		
		adequately be able to reach out to the intended		
		beneficiaries? With respect to reaching out to the		
		beneficiaries, are there any gaps that need to be urgently		
		addressed? (Probe: Demographic, geographic and gender		
		outreach of the program; Gaps in the target outreach;		
		 suggestions for increasing outreach) What do you think the contribution of the intervention on 		
		the equity strategies for school sector developed by		
		Ministry of Education, Science and Technology?		
		What do you think are some of the key anticipated effects		
		on other aspects of inclusion with regards to programme		
		impacts on diverse caste and ethnicity particularly on		
		marginalized Dalits, Janajati and children from poor		
		economic status?		
4	What is your view on the	• How the SMP has been reflected in the new education		
	Program design?	sector development plan?		
		What are some of the areas that the USDA McGovern Dole		
		FFECN FY20 program cycle should focus on to ensure		
		sustainability (particularly following the completion of the program)?		
		What is your perception about the overall program		
		design? [further probes: benefits to the intended		
		beneficiaries; stakeholders' coordination, collaboration		
		and engagement; monitoring and evaluation; and		
		capacity strengthening (focus: education, nutrition,		
		hygiene components, and management, national school		
		meals programs and contextualize national policy to local		
		need)]		
	cipated Challenges			
5		challenges do you anticipate in Implementation of the USDA		
		ogram cycle (coordination among various stakeholders,		
		ultural/religious barriers, terrain, school infrastructure, safety		
	and security of the staff and any other) What are the ways to tackle the barriers, if any?			
3 COV	ID-19 and its effects	Darners, ii dily?		
-3. COV	D-19 and its effects			

⁵¹ Increased enrollment and reduced dropout rates; improved dietary diversity; improved healthy knowledge, attitudes and behaviors among the students; improved learning and teaching environment; strengthened capacity of local and provincial levels of governments; strengthened capacity of local government and schools to procure foods independently and sustainably; improved diversification of menu diversified for improved nutrition; food safety promoted and improved understanding of handling, preparation, and storage of commodities; and strengthened capacity of local and provincial levels to tackle the challenges associated with shift to federalism.

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
6	Could you please tell us about effects of the COVID-19 pandemic?	 What has been the impact of COVID-19 on the community in the intervention regions? How has the pandemic affected the education status in the region? Who (students, or school staff) are the worst affected by the pandemic? How has the pandemic affected students' learning and development? Are there policy initiatives to encourage alternative learning arrangements? [Probe: impact of School closure on children, Economic impact on households; changes in household employment patterns] How has the Covid and covid related school closure affected the outcome of interest? (Literacy achievement and health and nutrition status of school aged children) Probe: further learning loss due to Covid related school closure
4. Com	plaints and Feedback Mechanis	im l
7	How is MOEST/CEHRD managing school level community (complaints) and feedbacks mechanism?	 What mechanisms are set up by MoEST/CEHRD? Are they active? How are the complaints and feedbacks managed? Are you aware of WFP's community feedback mechanism?
5. Sugg	gestions	
8	Do you have any suggestions in relation to the program?	 This is the last cycle of the USDA, McDole programme, after this cycle, the program will be handed over to government, what process should be followed for this and what would be the role for WFP and its implementing partners for successful transition? Are there any suggestions you would want to provide for smooth execution of the program?
	Any questions before concluding the interview	

Thank you for your time.

Baseline Study-USDA McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme (FY21)

KII with Food for Education Project (FFEP) Official

Name:	Date:
Position:	Start time:
Institution:	End time:
Province:	
District:	
Municipality:	
Ward/ Old VDC name:	
Location:	

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
1	General Perception abou	t overall status of education
1	Could you please shed some lights on the overall situation of primary education in Karnali and Sudur Paschim Provinces?	 We would like to understand your perspective on the status of primary education at the national level. Is the situation different for Karnali and Sudhur Paschim Province? How? What are the key problems in education standards in this region? [Probe points: General overview of attendance and enrolment in schools; How is it varied across gender?] What is your perspective on the type of infrastructure present in the basic grade schools in Karnali and Sudhur Paschim? Do you feel that the infrastructure provided to schools is adequate or needs to be improved? If so, what are the gaps that you feel are there? [Probe points: What are the sanitation measures (WASH) being provided and if those are sufficient?]
2	What is your understanding of the structural changes brought about by the SMP?	• How do you think has the situation changed over the last three years? Why do you feel there has been a change and what has led to it? GoN has scaled up the NSMP in 71 districts of Nepal. Has the introduction of NSMP (cash based) affected the enrollment, attendance and dropout and repetition rates? How the USDA McDole FFECN program has contributed?
3	What is your understanding of the program and its activities ?	 What is your understanding on the Government of Nepal's School Meal Programme? It will also be helpful if you could share your perspective on the USDA McGovern Dole FFECN programme? Do you feel that there is a need for intervention such as McGovern Dole FFECN? Do you think the intervention supports the already existing initiative on SMP? [Probe points: What are individual features? What are the synergies and linkages between them? What are the mechanisms of provision of school meals? How are the provisions linked?]

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
	What is your view on the Program design?	 To what extent does the programme complement other donor-funded initiatives and Nepal government programs? (Probe: presence of similar interventions in the region, how has the program added value without duplicating the efforts of similar interventions) Have there been any interventions in the past that have contributed to achieving the outcomes⁵²? What is your take on the anticipated outreach of the programme? Do you feel that the intervention will adequately be able to reach out to the intended beneficiaries? With respect to reaching out to the beneficiaries, are there any gaps that need to be urgently addressed? (Probe: Demographic, geographic and gender outreach of the program; Gaps in the target outreach; suggestions for increasing outreach) What do you think the contribution of the intervention on the equity strategies for school sector developed by Ministry of Education, Science and Technology? What do you think are some of the key anticipated effects on other aspects of inclusion with regards to programme impacts on diverse caste and ethnicity particularly on marginalized Dalits, Janajati and children from poor economic status? How the SMP has been reflected in the new education sector development plan? What are some of the areas that the USDA McGovern Dole FFECN FY20 program cycle should focus on to ensure sustainability (particularly following the completion of the program)? What is your perception about the overall program design? [further probes: benefits to the intended beneficiaries; stakeholders' coordination, collaboration and engagement; monitoring and evaluation; and capacity strengthening (focus: education, nutrition, hygiene components, and management, national school meals programs and contextualize national
3 Antio	ipated Challenges	policy to local need)]
	What are some of the potential challenges do you anticipate in Implementation of the USDA McGovern Dole FFECN FY20 program cycle (coordination among various stakeholders, community challenges, socio-cultural/religious barriers, terrain, school infrastructure, safety and security of the staff and any other) What are the ways to tackle the barriers, if any? COVID-19 and its effects	
	Could you please tell us about effects of the COVID-19 pandemic?	What has been the impact of COVID-19 on the community in the intervention regions? How has the pandemic affected the education status in the region? Who (students, or school staff) are the worst affected by the pandemic? How has the pandemic affected students' learning and development? Are there policy initiatives to encourage alternative learning arrangements?

⁵² Increased enrollment and reduced dropout rates; improved dietary diversity; improved healthy knowledge, attitudes and behaviors among the students; improved learning and teaching environment; strengthened capacity of local and provincial levels of governments; strengthened capacity of local government and schools to procure foods independently and sustainably; improved diversification of menu diversified for improved nutrition; food safety promoted and improved understanding of handling, preparation, and storage of commodities; and strengthened capacity of local and provincial levels to tackle the challenges associated with shift to federalism.

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
		 [Probe: impact of School closure on children, Economic impact on households; changes in household employment patterns] How has the Covid and covid related school closure affected the outcome of interest? (Literacy achievement and health and nutrition status of school aged children) Probe: further learning loss due to Covid related school closure.
5 Com	plaints and Feedback Mech	nanism
	How is MOEST/CEHRD managing school level community (complaints) and feedbacks mechanism?	 What mechanisms are set up by MoEST/CEHRD? Are they active? How are the complaints and feedbacks managed? Are you aware of WFP's community feedback mechanism?
6 Sugg	estions	
	Do you have any suggestions in relation to the program?	 This is the last cycle of the USDA, McDole programme, after this cycle, the program will be handed over to government, what process should be followed for this and what would be the role for WFP and its implementing partners for successful transition? Are there any suggestions you would want to provide for smooth execution of the program?
	Any questions before concluding the interview	

Thank you for your time.

Baseline Study-USDA McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme (FY20)

KII with Provincial Level Government Officials

Name:	Date:
Position:	Start time:
Institution:	End time:
Province:	
District:	
Municipality:	
Ward/ Old VDC name:	

Location:

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
1. Gen	eral Perception about overal	l status of education
1	Could you please shed some lights on the overall situation of primary education in your Province?	 What is your view on attendance, enrollment, dropouts and repetitions? (in addition, focus on barriers and facilitators in relation to attendance, enrollment, dropouts and repetitions). How does the situation of primary education differ from another Province? Does the situation of primary education vary in terms of districts in the Province? Please elaborate. What is your perspective on the type of infrastructure present in the basic grade schools in Karnali and Sudhur Paschim? Do you feel that the infrastructure provided to schools is adequate or needs to be improved? If so, what are the gaps that you feel are there? [Probe points: What are the sanitation measures (WASH) being provided and if those are sufficient?] Do you think the structural changes will influence the execution of the project? Please elaborate. have effects on the project? What do you think will be the effects?
2. Prog	ram	
2	Are you aware of the USDA McGD FFECN program? If no, have you heard about WFP's school meals program (<i>Vishwo Khadya</i> <i>Karyakram, litho pitho</i> <i>karyakram</i>)?	 What is your understanding on the Government of Nepal's School Meal Programme? It will also be helpful if you could share your perspective on the USDA McGovern Dole FFECN programme? Do you feel that there is a need for intervention such as McGovern Dole FFECN? Do you think the intervention supports the already existing initiative on SMP? [Probe points: What are individual features? What are the synergies and linkages between them? What are the mechanisms of provision of school meals? How are the provisions linked?] To what extent does the programme complement other donor-funded initiatives and Nepal government programs? (Probe: presence of similar interventions in the region, how has the program added value without duplicating the efforts of similar interventions) What is your take on the anticipated outreach of the programme? Do you feel that the intervention will adequately

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
3 Anti	cipated Challenges	 be able to reach out to the intended beneficiaries? With respect to reaching out to the beneficiaries, are there any gaps that need to be urgently addressed? (Probe: Demographic, geographic and gender outreach of the program; Gaps in the target outreach; suggestions for increasing outreach) What do you think the contribution of the intervention on the equity strategies for school sector developed by Ministry of Education, Science and Technology? What do you think are some of the key anticipated effects on other aspects of inclusion with regards to programme impacts on diverse caste and ethnicity particularly on marginalized Dalits, Janajati and children from poor economic status?
3. Antri		ential challenges do you anticipate in execution of the program
5	(coordination among variou	us stakeholders, community challenges, socio-cultural/ religious structure, safety and security of the staff and any other)
4. COV	ID-19 and its effects	
4	Could you please tell us about effects of the COVID- 19 pandemic?	 What has been the impact of COVID-19 on the community in the intervention regions? How has the pandemic affected the education status in the region? Who (students, or school staff) are the worst affected by the pandemic? How has the pandemic affected students' learning and development? Are there policy initiatives to encourage alternative learning arrangements? [Probe: impact of School closure on children, Economic impact on households; changes in household employment patterns]
5. Com	plaints and Feedback Mecha	nism
5	How is Provincial office managing school level community (complaints) and feedback mechanism?	 What mechanisms are set up by Provincial office, if any? Are they active? How are the complaints and feedbacks managed? Are you aware of WFP's community feedback mechanism? How would you like to collaborate for establishing joint community feedback mechanism to support smooth execution of this programme?
	gestions	
6	Do you have any suggestions in relation to the program?	 Are there any suggestions you would want to provide for smooth execution of the program?
	Any questions before concluding the interview	

Thank you for your time.

Baseline Study-USDA McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme (FY20)

KII with EDCU Officials

Name:	Date:
Position:	Start time:
Institution:	End time:
Province:	
District:	
Municipality:	
Ward/Old VDC name:	
Location:	

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
	Perception about overall	
1	Could you please shed some lights on the overall situation of primary education in Karnali and Sudur Paschim Provinces?	 We would like to understand your perspective on the status of primary education at the national level. Is the situation different for Karnali and Sudhur Paschim Province? How? What are the key problems in education standards in this region? [Probe points: General overview of attendance and enrolment in schools; How is it varied across gender?] What is your perspective on the type of infrastructure present in the basic grade schools in Karnali and Sudhur Paschim? Do you feel that the infrastructure provided to schools is adequate or needs to be improved? If so, what are the gaps that you feel are there? [Probe points: What are the sanitation measures (WASH) being provided and if those are sufficient?]
2	What is your understanding of the structural changes brought about by the SMP?	 How do you think has the situation changed over the last three years? Why do you feel there has been a change and what has led to it? GoN has scaled up the NSMP in 71 districts of Nepal. Has the introduction of NSMP (cash based) affected the enrollment, attendance and dropout and repetition rates? How the USDA McDole FFECN program has contributed?
3	What is your understanding of the program and its activities?	 What is your understanding on the Government of Nepal's School Meal Programme? It will also be helpful if you could share your perspective on the USDA McGovern Dole FFECN programme? Do you feel that there is a need for intervention such as McGovern Dole FFECN? Do you think the intervention supports the already existing initiative on SMP? [Probe points: What are individual features? What are the synergies and linkages between them? What are the mechanisms of provision of school meals? How are the provisions linked?] To what extent does the programme complement other donor-funded initiatives and Nepal government programs? (Probe: presence of similar interventions in the region, how has the

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
		program added value without duplicating the efforts of similar
		interventions)
		• Have there been any interventions in the past that have
		contributed to achieving the outcomes ⁵³ ?
		• What is your take on the anticipated outreach of the
		programme? Do you feel that the intervention will adequately
		be able to reach out to the intended beneficiaries? With respect
		to reaching out to the beneficiaries, are there any gaps that
		need to be urgently addressed? (Probe: Demographic,
		geographic and gender outreach of the program; Gaps in the
		target outreach; suggestions for increasing outreach)
		• What do you think the contribution of the intervention on the
		equity strategies for school sector developed by Ministry of
		Education, Science and Technology?
		• What do you think are some of the key anticipated effects on
		other aspects of inclusion with regards to programme impacts
		on diverse caste and ethnicity particularly on marginalized
		Dalits, <i>Janajati</i> and children from poor economic status?
	What is your view on	How the SMP has been reflected in the new education sector
	the Program design?	development plan?
		• What are some of the areas that the USDA McGovern Dole
		FFECN FY20 program cycle should focus on to ensure
		sustainability (particularly following the completion of the
		program)?
		• What is your perception about the overall program design?
		[further probes: benefits to the intended beneficiaries;
		stakeholders' coordination, collaboration and engagement;
		monitoring and evaluation; and capacity strengthening (focus:
		education, nutrition, hygiene components, and management,
		national school meals programs and contextualize national
		policy to local need)]
2. Anticipa	ted Challenges	
3	What are some of the po	tential challenges do you anticipate in Implementation of the USDA
	McGovern Dole FFECN	FY20 program cycle (coordination among various stakeholders,
	community challenges, se	ocio-cultural/religious barriers, terrain, school infrastructure, safety
	and security of the staff a	nd any other)
	What are the ways to tack	le the barriers, if any?
3. COVID-1	9 and its effects	
4	Could you please tell us	
	about effects of the	the intervention regions? How has the pandemic affected the
	COVID-19 pandemic?	education status in the region? Who (students, or school staff)
		are the worst affected by the pandemic? How has the
		pandemic affected students' learning and development? Are
		there policy initiatives to encourage alternative learning
		arrangements? [Probe: impact of School closure on children,
		Economic impact on households; changes in household
		employment patterns]

⁵³ Increased enrollment and reduced dropout rates; improved dietary diversity; improved healthy knowledge, attitudes and behaviors among the students; improved learning and teaching environment; strengthened capacity of local and provincial levels of governments; strengthened capacity of local government and schools to procure foods independently and sustainably; improved diversification of menu diversified for improved nutrition; food safety promoted and improved understanding of handling, preparation, and storage of commodities; and strengthened capacity of local and provincial levels to tackle the challenges associated with shift to federalism.

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
		 How has the Covid and covid related school closure affected the outcome of interest? (Literacy achievement and health and nutrition status of school aged children) Probe: further learning loss due to Covid related school closure
4. Complai	nts and Feedback Mechan	ism
5	How is MOEST/CEHRD managing school level community (complaints) and feedbacks mechanism?	 What mechanisms are set up by MoEST/CEHRD? Are they active? How are the complaints and feedbacks managed? Are you aware of WFP's community feedback mechanism?
5. Suggesti	ions	
6	Do you have any suggestions in relation to the program?	 This is the last cycle of the USDA, McDole programme, after this cycle, the program will be handed over to government, what process should be followed for this and what would be the role for WFP and its implementing partners for successful transition? Are there any suggestions you would want to provide for smooth execution of the program?
	Any questions before	
	concluding the interview	

Thank you for your time.

In the past that has contributed to the outcome or in your opinion what other factors /programs have contributed to the program outcome?

contributed to the program outcome.			
Increased enrollment and reduced dropout	Improved dietary diversity.		
rates.			
1865.			
Improved healthy knowledge, attitudes and behaviors among the students.			
Improved learning and teaching environment.	Strengthened capacity of local and provincial levels of		
	governments.		
	governments.		
Strengthened capacity of local government and	Improved diversification of menu diversified for		
schools to procure foods independently and	improved nutrition.		
	improved huthtion.		
sustainably.			
Food safety promoted and improved understanding of handling, preparation, and storage of			
commodities.			
Strengthened capacity of local and provincial levels to tackle the challenges associated with shift to			
federalism.			
commodities. Strengthened capacity of local and provincial levels to tackle the challenges associated with shift to			

Baseline Study-USDA McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Programme (FY20)

Kll with Municipality Officials

Name:	Date:
Position:	Start time:
Institution:	End time:
Province:	
District:	
Municipality: Type of Municipality: New, continuing	
Ward/ Old VDC name:	
Location:	

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions	
1. Gene	1. General Perception about overall status of education		
1	Could you please shed some lights on the overall situation of primary education in your Municipality?	• What is your view on attendance, enrollment, dropouts and repetitions situation in your municipality? (in addition, focus on barriers and facilitators in relation to attendance, enrollment, dropouts and repetitions), We need to probe for general barriers at the municipality as well as specific barriers by gender, caste/ethnicity, different socio economic groups.	
		 What are your views on attendance and enrollment from GESI perspective? [follow up questions: What is the ratio of boys and girls on enrollment, attendance and dropout trends? If negative, how can the situation be improved? [How is the trend on enrollment, attendance and dropout from diverse caste and ethnicity (Brahmin/Chhetri, Dalits, Janajatis, Madhesis etc.) perspectives? If negative, how can the situation be improved?] In your opinion, what do you about the participation of school age children in education system by different social and economic groups of your municipality? Is it the same among the marginalized caste/ethnic groups? Does your municipality have local education plan? If yes, how and when was it developed? Can you please elaborate some of the priorities of the education plan. If education plan is not developed, probe for reasons. What is the mother tongue used by majority in this municipality? Do you think that different mother tongue will affect the learning of early grade children? Is there any mechanism to support the 	
		 children in school with different mother tongues? What is your perception about school infrastructure (WASH facilities including girl's separate toilets, disabled friendliness, classrooms, playfields, school buildings in general etc.)? 	
2. Program			
--	--		
 Are you aware of the USDA McGD FFECN program implemented by WFP and its implementing partners? If no, have you heard about WFP's school meals program (<i>Vishwo Khadya Karyakram, litho pitho karyakram</i>)? Anticipated Challenges 	 If yes, what are some of the program activities? Do you think the activities are beneficial to the students and community in general, if yes how (further investigate: Mid- day meal, school health and nutrition/WaSH, early grade reading activities)? How relevant do you think is the program particularly in terms of alignment with the government's policies/ initiatives (education policy, school meal implementation guideline, school health and nutrition strategy)? (<i>follow up probes</i>: midday meal, EGR, SHN/WASH, nutrition among others]. Have there been any interventions in the past that have contributed to achieving the outcomes⁵⁴? What is your opinion that the program builds on the need of the community? What is your opinion about the inclusion and coverage of programme in terms of gender, caste/ethnicity and different socio-economic groups? Were you engaged in designing process of the program with implementing agencies (WE, IDS)? What were some of your roles? What is the existing practice for regular coordination for planning, monitoring and feedback of the program activities. What should be done to have better collaboration with the WFP and its local partners? Do you expect your capacities enhanced due to the program? How? What are some of the key areas that should be taken into account while building Municipality officials' capacity? What is your opinion about the ownership of the program among different stakeholders? How do you think the ownership program will be ensured? What will be the role of local government for effective implementation of the program? What will be the role of local government for the sustainability of the program? How do you think the changed structure (shift to federalism) will value add for effective implementation of the program? How do you municipality received any other interventions from others including the government other than the WFP? If yes, how these interven		
3. Anticipated Challenges	notantial challenges do you antisingto in everytical of the		
(ownership, coordinatio			

⁵⁴ Increased enrollment and reduced dropout rates; improved dietary diversity; improved healthy knowledge, attitudes and behaviors among the students; improved learning and teaching environment; strengthened capacity of local and provincial levels of governments; strengthened capacity of local government and schools to procure foods independently and sustainably; improved diversification of menu diversified for improved nutrition; food safety promoted and improved understanding of handling, preparation, and storage of commodities; and strengthened capacity of local and provincial levels to tackle the challenges associated with shift to federalism.

4. COV	/ID-19 and its effects	
4	Could you please tell us	• What do you think are some of the effects of COVID-19 on the
	about effects of the	education of children in your municipality?
	COVID-19 pandemic?	• What activities were done by the municipality to overcome the
		challenges in education of school age children posed by the
		COVID.in the municipality?
		• Due to school closure, the students were deceived of school health
		and nutrition activities provided through school, (e.g. awareness on
		personal hygiene and sanitation, menstural hygiene, deworming,
		IFA), what do you think are some of its effects. Was there any
		activity initiated by local government to supplement these activities
		during school closure?
		• Due to school closure, the students were deceived of hot cooked
		meals provided through school, though take home rations were
		provided. What do you think about some of its effect? Was there
		·
		any activity initiated by local government to complement?
		• What are other effects of COVID-19?: (Other probes: i) school
		closure on children; ii) economic effects on households; iii)changes
		in household employment patterns: and iv) health and nutrition
		status)
		• How has the Covid and covid related school closure affected the
		outcome of interest? (Literacy achievement and health and
		nutrition status of school aged children) Probe: further learning
		loss due to Covid related school closure
		• What do you think are some of the anticipated effects of pandemic
		on the program?
		• What can be done at the municipal level to tackle the challenges
		that may surface due to COVID pandemic.
5. Com	plaints and Feedback Me	thanism
5	How is Municipal office	• Are you aware of national complaint and feedback guideline (u'gf;f]
_	managing school level	;'GjfO{ sfo{ljlw
	community (complaints)	 How is its implementation status at your municipality?
	and feedback	 What mechanisms are set up by Municipal office, if any? Are they
	mechanism?	active?
		How are the complaints and feedbacks managed? Are your aware of WEP's complaint and feedback mechanism
		• Are you aware of WFP's complaint and feedback mechanism
		(Namaste WFP)?
		How would you like to collaborate for establishing joint community
		feedback mechanism to support smooth execution of this
		programme?
	tional Questions	
6 a	Does your municipality	• If yes to 6 a, could you please elaborate on the process?
	recognize and reward teachers?	• what do you think are the effects of students' reading outcomes
		achievements?
	Has your municipality	• If yes to 6 b, could you please elaborate on the process? How it is
6 b	developed	done? Who is engaged in the process?
	contextualized	
	instruction materials?	

7. Suggestions		
7.	Do you have any suggestions in relation to the program?	 This is the last cycle of the USDA, McDole programme, after this cycle, the program will be handed over to government, how can this be done successfully? Are there any suggestions you would want to provide for smooth execution of the program?
	Any questions before concluding the interview	

Have your school or municipality or district received any other interventions from others including government besides WFP and its partners that might have contributed to the outcome of interest? If yes how have they contributed.

KII with SMC Members

Name:	Date:
Position:	Start time:
Institution:	End time:
Province:	
District:	
Municipality:	
Ward/ Old VDC name:	
Location:	

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
1. Gen	eral Perception about	overall status of education
1	Could you please shed some lights on the overall situation of primary education in the school that you represent?	 We would like to understand your perspective on the status of primary education at the national level. Is the situation different for Karnali and Sudhur Paschim Province? How? What are the key problems in education standards in this region? [Probe points: General overview of attendance and enrolment in schools; How is it varied across gender?] What is your perspective on the type of infrastructure present in the basic grade schools in Karnali and Sudhur Paschim? Do you feel that the infrastructure provided to schools is adequate or needs to be improved? If so, what are the gaps that you feel are there? [Probe points: What are the sanitation measures (WASH) being provided and if those are sufficient?]
2. Prog	ram Design	
2	Are you aware of the USDA McGD FFECN program? If no, have you heard about WFP's school meals program (Vishwo Khadya Karyakram, litho pitho karyakram)?	 Are you aware about the complementary activities of the school meal programme (school health and nutrition or WASH, Early Grade Reading). If yes, what do you think are some of the benefits of the programme? (Improvements in terms of menstrual hygiene awareness and separate toilets for girls and boys) What is your opinion about the contribution of school meals program including different complementary activities in improving the enrollment, attendance, retention, literacy performance of the students, improving health and hygiene practices, etc.? Have you seen any gaps? How can it be further strengthened? Are you engaged in monitoring of the school meals program including the complementary activities? If yes, please elaborate. If no, please specify the reasons. What are some of the benefits on WASH activity? (further probes: handwashing practices, clean toilets, availability of disposable bins, washing and drying station and any other)

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
		 What is your perception about the ownership of the program by local community? How do you think the sense of ownership be built among different local stakeholder including your committee of the program? What is your opinion about the sustainability of the program? How do you think the sustainability (focus on human and financial resources following completion of the program) of the program can be ensured? Is your school receiving any support from local government? If yes, can you please elaborate? What kind of further support do you expect from local government? What do you think are the factors that will motivate the GON (particularly at local level) to implement the program effectively)?
3. Prog	ram activities	
3	Could you please tell us about activities associated with the USDA McGD FFECN program?	 Are you aware of some of the key related program activities to be carried out? How do you think the community members will support to the program activities? What do you think are some of the key anticipated effects of program in terms of gender equality and women's empowerment (equal and meaningful participation of women in implementing the School Feeding program,) impact on the performance of girls, menstrual hygiene awareness and separate and toilets for girls and boys and children with disabilities) What do you think are some of the key anticipated effects on other aspects of inclusion with regards to programme impacts on diverse caste and ethnicity particularly on marginalized Dalits, Janajati and children from poor economic status? (caste/ethnicity and economic status) Have your municipality received any other interventions from others including the government other than the WFP? If yes, how these interventions contributed to the outcomes?
4. Anti	cipated Challenges	
4	What are some of t (coordination among v terrain, school infrastr What are the ways to t	he potential challenges do you anticipate in execution of the program various stakeholders, community challenges, socio-cultural/religious barriers, ructure, safety and security of the staff and any other) tackle the barriers, if any?
5. COV	D-19 and its effects	
5	Could you please tell us about effects of the COVID-19 pandemic?	 What has been the impact of COVID-19 on the community in the intervention regions? How has the pandemic affected the education status in the region? Who (students, or school staff) are the worst affected by the pandemic? How has the pandemic affected students' learning and development? Are there policy initiatives to encourage alternative learning arrangements? [Probe: impact of School closure on children, Economic impact on households; changes in household employment patterns] How has the Covid and covid related school closure affected the outcome of interest? (Literacy achievement and health and nutrition status of school aged children) Probe: further learning loss due to Covid related school closure) What will be role of SMC to tackle challenges that may surface to

6. Con	nplaints and Feedback	Mechanism
6	How is the SMC managing school complaints and feedback mechanism?	 Are you aware of national complaint and feedback guideline? How is its implementation status at your municipality? What mechanisms are set up by Municipal office, if any? Are they active? How are the complaints and feedbacks managed? Are you aware of WFP's complaint and feedback mechanism (Namaste WFP)? How would you like to collaborate for establishing joint community feedback mechanism to support smooth execution of the project?
7. Sug	gestions	
7	Do you have any suggestions in relation to the program?	• Are there any suggestions you would want to provide for smooth execution of the program?
	Any questions before concluding the interview	

KII with Program Implementers

Name:	Date:
Position:	Start time:
Institution:	End time:
Province:	
District:	
Municipality:	
Ward/ Old VDC name:	
Location:	

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
1. Gene	eral Perception about ov	erall status of education
1	Could you please shed some lights on the overall situation of primary education in your project location?	 What is your view on attendance, enrollment, dropouts and repetitions in the project location? (in addition, focus on barriers and facilitators in relation to attendance, enrollment, dropouts and repetitions) Do the boys and girls both attend school? If no, why do you think it is not the case? How does the local community perceive sending girls to schools? Do they feel it is necessary for girls to go to school? What is your perspective on the type of infrastructure present in the basic grade schools in Karnali and Sudhur Paschim? Do you feel that the infrastructure provided to schools is adequate or needs to be improved? If so, what are the gaps that you feel are there? [Probe points: What are the sanitation measures (WASH) being provided and if those are sufficient?]
2. Prog	ram Design	
2	Could you tell us about the USDA McGD FFECN program?	 Do you think the USDA McGD FFECN program supports the already existing government's national school meal program? Do you think effective partnership between WFP and GoN will be built during the course of implementation? Any anticipated challenges working with the GoN? What activities are carried out by WFP and other project implementers? Do the activities compliment and cohere (further investivation: duplication) What is your perception about the overall program design? [further probes: benefits to the intended beneficiaries; stakeholders' coordination, collaboration and engagement; monitoring and evaluation; and capacity strengthening (focus: education, nutrition, hygiene components, and management, national school meals programs and contextualize national policy to local need)] What will be the anticipated effects in changes of governance structure on each project component? (further investigation: policy

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
		level changes and implications, response of stakeholders/beneficiaries towards these changes, capacity of government institutions, policy framework, government support etc.)
	ram activities	
3	Could you please tell us about activities associated with the USDA McGD FFECN program?	 What are some of the activities do you think will work well? Are the activities consistent/in-line with the needs of the beneficiaries in the project location? Those not in-line, how do you think they can be improved? (further investigate: school meal, SHN/WASH, school infrastructure, early grade reading program etc.) Have there been any interventions in the past that have contributed to achieving the outcomes⁵⁵? In your opinion, do the activities address gender specific objectives (further investigate: equal participation by women in implementation of the School Feeding programme, improvements in enrolment and attendance rate for girls, impact on the performance of girls, improvements in terms of girl friendly learning spaces, menstrual hygiene awareness and separate toilets for girls and boys)
4	What is opinion	Only for WE & OLE:
	towards various	• Do you think the project will have effects on the teaching quality and
	project components?	 improved engagement of the teachers? [Further investigate: training to teachers, teaching methods, participation, effect on motivation of teachers, achievement of the planned literacy outputs and outcomes (targets), achievement of output and outcome targets?] Only for IDS: How do you feel about the effects of the project on the SHN/WASH habits and practices of school going children in the community? (Availability of toilets and water connection at schools, use of toilets at schools, practicing hand wash, increase in female student enrolment in classes 6-8, reduced absenteeism due to medical reasons) What are some of the direct and indirect impact of the project on the local community? [community perception/receptivity, more footfall in schools, change in mindsets of community towards education especially in case of girls, voluntary community involvement and responsibility in the program through SMCs FMCs and PTAs.) What is your perception about the overall program design? [further probes: benefits to the intended beneficiaries; stakeholders' coordination, collaboration and engagement; monitoring and evaluation; and capacity strengthening (focus: education, nutrition, hygiene components, and management, national school meals programs and contextualize national policy to local need)] For Mercy Corps What are some of the strategies included in the design that deal with the transition of kind-based to home-grown school feeding? [further probes: what has been done so far and what remains? What are

⁵⁵ Increased enrollment and reduced dropout rates; improved dietary diversity; improved healthy knowledge, attitudes and behaviors among the students; improved learning and teaching environment; strengthened capacity of local and provincial levels of governments; strengthened capacity of local government and schools to procure foods independently and sustainably; improved diversification of menu diversified for improved nutrition; food safety promoted and improved understanding of handling, preparation, and storage of commodities; and strengthened capacity of local and provincial levels to tackle the challenges associated with shift to federalism.

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
		some of the anticipated barriers and facilitators in relation to the transition (focus on policy and institutional barriers? How can the barriers be tackled? What factors will be most significant for successful implementation of the HGSF?)
4. Anti	icipated Challenges	
5	What are some of the (coordination among	potential challenges do you anticipate in execution of the program various stakeholders, community challenges, socio-cultural/religious infrastructure, safety and security of the staff and any other) ckle the barriers, if any?
6	Could you please tell us about effects of the COVID-19 pandemic?	 What has been the impact of COVID-19 on the community in the intervention regions? How has the pandemic affected the education status in the region? Who (students, or school staff) are the worst affected by the pandemic? How has the pandemic affected students' learning and development? Are there policy initiatives to encourage alternative learning arrangements? [Probe: impact of School closure on children, Economic impact on households; changes in household employment patterns] How has the Covid and covid related school closure affected the outcome of interest? (Literacy achievement and health and nutrition status of school aged children) Probe: further learning loss due to Covid related school closure
6. Com	plaints and Feedback Mo	
7	What are some of the complaints and feedback mechanisms that are established by the program?	 How are the complaints and feedback managed? How effective do you think is WFP's community feedback mechanism? How will it be used to ensure effective implementation?
7. Sug	gestions	
8	Do you have any suggestions in relation to the program?	• Are there any suggestions you would want to provide for smooth execution of the program?
	Any questions before concluding the interview	

KII with WFP Officials

Date:

Start time:

End time:

Name:
Position:
Institution:
Province:
District:
Municipality:
Ward/ Old VDC name:
Location:

Q.N. **Main Question Probe Questions** 1. General Perception about overall status of education 1 What is your view on attendance, enrollment, dropouts and Could you please shed some lights on the repetitions? (in addition, focus on barriers and facilitators in relation overall situation of to attendance, enrollment, dropouts and repetitions) primary education in What are your views on attendance and enrollment from GESI perspective? [follow up questions: What is the ratio of boys and girls Karnali and Sudur Paschim Provinces? on enrollment, attendance and dropout trends? If negative, how can the situation be improved? How is the trend on enrollment, attendance and dropout from diverse caste and ethnicity (Brahmin/Chhetri, Dalits, Janajatis, Madhesis etc.)] perspectives? If negative, how can it be improved?] What is the economic status of diverse group of children from caste/ethnicity perspective attending schools? Is it the same among the marginalized caste/ethnic groups? What is your perception about school infrastructure (WASH facilities including girl's separate toilets, disabled friendliness, classrooms, playfields, school buildings in general etc.)? What are some of the benefits of mid-day meal? (focus on its anticipated effects on education (enrollment, attentiveness, regularity, health, sanitation and nutritional benefits) What is your perception towards student performance and literacy? 2. Program Design 2 Could you tell us about Who were involved in designing the program (intended the USDA McGD FFECN beneficiaries, government officials, community leaders and any program? other) [to understand the level of consultations in development process]

	 In terms of relevance, do the program's goals and objectives align with the government's policies/initiatives? (focus on midday meal, food and cash transfer modality, GESI, WASH, nutrition among others)] Does the program include robust strategies in relation to stakeholders' coordination, collaboration and engagement; monitoring and evaluation; and capacity strengthening of the PNGOs? If yes, could you please elaborate? Are there clear strategies included in the design that ensure ownership and sustainability? What are those strategies, please elaborate? What is the motivation to implement the program within the GON at different levels? Are there clear strategies to tackle the challenges associated to changed structure (shift to federalism)? How do you think the challenges associated with changed structure (shift to federalism) be better tackled for effective implementation of the program? Are there any clear strategies in the design to tackle the challenges that may surface due to COVID pandemic?
	that may surface due to COVID pandemic?
3. Program activities	What are come of the key related activities to be corried with and
3 Could you please tell us about activities associated with the USDA McGD FFECN program?	 What are some of the key related activities to be carried out and modalities that will be adopted as a part of the execution? (take into account the changed structure; barriers and facilitators in executing activities; and tackling barriers) How do you think will the community members respond to the program activities? (anticipated responses) What do you think are some of the key anticipated effects of program in terms of gender equality and women's empowerment (equal and meaningful participation of women in implementing the School Feeding program, prevention and mitigation of any forms of protection risks against women and girls, etc) impact on the performance of girls, improvements in terms of girl friendly learning spaces, menstrual hygiene awareness and separate and disabled friendly toilets for girls and boys and children with disabilities) What do you think are some of the key anticipated effects on other aspects of inclusion with regards to programme impacts on diverse caste and ethnicity particularly on marginalized Dalits, Janajati and children from poor economic status? (caste/ethnicity and economic status) What do you think are anticipated effects on WASH? (further probes: handwashing practices, clean toilets, separate toilets for girls and boys, clean drinking water facilities, availability of disposable bins, its use and management particularly by girls students and any other) What are the effects of programme on menstrual health and hygiene? [Focus on small holders] What is your Opinion towards anticipated changes due to improved management farming practices? [improved farming techniques- tricho-composting and micro-irrigation technology for nutritious, locally suitable crops (vegetables, fruits, cereals, legumes and pulses). Use of climate-resilient improved varieties/adopted traditional improved varieties and micronutrients in the soil, linkages to input suppliers etc.] Do you anticipate any c

4.45	ticipated Challenges	• What are some of the strategies included in the design that deal with the transition of kind-based to home-grown school feeding? [further probes: what has been done so far and what remains? What are some of the anticipated barriers and facilitators in relation to the transition (focus on policy and institutional barriers? How can the barriers be tackled? What factors will be most significant for successful implementation of the HGSF?)
4. An		potential challenges do you anticipate in execution of the program
		various stakeholders, community challenges, socio-cultural/religious infrastructure, safety and security of the staff and any other)
	What are the ways to tac	:kle the barriers, if any?
5. CO	VID-19 and its effects	
5	Could you please tell us about effects of the COVID-19 pandemic?	 What do you think are some of the effects of COVID-19 on the community in the district? (effects on students, teachers, head teachers, parents, cook and storekeeper) What are other effects of COVID-19?: (Other probes: i) school closure on children; ii) economic effects on households; iii)changes in household employment patterns: and iv) health and nutrition status) How has the Covid and covid related school closure affected the outcome of interest?(Literacy achievement and health and nutrition status of school aged children) Probe: further learning loss due to Covid related school closure What do you think are some of the anticipated effects of the
		pandemic on the program?
6. Co	mplaints and Feedback M	echanism
6	What are some of the complaints and feedback mechanisms that are established by the program?	 How are the complaints and feedback managed? How effective do you think is WFP's community feedback mechanism? How will it be used to ensure effective implementation of the program?
7. Sug	ggestions	
7	Do you have any suggestions in relation to the program?	• Are there any suggestions you would want to provide for smooth execution of the program?
	Any questions before concluding the interview	

FGD with Parents/Guardians/Community Members

Province:	Date:
District:	Start time:
Municipality/ ward:	End time:
Old VDC name:	
Location:	

Participants' Characteristics Table

S.N.	Gender	Age	Education	Family type (Nuclear/Joint)	Caste/ Ethnicity	Disability status (if any) Yes/No

Questions

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
1.	In your opinion, what is the situation of primary education in the location?	 What is your view on attendance, enrollment, dropouts and repetitions in the location? (in addition, focus on barriers and facilitators in relation to attendance, enrollment, dropouts and repetitions) What are your views on attendance and enrollment from GESI perspective? If negative, how can the situation be improved? [How is the trend on enrollment, attendance and dropout from diverse caste and ethnicity (Brahmin/Chhetri, Dalits, Janajatis, Madhesis, etc.) perspectives? If negative, how can the situation be improved?] Do you think education is important? [further investigate: better opportunities, compete in the labor market, gain socio-emotional and life skills necessary to navigate and adapt to a changing world, make decisions on important matters, contribution to the communities etc.?
2.	What do you think are some of the facilitators and barriers education? probe further to explore if there are differences in facilitators and barriers for boys, girls, different ethnic groups and socio-economic status.	 <u>Topics to be covered</u>: Financial reasons, household chores, parents and community's attitudes, menstruation and menstrual hygiene and traditional practices.
За.	Financial reasons	Are the following some of the key financial barriers?

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
		Cost of transportation, textbooks and uniforms
		 Reliance on girl's income to support the household
		• Girls spending less time to support the household chores
		(including care for younger siblings) if enrolled in school
3b	Household chores	 How common is it in the community that girls get engaged in household chores (probe cleaning home, cleaning dishes, looking after younger siblings, looking after livestock, fetching firewood and water and any other)? Do you think the girls' engagement in household chores affect their education? Do they remain out of school due to household chores?
		• Do the parents in the community put pressure on the girls to engage in household chores? What do you feel about it?
3с	Parents and community members'	• How willing are you or other community members in sending girls to the school? Why?
	attitudes	• How reluctant are you or other community members in sending girls to the school? Why?
		• Are you or other community members less interested in investing in girls' education as compared to boys? If yes, any examples?
3d.	Menstruation and menstrual hygiene	 Are there any stigmas attached to menstruation in the community? If yes can you, please elaborate (e.g. girls during menstruation should not: take bath, go to school, cook, touch plants, enter temple and any other) Have your daughter/s or any other girls in the community been
		 missing classes due to periods? If yes why? (Probe: lack of pad, no place in the school to change pad, no place to dispose and any other) Have you heard of any form of harassment that your daughter/s face at school due to the blood stain in the clothes caused by the
		 periods? What do you understand by menstrual hygiene? What are some of the consequences of poor menstrual hygiene? What types of reusable cloths (if no use of sanitary pads) are commonly used by your daughter/s? How do they clean, dry and store?
		 Are sanitary pads easily available in the school? How do your daughter/s access the sanitary pads from your school? Are there any focal teachers specifically focusing on menstrual hygiene? Is there a separate toilet for girls to change their sanitary pad? Are disposable bins available dispose the sanitary pads in the community?
3e	Traditional practices (Chaupadi and other such practices)	 Have the practices such as <i>Chaupadi</i> impacted your daughter/s' (or other girls in the community) education? If yes, how? Are there other (other than <i>Chaupadi</i>) such practices in your community that have hindered girls' education? If yes, how?
4	Are you aware of the USDA McGD FFECN program? If no, have you heard about WFP's school meals program (Vishwo Khadya Karyakram, litho pitho karyakram)?	 If yes, are you aware about its different components? what do you think are some of the benefits of the programme for the children, parents and community? menstrual hygiene awareness and separate toilets for girls and boys) What are some of the benefits on SHN/WASH activity? (further probes: handwashing practices, clean toilets, availability of disposable bins, washing and drying station and any other). What are some of the benefits of EGR program (instruction to interviewers: implemented only in three districts)

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
5	What is your opinion towards girls' personal hygiene?	 Do your daughter/s or girls in the community share their problems with respect to menstrual hygiene? If yes, how do you respond? Have there been any positive changes in girls' behavior lately in relation to menstrual hygiene? If yes, could you please provide some examples? If yes, what factors are responsible for the positive changes?
6	Could you please tell us about effects of the COVID-19 pandemic?	 What have been some of the effects of COVID-19 on the education of your child or the children in your community? Were the children from your community able to access any forms of alternative learning practices during school closure. Can you please elaborate What are some of the other effects of COVID-19?: i) school closure on children; ii) economic effects on households; iii) changes in household employment patterns: and iv) health and nutrition status. How has the Covid and covid related school closure affected the outcome of interest? (Literacy achievement and health and nutrition status of school aged children) Probe: further learning loss due to Covid related school closure.
7	How do you think as a community member you can contribute to the project (ownership and sustainability)?	 Do you think the community will own the project? Are you willing to contribute to the successful implementation of the project? If yes, how would you like to contribute? Will you still be involved in the project following the completion of the project (only activities that are intended to continue)? How would you like to involve yourself?
8	Anything else to add!	

FGD with Adolescent Girls (Class 6-8)

Province:
District:
Municipality/ ward:
Old VDC name:

Date:	 	

Start time:

End time:

Location:

Participants' Characteristics Table

S.N.	Name	Age (in completed years)	Grade	Family type (Nuclear/Joint)	Caste/ Ethnicity	Disability Status (lf any) Yes/No

Questions

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
1	What is your opinion towards mid- day meal or school health and nutrition activities?	 Are you aware about the school meals program or school health and nutrition activities? Are you aware about its different components like SHN, mid-day meal, EGR? If yes, what do you think are some of the benefits of SHN, midday meal and EGR (PROBE individually)? Have there been any effects in your attitude/behavior due to the SHN, mid-day meal, EGR? If yes, any examples. Who generally prepares/cooks the mid-day meal? Are girls and boys provided with equal portion of meal?
2	What do you think are some of the barriers to girls' education?	 Topics to be covered Financial barriers, household chores, parents' attitudes, traditional practices and menstrual hygiene
2a.	What are some of the financial barriers?	 Are the following some of the key financial barriers? Cost of transportation, textbooks and uniforms Reliance on girl's income to support the household
2b.	How do you perceive your roles/ responsibilities in carrying out household chores?	 What are some of the household chores that you are engaged in? (probe cleaning home, cleaning dishes, looking after younger siblings, looking after livestock, fetching firewood and water etc.). Does your engagement in household chores affect your education? Do you remain out of school due to household chores?

Q.N.	Main Question	Probe Questions
		• Do your parents put pressure on you to engage in
		household chores? If yes, how do you feel about it?
2c.	How do your parents feel about your education?	 How willing are your parents in sending you to the school?
		 How reluctant are your parents in sending you to the school?
		• Are the parents in the community less interested in
		investing in daughter's education as compared to sons? If yes, why? If yes, any examples?
2d.	Are the traditional practices a barrier	• Have the traditional practices such as <i>Chaupadi</i>
	to education?	impacted your education? If yes, how?
		• Do you know of any other (other than <i>Chaupadi</i>) such
		practices in your community that have hindered your
		education? If yes, how?
2e.	What is your opinion on	• What do you understand by menstruation? (probe for
	menstruation and menstrual hygiene?	age at which most girls usually get their first period, duration of normal menstruation days, interval
		between two menstrual cycles and any other)
		 Are there any stigmas attached to menstruation in the community? If yes can you, please elaborate (eg. girls
		during menstruation should not : take bath, go to
		school, cook, touch plants, enter temple and any
		other)
		• Have you or anyone you know of face harassment in
		the school due to the blood stain in the clothes
		caused by the periods?
		• Are you missing classes due to periods or do you
		know anyone who are missing classes for the same
		reason? If yes, can you please elaborate why did you
		or someone you know missed the classes during
		menstruation (eg, lack of pad, no place in school to change pad, no place to dispose pad, l feel sick during
		menstruation)
		 What type of reusable/cloths, use and throw napkins
		do you or your friends commonly use? What do you
		or other girls at school/community understand by
		menstrual hygiene? What are the consequences of
		poor menstrual hygiene?
		• How frequently you change the pad? If you are using
		reusable/cloth napkins (non-sanitary pads), how do you clean, dry and store?
		• Are sanitary pads available in the school? How do you
		access the sanitary pads from your school? Do you
		feel comfortable going and asking for the sanitary
		pads at the school? Are there any focal teachers specifically focusing on menstruation hygiene? Are
		you able to get sufficient pads required at school and
		at home? If not, why? If yes, how many is provided?
		• Is there a separate toilet for girls to change their
		sanitary pad?
		• Is disposable bin available dispose the sanitary pads
		in the school toilet?
3.	What is your opinion toward	• Is there anyone at your school who impart the
	personal hygiene at your school?	knowledge about personal hygiene at your school.
		What is the topic discussed?

Q.N.	Main Question		Probe Questions
		٠	Is there anyone at school stationed who would hear
			to your problems in relation to menstrual hygiene?
		•	Does your health and population teacher impart
			knowledge and discuss about menstruation and
			menstrual hygiene? If yes, please elaborate, what are
			the topics discussed? How frequently it is done?
		•	Have there been any effects in your attitude/behavior
			due to the knowledge imparted by health and
4.	What is your opinion towards School	•	population teacher? If yes, any examples. Does your health and population teacher impart
4.	What is your opinion towards School sanitation?	•	knowledge and discuss about school sanitation? How
	Santation		frequently it is done?
			Have there been any effects in your behavior/attitude
			due to the knowledge that is imparted by health and
			population teacher? If yes, any examples.
5.	First Aid Kit	•	What you normally do when you feel sick at school?
			(Probe; headache, fever, vomiting, cut injury)
		•	Is there first aid kit/service in your school?
		•	Have you ever used?
6.	Iron folic acid tablet (iron <i>chakki</i>)	•	Have you heard about iron folic acid tablet (iron
			<i>chakki</i>) If no, move to the next question.
		•	How often is the iron folic acid (iron chakki)
			distributed at your school?
		•	Who distributes the iron folic acid (iron <i>chakki</i>) in your
			school? (health and population teacher, Female
			Community Health Volunteers etc.) In the past year, how many times IFA was distributed
		•	in your school? If it was not distributed biannually,
			have you taken IFA? If it was not distributed
			biannually, did you receive it through nearest health
			facility or FCHVs?
7.	Deworming tablets	•	Have you heard about deworming tablets? If no,
			move to the next question
		•	How often are the deworming tablets distributed at
			your school?
		•	Who distributes deworming tablets in your school?
			(Health and population teacher, Female Community Health Volunteers etc.)
			In the past year, how many times deworming tablets
			were distributed in your school? If it was not
			distributed biannually, did you receive it through
			nearest health facility or FCHVs?
8.	Are you aware of the USDA McGD	•	If yes, what do you think are some of the benefits of
	FFECN program? If no, have you		the programme? (improvements in terms of girl
	heard about WFP's school meals		friendly learning spaces, menstrual hygiene
	program (Vishwo Khadya Karyakram,		awareness and separate toilets for girls and boys)
	litho pitho karyakram)?	•	What are some of the benefits on WASH activity?
			(Further probes: handwashing practices, clean toilets,
			availability of disposable bins, washing and drying
0	Could you place tell us shout	-	station and any other). What have been some of the effects of COVID-19 on
9.	Could you please tell us about effects of the COVID-19 pandemic?	•	
			your studies?
		•	How have you been managing your studies?
			(Alternate way of learning medium)

Q.N.	Main Question		Probe Questions
		•	What are some of the other effects of COVID-19?: i)
			access to classroom teaching or alternative education
			(like radio/television, online classes; change in their
			household responsibilities during school closure ii)
			economic effects on households; iii) changes in
			household employment patterns; iv) increased
			workloads on women and girls in particular; and (v)
			health and nutrition status.
		•	How has the Covid and covid related school closure
			affected the outcome of interest? (Literacy
			achievement and health and nutrition status of
			school aged children) Probe: further learning loss
			due to Covid related school closure
10.	Could you tell us your preferred	•	How do you communicate with your friends?
	channels to communicate/receive	•	Are you engaged in child club? If yes, what kind of
	information on WFP current/ future project (s)?		activities are performed by child club? Is there is a
			equal participation of girls and boys in the activities conducted by child club?
		•	What can be the barriers for the girls to have equal
			participation in the child club?
		•	Are there female members in the leadership position?
		•	Whom do you share your complaints/queries in
			relation to school activities? How do you share the
			complaints and queries?
		•	What are the barriers to voice out your queries or
			provide feedbacks?
	Anything else to add!		

Organizational Performance Index (OPI) Tool

Introduction:

Organizational Performance Index (OPI) tool will be administered at the municipality level (at least two municipalities per district totaling twelve municipalities). The overarching goal of the OPI is to establish baseline values through which the change in organizational performance will be measured. It is largely intended to measure performance rather than capacity per se and takes into account the performance holistically, tracking the performance of the organization across multiple areas of work, both in terms of more immediate aspects of effectiveness and efficiency, and longer-term aspects of relevance and sustainability56.

Approach:

The municipality officials will be presented with the background and purpose of the OPI. In addition, being a crucial part of the OPI, the scoring process will be thoroughly discussed. The officials will be provided with ample time to discuss the themes and arrive at a consensus. The calculation of OPI will follow two steps: i) average score for each theme, and ii) overall average score.

Indicators	Level 1	Score	Level 2	Score	Level 3	Score	Level 4	Score
Outcome	Underwent the first stage of		Underwent the second stage		Underwent the third		Underwent the fourth stage	
level targets	the policy reform process		of the policy reform process		stage of the policy reform		of the policy reform process	
Number of	i.e., analysis (review of		which includes public debate		process (policies were		[official approval	
policies,	existing		and/or consultation with		presented for		(legislation/decree) of new or	
regulations,	policy/regulation/administrat		stakeholders on the		legislation/decree to		revised policy/regulation/	
or	ive procedure and/or		proposed new or revised		improve the policy		administrative procedure by	
administrativ	proposal of new		policy/regulation/administra		environment for		relevant authority]	
e procedures	policy/regulations/administra		tive procedure.		education).			
on HGSF	tive procedures.						(Maximum Score: 4)	
component in			(Maximum Score: 2)		(Maximum Score: 3)			
each of the	(Maximum Score: 1)							
following	Evidence		Evidence		Evidence		Evidence	
stages of	List of documents collected		Meeting minutes/notes and		Meeting minutes/note		Approved policy/ regulation	
development	(example of the documents		attendance				as approved by relevant	
as a result of	Education plan, Day Meal				(Maximum Score 1.5)		authority (LG)	
USDA	Guidelines, Food Menu, any		(Maximum Score: 2)					
assistance	other SoPs)						(Maximum Score: 2)	

Organization Performance Index Scoring Sheet

⁵⁶ https://usaidlearninglab.org/sites/default/files/resource/files/opi slides.pdf.

Indicators	Level 1	Score	Level 2	Score	Level 3	Score	Level 4	Score
	(Maximum Score: 0.5)							
	Review of the document and				Draft policy/guideline		Evidence such as meeting	
	synthesizing the findings				(either contextualized		minutes/notes	
					form of national school			
	(Maximum Score: 0.5)				meals guideline or 2-3		(Maximum Score: 2)	
					pager			
					guideline/document			
					(Maximum Score: 1.5)			
Qualitative de	tails (why the response was s	elected	l and any additional informa	tion)	· · ·			
	The local government is		The local government is		The local government has		The local government	
international	•		taking clear steps towards		achieved and consistently		consistently meets existing	
standards	national and int'l standards		achievement of local		strives to implement local		standards.	
Develop food	and/or is in the process of		standards that govern their		food menu standards			
menu in local	developing internal		HGSF programs and		that govern their		(Maximum Score: 4)	
context	standards that govern their		services.		programs and services.			
	programs and services.							
	(Maximum Score: 1)				(Maximum Score: 3)			
			(Maximum Score: 2)					
	Evidence		Evidence		Evidence		Evidence	
	School Meal management		Local Food menu		At least 50% of the		At least 75% of the schools	
	mechanisms or similar		developed.		schools are applying for a		are applying a food menu	
	structure members				food menu developed by		developed by the LG and use	
	participate in building				the LG and use it in daily		it in daily practice.	
	understanding on standard		(Maximum Score:1)		practice.			
	food menu recommended in						(Maximum Score: 2)	
	national guideline.				(Maximum Score:1.5)			
	Meeting minutes of school		Evidence of orientation to LG		meeting minutes/notes,		Evidence such as meeting	
	meal management		on seasonal calendar for		monitoring reports, etc.		minutes/notes, monitoring	
	mechanisms or similar		local vegetable production.		that the local government		visit, feedback from Schools.	
	structure.				consistently strives to			
			(Maximum Score: 1)		implement relevant		(Maximum Score: 2)	
	(Maximum Score: 1)				standards.			
					(Maximum Score: 1.5)			

Level 1	Score	Level 2	Score	Level 3	Score	Level 4	Score
tails (why the response was s	elected	and any additional informat	tion)				
The local government is developing a written SoPs or guideline that describes how HGSF programs and services will be delivered. (Maximum Score: 1) Evidence Meeting minute of school meal management mechanism is in the process of developing		The School meal management mechanism has a draft SoPs, guideline or Education plan that includes School Meal Component. (Maximum Score: 2) Evidence Copy of draft SOP available (Maximum Score: 2)		The local government has final SOPs, guideline to be endorsed by Local Government (Maximum Score: 3) Evidence Copy of final SOPs, available to be endorsed by Local Government (Maximum Score: 3)		The local government has endorsed SOPs, guideline or integrate SoPs under Education plan. (Maximum Score: 4) Evidence SOP endorsed by LG or integrated with Education Plan	
(procurement plan or supply chain guideline) (Maximum Score: 1)	elected	and any additional informat	tion)				
The local government is in the process of identifying and delineating a target population for its program and services. (Maximum Score: 1)		The local government has clearly identified and delineated a target population for its programs and services and is collecting output data to track service delivery to the target population.		The local government has started to update target population annually. (Maximum Score: 3)		The local government has updated data for target population maintained in spreadsheet. (Maximum Score: 4)	
Evidence		Evidence		Evidence		Evidence	
Local government is in the process of identifying all the program schools of the catchment area. (Maximum Score: 0.5)		Local government with support of project has identified the List of schools with student details, list of cooperatives and farmers		Target population in Spreadsheet being updated (Maximum Score: 3)		Updated target population in spreadsheet maintained by local government. (Maximum Score: 4)	
	 tails (why the response was s The local government is developing a written SoPs or guideline that describes how HGSF programs and services will be delivered. (Maximum Score: 1) Evidence Meeting minute of school meal management mechanism is in the process of developing SOP/Guidelines (procurement plan or supply chain guideline) (Maximum Score: 1) tails (why the response was s The local government is in the process of identifying and delineating a target population for its program and services. (Maximum Score: 1) Evidence Local government is in the process of identifying all the program schools of the catchment area. 	tails (why the response was selectedThe local government is developing a written SoPs or guideline that describes how HGSF programs and services will be delivered.(Maximum Score: 1)EvidenceMeeting minute of school meal management mechanism is in the process of developing SOP/Guidelines (procurement plan or supply chain guideline) (Maximum Score: 1)tails (why the response was selected The local government is in the process of identifying and delineating a target population for its program and services.(Maximum Score: 1)EvidenceLocal government is in the process of identifying and services.(Maximum Score: 1)EvidenceLocal government is in the process of identifying all the program schools of the catchment area.	tails (why the response was selected and any additional informatThe local government is developing a written SoPs or guideline that describes how HGSF programs and services will be delivered.The School meal management mechanism has a draft SoPs, guideline or Education plan that includes School Meal Component. (Maximum Score: 1)EvidenceEvidenceMeeting minute of school meal management mechanism is in the process of developing SOP/Guidelines (procurement plan or supply chain guideline) (Maximum Score: 1)Copy of draft SOP available (Maximum Score: 2)tails (why the response was selected and any additional informat The local government is in the process of identifying and delineating a target population for its program and services.The local government has clearly identified and delineated a target population for its programs and services.(Maximum Score: 1)(Maximum Score: 2)EvidenceImage delineated a target population for its programs and services.(Maximum Score: 1)(Maximum Score: 2)EvidenceImage delineated a target population.(Maximum Score: 1)Image delineated a target population.(Maximum Score: 1)Image delineated a target population.Image delineate delineated a target population.Image delineate delineated a target population.Image delineate delineate delineated a target population.Image delineate delineateImage delineate delineateImage delineate delineateImage delineate delineateImage delineate delineateImage delineate	Letter and any additional information)The local government is developing a written SoPs or guideline that describes how HGSF programs and services will be delivered.The School meal management mechanism has a draft SoPs, guideline or Education plan that includes School Meal Component. (Maximum Score: 1)EvidenceEvidenceMeeting minute of school meal management mechanism is in the process of developing SOP/Guidelines (procurement plan or supply chain guideline) (Maximum Score: 1)Copy of draft SOP available (Maximum Score: 2)tails (why the response was selected and delineating a target population for its program and services.The local government has clearly identified and delineated a target population for its program and services and is collecting output data to track service delivery to the target population.(Maximum Score: 1)(Maximum Score: 2)EvidenceEvidenceLocal government is in the process of identifying and services.The local government has clearly identified and delineated a target population for its program and services and is collecting output data to track service delivery to the target population.EvidenceEvidenceLocal government is in the process of identifying all the program schools of the catchment area.Local government with support of project has identified the List of schools with student details, list of cooperatives and farmers	The local government is developing a written SoPs or guideline that describes how HGSF programs and services will be delivered.The School meal management mechanism has a draft SoPs, guideline or Education plan that includes School Meal Component. (Maximum Score: 2)The local government has final SOPs, guideline to be endorsed by Local GovernmentMeeting minute of school meal management mechanism is in the process of developing SOP/Guidelines (procurement plan or supply chain guideline) (Maximum Score: 1)EvidenceEvidenceEvidenceThe local government is in the process of identifying and services.Copy of draft SOP available (Maximum Score: 2)Copy of final SOPs, available to be endorsed by Local Government (Maximum Score: 3)The local government is in the process of identifying and services.The local government has clearly identified and delineated a target population for its program and services.The local government has clearly identified and delineated a target population.The local government has clearly identified and delineated a target population.Maximum Score: 3)(Maximum Score: 1)(Maximum Score: 2)(Maximum Score: 3)(Maximum Score: 3)EvidenceEvidenceEvidenceEvidenceLocal government is in the program schools of the cather more schools of the cather markLocal government with support of project has identified the List of schools with student details, list of cooperatives and farmersTarget population in Spreadsheet being updatedLocal government is in the program schools of the cather markLocal governme	tails (why the response was selected and any additional information)The local government is developing a written SoPs or guideline that describes how HGSF programs and services will be delivered.The School meal management mechanism has a draft SoPs, guideline or Education plan that includes School Meal Component. (Maximum Score: 1)The local government has endorsed by Local Government. (Maximum Score: 2)The local government has endorsed by Local Government(Maximum Score: 1)EvidenceEvidenceEvidenceEvidenceEvidence(Maximum Score: 2)(Maximum Score: 3)(Maximum Score: 1)Copy of draft SOP available (Maximum Score: 2)Copy of final SOPs, available to be endorsed by Local Government (Maximum Score: 3)The local government is in the process of identifying and delineating a target population for its program and services.The local government has clearly identified and delineated a target population.The local government has started to update target population.(Maximum Score: 1)(Maximum Score: 2)(Maximum Score: 3)(Maximum Score: 3)(Maximum Score: 1) and services.The local government has clearly identified and delineated a target population.The local government has started to update target population.(Maximum Score: 1)(Maximum Score: 2)(Maximum Score: 3)EvidenceEvidenceEvidenceLocal government is in the process of identifying all the process of identifyin	Tails (why the response was selected and any additional information)The local government is developing a written SoPs or guideline that describes how HGSF programs and services will be delivered.The School meal magement mechanism has a draft SoPs, guideline or Education plan that includes School Meal Component.The local government has final SOPs, guideline to be endorsed by Local GovernmentThe local government has final SOPs, guideline to be endorsed by Local GovernmentThe local government has final SOPs, guideline to be endorsed by Local Government(Maximum Score: 1)EvidenceEvidenceEvidenceEvidenceEvidenceEvidenceEvidence(Maximum Score: 2)Copy of final SOPs, available to guidelines (procurement plan or supply chain guideline) (Maximum Score: 1)Copy of draft SOP available available to be endorsed by Local Government has cal Government has the process of identifying and services.SOP endorsed by LG or integrated with Education PlanThe local government is in the process of identifying and services.The local government has carget population for its program and services and is collecting output data to track service delivery to the target population for its program and services.The local government has started to update target population for its program and services.The local government has started to update target population for its programs and services.The local government has started to update target population for its programs and services.The local government has started to update target population for its programs and services.The loc

Indicators	Level 1	Score	Level 2	Score	Level 3	Score	Level 4	Score
			groups in their catchment					
			area.					
			(Maximum Score: 2)					
	Local government is in the							
	process of identifying the							
	major stakeholders for the HGSF component.							
	has component.							
	(Maximum Score: 0.5)							
Qualitative de	etails (why the response was s	elected	and any additional informa	tion)	L		L	
Participatory	The local government is		The local government		The results of		The results of participatory	
planning and	considering engaging in		engages in participatory		participatory planning		planning and decision-	
decision-	participatory planning and		planning and decision-		and decision-making have		making processes are	
making	decision-making processes		making processes that		been used to inform the		consistently used to inform	
process	that involve their target		involve their target		design and		the design and	
	population and other		population and other		implementation of		implementation of programs	
	stakeholders.		stakeholders.		programs and services.		and services. Members of	
	(Maximum Score: 1)		(Maximum Score: 2)		(Maximum Score: 3)		the target population are	
	(Maximum score. 1)		(Maximum Score. 2)		(waximum score. s)		engaged in the delivery of programs and services.	
							programs and services.	
							(Maximum Score: 4)	
	Evidence		Evidence		Evidence		Evidence	
	Formation of school meal		Minutes /notes s from		Joint decision made		An example of a Food menu	
	management mechanism or		participatory planning		based on the		planning, SOP developments	
	similar structure with the		meetings.		participatory meeting		and guideline preparation	
	involvement of relevant				(meeting includes Food		that incorporates the	
	stakeholders and target		(Maximum Score: 1)		menu development, SoPs		conclusions from	
	groups (SMC, head teacher,				finalization, seasonal		participatory	
	agriculture officer of LG,		Attendance lists showing		calendar for vegetable		decisions/recommendations.	
	cooperatives/ farmers group, education committee		involvement of multi		production or any related		(Maximum Scorol 4)	
	members).		stakeholders.		with HGSF components) (Maximum Score: 3)		(Maximum Score: 4)	
			(Maximum Score: 1)		(waximum score: 3)			

Indicators	Level 1	Score	Level 2	Score	Level 3	Score	Level 4	Score
	(Maximum Score: 1)							
Qualitative de	etails (why the response was s	elected	and any additional information	tion				
Successes and challenges analysis (functional School Meal Management Committees,	The local government include agenda in SMC meeting to discuss successes and challenges analysis. (Maximum Score: 1) Evidence		The local government has designed the process for analyzing the successes and challenges arising from their program and services. (Maximum Score: 2) Evidence		The local government has institutionalized a process for analyzing the successes and challenges arising from their programs and services (Maximum Score: 3) Evidence		The local government uses its analyses to adapt the programs at local level if required. (Maximum Score: 4) Evidence	
Regular meetings)	Organization self identifies as Level 1. (Maximum Score: 1)		Orient LG about use of School complaint handling mechanism or complaint/suggestion box at local level for collecting information or feedback (Maximum Score: 2) Assign focal persons at LG to report any issues or complaints related to school meals programme/HGSF. (Maximum Score: 2)		Minutes from meetings or similar proof where local governmental has discussed about issues, successes and challenges. (Maximum Score: 3)		Revision of guideline/seasonal food calendar/SOPs if required. (Maximum Score: 4)	
Oualitative de	etails (why the response was s	elected	and any additional informa	tion)				
Networking and partnerships	The local government/SMC is learning about the value of networking, and considering potential partnerships.		The local government participates in recognized local networks that are relevant to its programs and services.		The local government/SMC is in the process of developing partnership with farmers group/cooperative.		The local government has developed committed partnership with farmers group/cooperatives.	
	(Maximum Score: 1)		(Maximum Score: 2)		(Maximum Score: 3)		(Maximum Score: 4)	
	Evidence		Evidence		Evidence		Evidence	
	Organization self-identifies as Level 1.		LG starts interaction with cooperatives. farmers		Networking event (interaction of LG with		MOU or letter of commitment between	

Indicators	Level 1	Score	Level 2	Score	Level 3	Score	Level 4	Score
	(Maximum Score: 0.5)		groups who have potential to join the SMC.		cooperatives/farmers group) held and minutes		municipality and cooperatives/farmers group.	
			(Maximum Score: 1)		(Maximum Score: 1.5)		(Maximum Score: 4)	
	Evidence of orientation to LG Minute/note where the discussion would be around networking and partnership.		LG members participates in different meetings organized.		Minute showing local government participating in agreement with HGSF supply chain actors (cooperatives/farmers			
	(Maximum Score: 0.5)		(Maximum Score: 1)		group). (Maximum Score: 1.5)			
Qualitative de	(Maximum Score: 0.5) etails (why the response was s	elected	l and any additional informa	tion)	(Maximum Score: 1.5)			

For each indicator, there is only one possible response (level). The score from the evidence will be added and the total mentioned in the indicator score box.

Levels of performance (for analysis purpose)

Level 1: Extremely low Performance Level 2: Low Performance Level 3: Medium Performance Leve 4: High Performance

Annex 10: Methodology

A. Study Design

A cross sectional non-experimental design covering the programme areas without similar comparison areas was followed in the baseline. Temporal changes relative to the baseline could be measured in the mid-term and end-line.

B. Study Approach

The baseline survey was focused on examining the present circumstances of the activities proposed in this McGovern-Dole project cycle. The BLS had assessed the activities of the McGovern-Dole FY20 is coherent to government plans and priority program, and other programs implemented by development partners in those areas. It had further assessed the integration of other activities implemented by WFP with McGovern-Dole FY20.

A mixed-method approach with both quantitative and qualitative components were used. The results were triangulated using a review of monitoring reports, on-site observation, and other available relevant reports. Quantitative and qualitative data collection tools and analysis methods were developed in line with the data requirements as spelled out in the PMP and was finalized in collaboration with WFP. National EGRA tool and other structured questionnaires were used as the quantitative tools. KIIs, Focus Group FGDs, secondary data review, and observation checklists including the classroom observation checklist developed by Education Review Office, Nepal Government were the major qualitative tools. OPI tool was used to assess the performance of LG in managing the home-grown school feeding programme.

The data collection tools were GEWE sensitive and was help examine gender and equity aspects of the programme and aspects about different socio-economic status, castes/ethnicities, and disabled groups.

The baseline survey had covered all six programme districts.

C. Sampling

The Sample size calculation was done using a five percent confidence interval, 50 percent prevalence rate, five percent margin of error, and 2297 population size (number of schools). This resulted in 330 schools as a sample. This was calculated using the following formula-

n = Nx/(N+x), where

- n = Required overall minimum sample size.
- N = Population size = 2297 schools.
- x = Sample size that would be required for infinite population, given by
 - $x = [(Z1-\alpha/2)2 \times P(1-P)]/(ME)2].$
- α = Significance level, chosen as 0.05 for 95% confidence interval.
- Z = Z-score corresponding to the selected value of α .
- P = Prevalence proportion of key indicators in the population (conservative rate of 0.5 is assumed); and ME = Margin of error, taken to be 0.05

To address possible non-response, an additional 15 percent of schools ere sampled. A proportionate stratified random sampling method was used to select the required number of schools and school-level respondents from the six districts. The total number of schools from six program districts was used as a sampling frame. The number of schools per district was selected by probability proportional to the number of schools per district.

Number of Total Schools and Sample Schools Per District

Districts	Total Schools	Required Sample Schools
Doti	388	56
Jajarkot	403	58
Bajhang	446	64
Darchula	342	49
Achham	484	69
Bajura	234	34
Total	2,297	330

Stage I- Selection of school

The required number of schools per district are selected by PPS taking the number of students from grade 1-5 as the size. Table 2 in the main body shows the distribution of these schools across the six districts.

Stage II: Selection of students

- EGR assessment: All students of grade three or a maximum of 20 students (10 boys and 10 girls) were selected randomly.
- Students from other grades: Simple random sampling was done to select the required number of students by grade.

D. Selection of Respondents

Most of the participants like students, teachers, school administrators, cooks, and parents are selected from the sampled schools. Other participants particularly for KII, FGD, and OPI was selected mainly from 12 municipalities where qualitative data were collected. The matrix below shows the types of respondents, data collection techniques, and objective of data collection.

Critical Assumptions

- The start-up of the project and its progression largely depend on how school re-opening post-COVID-19 occurs. The successful start-up and subsequent implementation of the project requires good control of COVID-19 and ability for human mobility
- No severe natural calamities (drought/ flood/earthquake/landslide/fire) in the project area affecting the ability of farmers to complement the food basket as envisioned by the project and causing access blockages to the program area.
- No pandemic crop disease affecting the ability of farmers to complement the food basket
- Stable environment/ no political conflict affecting the mobility of the people
- Continued support from the federal, provincial, and local government
- Stable markets that won't affect the ability to complement the US in-kind commodities

Annex 11: Tables of Baseline Findings on Performance Indicators

Activity 1 - Food Distribution

Indicator 1: Number of school age children receiving school meal on all school days (SBCC Custom 7)

	Schools	Proportion	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	CI	(%)
Categories	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(L	., U)
Total	90	77.7	14.8	1.8	74.1	81.3
District						
Doti	7	71.3	16.2	5.9	59.7	82.9
Jajarkot	17	78.3	11.9	3.0	72.4	84.3
Bajhang	27	80.9	15.1	3.3	74.4	87.3
Darchula	15	76.8	16.0	4.5	68.0	85.7
Achham	15	76.5	17.3	5.3	66.0	86.9
Bajura	9	77.6	13.5	4.1	69.4	85.9
School type						
Continuing	90	77.7	14.8	1.8	74.1	81.3
New	0	-	-	-	-	-
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	65	76.3	15.4	2.3	71.8	80.8
Basic (Up to 8)	22	82.7	13.4	3.1	76.5	88.9
Secondary (9+)	3	69.7	7.3	3.5	62.8	76.6
Gender of student						
Female	90	77.7	15.3	1.8	74.1	81.4
Male	90	77.7	14.9	1.8	74.0	81.3

Table I1: Percentage of school children receiving school meal on all school days

Data Source: Review of School Meal Register

Indicator 2: Average student attendance rate in USDA supported classrooms/schools (Standard 2)

	Schools	Proportion	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	CI	(%)	
Categories	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(L, U)		
Total	328	60.7	19.1	1.2	58.3	63.2	
District							
Doti	56	62.5	11.1	1.5	59.4	65.5	
Jajarkot	56	43.7	18.0	2.8	38.3	49.1	
Bajhang	64	73.0	12.2	1.6	69.8	76.3	
Darchula	49	75.2	13.6	2.3	70.8	79.7	
Achham	69	46.7	18.8	2.6	41.6	51.7	
Bajura	34	67.2	13.0	2.4	62.5	71.9	
School type							
Continuing	186	62.3	18.8	1.6	59.2	65.4	
New	142	59.3	19.3	1.9	55.6	63.0	
School type by program							
Only SMP	112	54.7	17.0	1.8	51.2	58.3	
SMP+SHN	69	46.7	18.8	2.6	41.6	51.7	
SMP+EGR+SHN	147	71.9	13.0	1.2	69.5	74.3	
School level							
Primary (Up to 5)	174	62.0	18.9	1.7	58.7	65.3	
Basic (Up to 8)	56	61.1	18.8	2.7	55.8	66.4	
Secondary (9+)	98	59.5	19.4	2.2	55.2	63.7	
Grade							
1	326	56.6	21.6	1.4	53.9	59.3	
3	328	64.0	19.5	1.3	61.4	66.6	
8	154	63.2	20.2	1.8	59.6	66.8	
Gender of student							
Female	328	61.4	19.3	1.2	58.9	63.9	
Male	328	60.0	19.6	1.3	57.5	62.6	

Table 12 (a): Average student attendance rate for Phadra 16 Ashe	16 2079 (Sant	ombor 2021)
Table I2 (a): Average student attendance rate for Bhadra 16-Asho	j 16 2078 (Sept	ember 2021)

Data Source: School Record Review

	Schools	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	CI (%)
Categories	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(L,	U)
Total	330	61.2	20.4	1.4	58.4	64.0
District						
Doti	56	54.1	19.5	3.0	48.2	60.0
Jajarkot	58	52.4	18.9	2.7	47.0	57.7
Bajhang	64	67.9	18.5	2.6	62.7	73.1
Darchula	49	78.7	15.2	2.6	73.6	83.8
Achham	69	56.0	20.7	3.2	49.6	62.4
Bajura	34	66.2	15.4	3.1	60.1	72.3
School type						
Continuing	188	55.3	20.5	1.7	51.9	58.6
New	142	66.3	19.0	2.1	62.3	70.4
School type by program						
Only SMP	114	53.3	19.2	2.0	49.3	57.2
SMP+SHN	69	56.0	20.7	3.2	49.6	62.4
SMP+EGR+SHN	147	70.4	17.5	1.8	66.9	73.8
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	175	56.4	19.5	1.7	53.0	59.8
Basic (Up to 8)	57	58.9	19.6	2.8	53.4	64.4
Secondary (9+)	98	65.8	20.6	2.5	60.9	70.6
Grade						
1	330	53.3	22.8	1.5	50.2	56.3
3	330	65.6	19.8	1.3	63.1	68.2
8	155	67.8	24.2	2.5	63.0	72.7
Gender of student						
Female	330	61.2	21.9	1.5	58.4	64.1
Male	330	61.2	21.0	1.5	58.1	64.2

Data Source: Headcount of Students and School Record Review

Indicator 3: Average retention rate of students (Custom 1)

	Schools	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	CI (%)
Categories	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(L,	U)
Total	328	92.1	9.1	0.6	91.0	93.2
District						
Doti	56	90.8	9.6	1.4	87.9	93.6
Jajarkot	58	91.0	9.6	1.3	88.4	93.6
Bajhang	64	91.9	10.4	1.6	88.8	95.0
Darchula	49	93.2	7.3	1.0	91.2	95.2
Achham	67	92.8	8.6	1.0	90.9	94.7
Bajura	34	93.5	7.7	1.6	90.4	96.6
School type						
Continuing	187	91.7	10.5	0.9	90.0	93.5
New	141	92.4	7.7	0.7	91.0	93.8
School type by program						
Only SMP	114	90.9	9.5	1.0	88.9	92.8
SMP+SHN	67	92.8	8.6	1.0	90.9	94.7
SMP+EGR+SHN	147	92.7	9.0	0.9	90.9	94.5
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	175	90.8	11.7	1.1	88.7	93.0
Basic (Up to 8)	56	93.3	8.4	1.1	91.1	95.5
Secondary (9+)	97	92.7	6.7	0.7	91.3	94.1
Grade						
1	328	90.1	13.5	0.9	88.3	91.9
3	291	94.2	9.2	0.6	93.0	95.3
8	104	93.7	7.4	0.8	92.2	95.2
Gender of student						
Female	328	92.4	10.2	0.6	91.2	93.6
Male	327	91.7	9.6	0.6	90.5	92.9

Table 12.	Avorago	retention	rate of	students	in voar	2070
Table 13:	Average	retention	rate or	students	in vear	2U/8

Data Source: School Record Review

Indicator 4: Minimum diet diversity of school age children (Custom 11)

Categories	Students (N)	Proportion (%)	Std. Dev. (%)	Std. Error (%)		(%) . U)
Total	1849	93.4	24.8	0.6	92.3	94.5
District						
Doti	325	94.5	22.9	1.3	92.0	97.0
Jajarkot	181	94.5	22.9	1.7	91.1	97.8
Bajhang	400	93.3	25.1	1.3	90.8	95.7
Darchula	309	95.1	21.5	1.2	92.7	97.5
Achham	412	93.0	25.6	1.3	90.5	95.4
Bajura	222	89.6	30.5	2.0	85.6	93.7
School type						
Continuing	899	93.7	24.4	0.8	91.6	94.8
New	950	93.2	25.3	0.8	92.1	95.3
School type by program						
Only SMP	506	94.5	22.9	1.0	92.5	96.5
SMP+SHN	412	93.0	25.6	1.3	90.5	95.4
SMP+EGR+SHN	931	93.0	25.5	0.8	91.4	94.7
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	689	93.8	24.2	0.9	92.0	95.6
Basic (Up to 8)	423	92.7	26.1	1.3	90.2	95.2
Secondary (9+)	737	93.5	24.7	0.9	91.7	95.3
Gender of student						
Female	974	93.4	24.8	0.8	91.9	95.0
Male	875	93.4	24.9	0.8	91.7	95.0
Ethnicity						
Brahmin/Chhetri	1,385	94.1	23.6	0.6	92.8	95.3
Dalit	434	91.0	28.6	1.4	88.3	93.7
Other <i>Janajati</i>	1	100.0	-	-	-	-
Madhesi	1	100.0	-	-	-	-
Others	3	66.7	57.7	33.3	1.3	100.0
Age, years						
5-9	716	95.1	21.6	0.8	93.5	96.7
10-14	1,079	92.2	26.8	0.8	90.6	93.8
15 or above	54	94.4	23.1	3.1	88.3	100.0

 Table I4: Percentage of school age children meeting minimum diet diversity

Data Source: Parents Survey

Indicator 5: Percentage of parents aware about the importance of school meal program (Custom 12)

Catagorias	Parents	Proportion	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	CI	(%)
Categories	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(L, U)	
Total	1849	16.0	36.7	0.9	14.3	17.7
District						
Doti	325	10.8	31.0	1.7	7.4	14.1
Jajarkot	181	33.7	47.4	3.5	26.8	40.6
Bajhang	400	19.8	39.9	2.0	15.8	23.7
Bajura	222	5.4	22.7	1.5	2.4	8.4
Achham	412	12.6	33.2	1.6	9.4	15.8
Darchula	309	18.4	38.8	2.2	14.1	22.8
School type						
Continuing	899	18.8	39.1	1.3	16.2	21.4
New	950	13.4	34.0	1.1	11.2	15.5
School type by program						
Only SMP	506	19.0	39.2	1.7	15.6	22.4
SMP+SHN	412	12.6	33.2	1.6	9.4	15.8
SMP+SHN+EGR	931	15.9	36.6	1.2	13.5	18.2
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	689	18.6	38.9	1.5	15.7	21.5
Basic (Up to 8)	423	16.8	37.4	1.8	13.2	20.4
Secondary (9+)	737	13.2	33.8	1.2	10.7	15.6
Gender of parent						
Female	1,087	14.1	34.8	1.1	12.0	16.1
Male	762	18.8	39.1	1.4	16.0	21.5
Ethnicity						
Brahmin/ Chhetri	1,385	17.4	37.9	1.0	15.4	19.4
Dalit	434	11.1	31.4	1.5	8.1	14.0
Other Janajati	24	25.0	44.2	9.0	7.3	42.7
Madeshi	2	0.0	-	-	-	-

Table 15: Percentage of p	parents of school goin	g children aware of the	e benefits of SMP
---------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------

Data Source: Parents Survey

Indicator 6: Percentage of students aware about the importance of school meal program (Custom 14)

Categories	Students (N)	Proportion (%)	Std. Dev. (%)	Std. Error (%)		(%) U)
Total	2087	12.9	33.0	0.7	11.5	14.4
District						
Doti	314	8.6	28.1	1.6	5.5	11.7
Jajarkot	360	34.7	47.7	2.5	29.8	39.6
Bajhang	422	15.6	36.4	1.8	12.2	19.1
Bajura	240	2.1	14.3	0.9	0.3	3.9
Achham	412	5.6	23.0	1.1	3.4	7.8
Darchula	339	7.1	25.7	1.4	4.3	9.8
School type						
Continuing	904	17.0	37.6	1.3	14.6	19.5
New	1,183	9.8	29.8	0.9	8.1	11.5
School type by program						
Only SMP	674	22.6	41.8	1.6	19.4	25.7
SMP+SHN	412	5.6	23.0	1.1	3.4	7.8
SMP+ SHN+EGR	1,001	9.5	29.3	0.9	7.7	11.3
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	539	16.7	37.3	1.6	13.5	19.9
Basic (Up to 8)	569	15.6	36.4	1.5	12.7	18.6
Secondary (9+)	979	9.3	29.1	0.9	7.5	11.1
Gender of student						
Female	1,054	12.3	32.9	1.0	10.3	14.3
Male	1,033	13.6	34.2	1.1	11.5	15.6
Age						
5-9	262	9.9	30.0	1.9	6.3	13.6
10-14	1,710	13.6	34.3	0.8	11.9	15.2
15 or above	115	10.4	30.7	2.9	4.8	16.0

Table I6: Percentage of school going children aware of the benefits of SMP

Data Source: Students Survey

Activity 2 - Support Improved Safe Food Preparation, Handling and Storage

Indicator 7: Number of individuals who demonstrate use of new safe food preparation and storage practices as a result of USDA assistance (Standard 20)

Categories	Cooks	Proportion	Std. Dev.	Std.		(%)
	(N)	(%)	(%)	Error (%)	(L,	U)
Total	78	29.5	45.9	5.2	19.1	39.8
District						
Doti	13	30.8	48.0	13.3	4.2	57.3
Jajarkot	9	22.2	44.1	14.7	0.0	51.5
Bajhang	23	26.1	44.9	9.4	7.4	44.7
Darchula	9	44.4	52.7	17.6	9.5	79.4
Achham	16	31.3	47.9	12.0	7.4	55.1
Bajura	8	25.0	46.3	16.4	0.0	57.6
School type						
Continuing	77	29.9	46.1	5.3	19.4	40.3
New	1	100.0	-	-	-	-
School type by program						
Only SMP	22	27.3	45.6	9.7	7.9	46.6
SMP+SHN	16	31.3	47.9	12.0	7.4	55.1
SMP+SHN+EGR	40	30.0	46.4	7.3	15.4	44.6
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	61	32.8	47.3	6.1	20.7	44.9
Basic (Up to 8)	14	14.3	36.3	9.7	0.0	33.6
Secondary (9+)	3	33.3	57.7	33.3	0.0	99.7
Gender of cook						
Female	8	50.0	53.5	18.9	12.4	87.6
Male	70	27.1	44.8	5.4	16.5	37.8
Ethnicity of the cook						
Brahmin/Chhetri	70	27.1	44.8	5.4	16.5	37.8
Dalit	7	57.1	53.5	20.2	16.9	97.4
Other <i>Janajati</i>	1	0.0	-	-	-	-

 Table I7: Percentage of school cook demonstrating safe food preparation and storage practices

Data Source: Cooks Survey

Activity 3 - Provide an Integrated Package of School Health and Nutrition Interventions

Indicator 8: Number of schools using an improved water source (Standard 27)

Categories	Schools (N)	Proportion (%)	Std. Dev. (%)	Std. Error (%)		(%) . U)
Total	216	92.6	26.2	1.8	89.1	96.1
District						
Bajhang	64	98.4	12.5	1.6	95.4	100.0
Darchula	49	95.9	20.0	2.9	90.3	100.0
Achham	69	89.9	30.4	3.7	82.6	97.1
Bajura	34	82.4	38.7	6.6	69.3	95.4
School type						
Continuing	122	91.0	28.8	2.6	85.9	96.1
New	94	94.7	22.6	2.3	90.1	99.3
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	107	86.9	33.9	3.3	80.5	93.4
Basic (Up to 8)	43	97.7	15.2	2.3	93.1	100.0
Secondary (9+)	66	98.5	12.3	1.5	95.5	100.0

Table I8 (a): Percentage of schools using an imp	roved drinking water source (observed)
Table to (a). Fercentage of schools using an impl	oved driffking water source (observed)

Data Source: School Observation

Table I8 (b): Percentage of schools using an improved drinking water source (reported)

.,	0		0	· ·		
Categories	Schools	Proportion	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	Cl (%) (L, U)	
	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)		
Total	212	93.9	24.0	1.7	90.6	97.1
District						
Bajhang	64	96.9	12.6	2.2	92.6	100.0
Darchula	45	95.6	20.8	3.1	89.4	100.0
Achham	69	91.3	21.0	3.4	84.6	98.0
Bajura	34	91.2	28.8	4.9	81.4	100.0
School type						
Continuing	121	95.0	21.8	2.0	91.1	98.9
New	91	92.3	26.8	2.8	86.8	97.8
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	104	89.4	30.9	3.0	83.4	95.4
Basic (Up to 8)	43	100.0	-	-	-	-
Secondary (9+)	65	96.9	17.4	2.2	92.7	100.0

Data Source: SHN Teachers Survey
Indicator 9: Number of schools with improved sanitation facilities (Standard 28)

Categories	Schools (N)	Proportion (%)	Std. Dev. (%)	Std. Error (%)		(%) ., U)
Total	216	94.0	23.8	1.6	90.8	97.2
District						
Bajhang	64	95.3	21.3	2.7	90.1	100.0
Darchula	49	98.0	14.3	2.0	93.9	100.0
Achham	69	94.2	23.5	2.8	88.6	99.8
Bajura	34	85.3	35.9	6.2	73.1	97.4
School type						
Continuing	122	92.6	26.2	2.4	87.9	97.3
New	94	95.7	20.3	2.1	91.6	99.9
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	107	91.6	27.9	2.7	86.3	96.9
Basic (Up to 8)	43	93.0	25.8	3.9	85.3	100.0
Secondary (9+)	66	98.5	12.3	1.5	95.5	100.0

Table I9 (a): Percentage of schools using improved sanitation facilities (observed)

Data Source: School Observation

Table I9 (b): Percentage of schools using improved sanitation facilities (reported)

Categories	Schools (N)	Proportion (%)	Std. Dev. (%)	Std. Error (%)	CI (%) (L, U)	
Total	212	96.2	19.1	1.3	93.6	98.8
District						
Bajhang	64	93.8	24.4	3.0	87.7	99.8
Darchula	45	100.0	-	-	-	-
Achham	69	98.6	12.0	1.4	95.7	100.0
Bajura	34	91.2	28.8	4.9	81.4	100.0
School type						
Continuing	121	94.2	23.4	2.1	90.0	98.4
New	91	98.9	10.5	1.1	96.7	100.0
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	104	95.2	21.5	2.1	91.0	99.3
Basic (Up to 8)	43	95.3	21.3	3.2	88.9	100.0
Secondary (9+)	65	98.5	12.4	1.5	95.4	100.0

Indicator 10: Number of students receiving deworming medication(s) (Standard 29)

Categories	Students (N)	Proportion (%)	Std. Dev. (%)	Std. Error (%)		(%) , L)
Total	1413	82.0	38.5	1.0	79.9	83.0
District						
Achham	412	86.9	33.8	1.7	83.6	90.2
Bajhang	422	84.1	36.6	1.8	80.6	87.6
Bajura	240	73.3	44.3	2.9	67.7	78.9
Darchula	339	79.4	40.5	2.2	75.0	83.7
School type						
New	812	78.0	41.5	1.5	75.2	80.9
Continuing	601	87.4	33.3	1.4	84.6	90.0
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	324	86.7	34.0	1.9	83.0	90.4
Basic (Up to 8)	429	77.9	41.2	2.0	73.9	81.8
Secondary (9+)	660	82.3	38.2	1.5	79.4	85.2
Gender of student						
Male	704	80.4	39.7	1.5	77.5	83.3
Female	709	83.5	37.1	1.4	80.8	86.2
Age, years						
5-9	152	83.6	37.0	3.0	77.6	89.5
10-14	1183	82.2	38.3	1.1	80.0	84.3
15 or above	78	75.6	43.6	4.9	66.0	85.2

Table I10 (a): Percentage of school children receiving deworming medication(s) at least once at school

Data Source: Students Survey

Categories	Students (N)	Proportion (%)	Std. Dev. (%)	Std. Error (%)	CI	(%) U)
Total	1413	53.1	49.9	1.3	50.5	55.8
District						55.0
Achham	412	55.3	49.8	2.5	50.5	60.2
Bajhang	422	55.9	49.7	2.4	51.2	60.7
Bajura	240	30.4	46.1	3.0	24.6	36.3
Darchula	339	63.1	48.3	2.6	58.0	68.3
School type						
New	812	52.5	50.0	1.8	49.0	55.9
Continuing	601	54.1	49.9	2.0	50.1	58.1
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	324	56.5	49.7	2.8	51.1	61.9
Basic (Up to 8)	429	45.9	49.9	2.4	41.2	50.6
Secondary (9+)	660	56.2	49.7	1.9	52.4	60.0
Gender of student						
Male	704	50.9	50.0	1.9	47.2	54.6
Female	709	55.4	49.7	1.9	51.8	59.1
Age, years						
5-9	152	55.3	49.9	4.0	47.3	63.2
10-14	1183	53.6	49.9	1.5	50.7	56.4
15 or above	78	42.3	49.7	5.6	31.3	53.4

Table I10 (b): Percentage of school children receiving deworming medication(s) twice at school

Data Source: Students Survey

Table I10 (c): Percentage of schools providing deworming medication(s) twice a year

Categories	Schools (N)	Proportion (%)	Std. Dev. (%)	Std. Error (%)	CI ((L,	
Total	212	72.2	44.9	3.1	66.1	78.3
District						
Achham	69	79.7	40.5	4.9	70.1	89.3
Bajhang	64	64.1	48.4	6.0	52.1	76.0
Bajura	34	50.0	50.8	8.7	32.8	67.2
Darchula	45	88.9	31.8	4.7	79.5	98.2
School type						
New	91	75.8	43.1	4.5	66.9	84.7
Continuing	121	69.4	46.3	4.2	61.1	77.7
Gender of SHN teacher						
Male	148	70.3	45.9	3.8	62.8	77.7
Female	64	76.6	42.7	5.3	66.0	87.1
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	104	65.4	47.8	4.7	56.1	74.6
Basic (Up to 8)	43	76.7	42.7	6.5	63.9	89.6
Secondary (9+)	65	80.0	40.3	5.0	70.1	89.9

Indicator 11: Number of adolescent girls aged 10-19 years receiving biannual weekly Iron Folic Acid supplementation (Custom 4)

Categories	Students (N)	Proportion (%)	Std. Dev. (%)	Std. Error (%)	CI ((L,	(%) U)
Total	127	8.7	28.2	2.5	3.7	13.6
District						
Bajhang	33	12.1	33.1	5.8	0.7	23.5
Darchula	35	8.6	28.4	4.8	0.0	18.1
Achham	35	11.4	32.2	5.5	0.6	22.2
Bajura	24	0.0	-	-	-	-
School type						
Continuing	44	4.5	21.1	3.2	0.0	10.8
New	83	10.8	31.3	3.4	4.1	17.6
School level						
Basic (Up to 8)	52	5.8	23.5	3.2	0.0	12.2
Secondary (9+)	74	10.8	31.2	3.6	3.6	18.0
Age of the student, years						
10 – 14	95	7.4	26.3	2.7	2.0	12.7
15 – 17	32	12.5	33.6	5.9	0.7	24.3

Table I11: Percentage of adolescent girls receiving biannual weekly (full dose) Iron Folic Acid supplementation

Data Source: Student Survey

Indicator 12: Number of schools with provision of sanitary pads (Custom 5)

Table I12: Percentage of schools with provision of sanitary pads among basic and secondary schools
(excluding primary level schools)

Categories	Schools (N)	Proportion (%)	Std. Dev. (%)	Std. Error (%)		(%) , U)
Total	109	83.5	37.3	3.6	76.4	90.6
District						
Bajhang	32	59.4	49.9	8.8	41.9	76.9
Darchula	27	96.3	19.2	3.7	89.0	100.0
Achham	30	96.7	18.3	3.3	90.1	100.0
Bajura	20	85.0	36.6	8.2	68.8	100.0
School type						
Continuing	32	75.0	44.0	7.8	59.6	90.4
New	77	87.0	33.8	3.9	79.4	94.7
School level						
Basic (Up to 8)	43	81.4	39.4	6.0	69.5	93.3
Secondary (9+)	66	84.8	36.1	4.4	76.0	93.7

Data Source: School Observation

Indicator 13: Number of schools with toilet with sanitary pads disposal bins (Custom 6)

Catagorias	Schools	Proportion	Std. Dev.	Std.	CI	(%)
Categories	(N)	(%)	(%)	Error (%)	(L,	U)
Total	109	45.0	50.0	4.8	35.5	54.4
District						
Bajhang	32	46.9	50.7	9.0	29.1	64.6
Darchula	27	48.1	50.9	9.8	28.7	67.6
Achham	30	43.3	50.4	9.2	25.1	61.6
Bajura	20	40.0	50.3	11.2	17.7	62.3
School type						
Continuing	32	31.3	47.1	8.3	14.7	47.8
New	77	50.6	50.3	5.7	39.3	62.0
School level						
Basic (Up to 8)	43	37.2	48.9	7.5	22.4	52.0
Secondary (9+)	66	50.0	50.4	6.2	37.7	62.3

Table 113: Percentage of schools with a toilet with sanitary pads disposal bins among basic and secondary schools (excluding primary level schools)

Data Source: School Observation

Indicator 14: Number of schools with at least one set of information education and communication and behavior change package (SBCC Custom 5)

Categories	Schools (N)	Proportion (%)	Std. Dev. (%)	Std. Error (%)		CI (%) (L, U)	
Total	216	14.4	35.1	1.6	6.2	12.6	
District							
Bajhang	64	10.9	31.5	3.9	3.2	18.7	
Darchula	49	6.1	24.2	3.5	0.0	12.9	
Achham	69	13.0	33.9	4.1	5.0	21.1	
Bajura	34	35.3	48.5	8.3	18.9	51.7	
School type							
Continuing	122	15.6	36.4	3.3	9.1	22.1	
New	94	12.8	33.5	3.5	5.9	19.6	
School type by program							
SMP+SHN	69	13.0	33.9	4.1	5.0	21.1	
SMP+SHN+EGR	147	15.0	35.8	3.0	9.2	20.8	
School level							
Primary (Up to 5)	107	16.8	37.6	3.6	9.7	24.0	
Basic (Up to 8)	43	11.6	32.4	4.9	1.9	21.4	
Secondary (9+)	66	12.1	32.9	4.1	4.1	20.1	
Gender of the head teacher							
Female	29	27.6	45.5	8.4	10.9	44.2	
Male	187	12.3	32.9	2.4	7.6	17.0	

Table I14: Percentage of schools with availability of IEC/BCC package

Data Source: Head Teacher Survey/School observation

Indicator 15: Number of schools celebrating national sanitation related campaign at the community level (SBCC Custom 6)

Categories	Schools (N)	Proportion (%)	Std. Dev. (%)	Std. Error (%)	CI ((L,	
Total	212	41.0	49.3	3.4	34.4	47.7
District						
Bajhang	64	21.9	41.7	5.2	11.6	32.1
Darchula	45	46.7	50.5	7.5	31.8	61.5
Achham	69	56.5	49.9	6.0	44.7	68.4
Bajura	34	38.2	49.3	8.5	21.6	54.9
School type						
Continuing	121	32.2	46.9	5.3	42.4	63.1
New	91	52.7	50.2	4.3	23.8	40.6
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	104	29.8	46.0	4.5	20.9	38.7
Basic (Up to 8)	43	39.5	49.5	7.5	24.7	54.4
Secondary (9+)	65	60.0	49.4	6.1	47.9	72.1

Table I15: Percentage of schools celebrating national sanitation related campaign at the community
level

Indicator 16: Number of schools conducting at least one annual health screening (Custom 3)

Cotogorios	N		ł	leigh	t			V	Veigh	nt			١	/isio	n			Н	learir	ng			I	Denta	al	
Categories	N	%	SD	SE	CIL	CIU	%	SD	SE	CIL	CIU	%	SD	SE	CIL	CIU	%	SD	SE	CIL	CIU	%	SD	SE	CIL	CIU
Total	212	25.0	43.7	3.0	19.6	31.4	37.7	48.6	3.3	31.2	44.3	22.2	41.6	2.9	16.5	27.8	12.3	32.9	2.3	7.8	16.7	11.8	32.3	2.2	7.4	16.2
District																										
Bajhang	64	15.6	36.6	4.6	6.6	24.6	45.3	50.2	6.3	32.9	57.7	17.2	38.0	4.8	7.8	26.6	9.4	29.4	3.7	2.1	16.6	6.3	24.4	3.0	0.2	12.3
Darchula	45	31.1	46.8	7.0	17.4	44.9	37.8	49.0	7.3	23.4	52.2	17.8	38.7	5.8	6.4	29.1	0.0	-	-	-	-	4.4	20.8	3.1	0.0	10.6
Achham	69	37.7	48.8	5.9	26.1	49.3	40.6	49.5	6.0	28.8	52.3	37.7	48.8	5.9	26.1	49.3	27.5	45.0	5.4	16.9	38.2	27.5	45.0	5.4	16.9	38.2
Bajura	34	11.8	32.7	5.6	0.7	22.8	17.6	38.7	6.6	4.6	30.7	5.9	23.9	4.1	0.0	14.0	2.9	17.1	2.9	0.0	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
School type																										
Continuing	121	24.8	43.4	3.9	17.0	32.6	43.8	49.8	4.5	34.9	52.7	19.0	39.4	4.6	17.2	35.5	10.7	31.1	3.7	7.0	21.6	9.9	30.0	3.7	7.0	21.6
New	91	26.4	44.3	4.6	17.2	35.5	29.7	45.9	4.8	20.2	39.2	26.4	44.3	3.6	11.9	26.1	14.3	35.2	2.8	5.2	16.3	14.3	35.2	2.7	4.5	15.3
School level																										
Primary	104	23.1	42.3	4.2	14.9	31.3	23.1	42.3	4.9	31.8	50.9	15.4	36.3	3.6	8.4	22.4	10.6	30.9	3.0	4.6	16.6	8.7	28.3	2.8	3.2	14.1
Basic	43	20.9	41.2	6.3	8.6	33.3	20.9	41.2	7.1	16.3	44.2	23.3	42.7	6.5	10.4	36.1	4.7	21.3	3.2	0.0	11.1	7.0	25.8	3.9	0.0	14.7
Secondary	65	32.3	47.1	5.8	20.8	43.8	32.3	47.1	6.0	25.0	48.8	32.3	47.1	5.8	20.8	43.8	20.0	40.3	5.0	10.1	29.9	20.0	40.3	5.0	10.1	29.9

Table I16a: Percentage of schools conducting at least one annual health screening

Categories	Schools (N)	Schools (N) Proportion (%)		Std. Error	Cl (%) (L, U)	
Total	212	8.5	27.9	1.9	5.4	13.1
District						
Bajhang	64	4.7	21.3	2.7	0	9.9
Darchula	45	0	-	-	-	-
Achham	69	21.7	41.5	5	11.9	31.6
Bajura	34	0	-	-	-	-
School type						
Continuing	121	7.4	26.3	2.4	2.7	12.2
New	91	9.9	30	3.1	3.7	16.1
School level						
Primary	104	6.7	25.2	2.5	1.9	11.6
Basic	43	4.7	21.3	3.2	0	11.1
Secondary	65	13.8	34.8	4.3	5.3	22.4

Table I16b: Percentage of schools conducting all five (height, weight, vision, hearing, and dental) health screening

Indicator 17: Number of individuals who demonstrate use of new child health and nutrition practices as a result of USDA assistance (Standard 19)

Catagorias	Students	Proportion	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	CI (%)		
Categories	(N)	(P)	(%)	(%)	(L,	U)	
Total	1412	49.2	50.0	1.3	46.5	51.8	
District							
Bajhang	421	53.9	49.9	2.4	49.1	58.7	
Darchula	339	55.5	49.8	2.7	50.2	60.8	
Achham	412	46.4	49.9	2.5	41.5	51.2	
Bajura	240	36.7	48.3	3.1	30.6	42.8	
School type							
Continuing	600	52.0	50.0	2.0	48.0	56.0	
New	812	47.0	49.9	1.8	43.6	50.5	
School type by program							
SMP+SHN	412	46.4	49.9	2.6	41.5	51.2	
SMP+SHN+EGR	1000	50.5	50.0	1.6	47.2	53.4	
School level							
Primary (Up to 5)	324	46.6	50.0	2.8	41.2	52.0	
Basic (Up to 8)	428	51.6	50.0	2.4	46.9	56.4	
Secondary (9+)	660	48.8	50.0	1.9	45.0	52.6	
Gender							
Female	709	55.2	49.9	18.0	40.4	47.8	
Male	703	44.4	49.7	1.9	50.5	57.8	
Age, years							
5-9	152	42.8	49.6	4.0	34.9	50.7	
10-14	1182	49.6	50.0	1.5	46.7	52.4	
15 or above	78	55.1	50.1	5.7	44.0	66.2	

Table I17: Percentage of school children demonstrating child health and nutrition practices

Data Source: Students Survey

Indicator 18: Number of schools practicing segregated waste management practice (Custom 8)

Table I18: Percentage of schools practicing segregated waste management

Categories	School	Proportion	Std.	Std.	CI (%)	
	(N)	(%)	Dev. (%)	Error (%)	(L,	U)
Total	216	32.4	46.9	3.2	26.1	38.7
District						
Bajhang	64	25.0	43.6	5.5	14.2	35.8
Darchula	49	32.7	47.4	6.8	19.3	46.0
Achham	69	40.6	49.5	6.0	28.8	52.3
Bajura	34	29.4	46.2	7.9	13.8	45.0
School type						
Continuing	122	35.2	48.0	4.3	26.7	43.8
New	94	28.7	45.5	4.7	19.5	38.0
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	107	29.0	45.6	4.4	20.3	37.7
Basic (Up to 8)	43	39.5	49.5	7.5	24.7	54.4
Secondary (9+)	66	33.3	47.5	5.8	21.8	44.9

Data Source: School Observation

Indicator 19: Health related absenteeism among school age children (SBCC Custom 1)

Categories	Students (N)	Proportion (%)	Std. Dev. (%)	Std. Error (%)	CI (%) (L, U)	
Total	1849	19.1	39.4	0.9	17.4	20.9
District						
Doti	325	10.8	31.0	1.7	7.4	14.1
Jajarkot	181	22.1	41.6	3.1	16.0	28.2
Bajhang	400	24.0	42.8	2.1	19.8	28.2
Bajura	222	17.1	37.8	2.5	12.1	22.1
Achham	412	17.2	37.8	1.9	13.6	20.9
Darchula	309	23.9	42.7	2.4	19.2	28.7
School type						
Continuing	899	16.3	37.0	1.2	14.0	18.7
New	950	22.1	41.5	1.4	19.4	24.9
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	689	20.0	40.1	1.5	17.0	23.0
Basic (Up to 8)	423	23.2	42.2	2.1	19.1	27.2
Secondary (9+)	737	16.0	36.7	1.4	13.4	18.7
Gender of student						
Female	974	20.0	40.0	1.3	17.5	22.5
Male	875	18.2	38.6	1.3	15.6	20.7
Ethnicity						
Brahmin/Chhetri	1385	17.5	38.0	1.0	15.5	19.5
Dalit	434	25.1	2.1	2.1	21.0	29.2
Other Janajati	24	4.1	20.4	4.2	-4.0	12.3
Age, years						
5-9	716	18.2	38.6	1.4	15.3	21.0
10-14	1079	19.5	39.6	1.2	17.1	21.8
15 or above	54	25.9	44.2	6.0	14.1	37.7

Data Source: Parents Survey

Indicator 20: Percent of school age children with good personal hygiene (SBCC Custom 2)

Catagorias	Students	Proportion	Std. Dev.	Std.	CI	(%)
Categories	(N)	(%)	(%)	Error (%)	(L,	U)
Total	1,413	33.1	47.1	1.3	30.6	35.5
District						
Bajhang	422	32.2	46.8	2.3	27.8	36.7
Darchula	339	52.8	50.0	2.7	47.5	58.1
Achham	412	19.9	40.0	2.0	16.0	23.8
Bajura	240	29.2	45.5	2.9	23.4	34.9
School type						
Continuing	601	37.1	48.3	2.0	33.2	41.0
New	812	30.0	45.9	1.6	26.9	33.2
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	324	29.3	45.6	2.5	24.4	34.3
Basic (Up to 8)	429	38.2	48.7	2.3	33.6	42.8
Secondary (9+)	660	31.5	46.5	1.8	28.0	35.1
Gender						
Female	709	37.1	48.3	1.8	33.5	40.7
Male	704	29.0	45.4	1.7	25.6	32.3
<mark>Age, years</mark>						
5-9	152	27.0	44.5	3.6	19.9	34.1
10-14	1,183	34.1	47.4	1.4	31.4	36.8
15 or above	78	29.5	45.9	5.2	19.3	39.7

Data Source: Students Survey

Indicator 21: Percent of adolescent girls reporting practice of hygienic menstrual behavior (SBCC Custom 3)

Table I21: Percentage of adolescent girls practicing hygienic menstrual behavior

Categories	Students	Proportion	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	CI (%)
Categories	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(L,	U)
Total	127	78.7	41.1	3.6	70.6	85.1
District						
Bajhang	33	75.8	43.5	7.6	60.8	90.7
Darchula	35	82.9	38.2	6.5	70.1	95.6
Achham	25	80.0	40.6	6.9	66.4	93.6
Bajura	24	75.0	44 .2	9.0	57.1	92.9
School type						
Continuing	44	75.0	43.8	6.6	61.9	88.1
New	83	80.7	39.7	4.4	72.1	89.3
Age of girls						
10 – 14 years	95	77.9	41.7	4.3	69.4	86.3
15 – 17 years	32	81.2	39.7	7.0	67.4	95.1

Data Source: Students Survey

Indicator 22: Percent of school age children reporting hand washing practice at critical times (SBCC Custom 4)

Categories	Students	Proportion	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	CI	(%)
	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(L	, U)
Total	1413	9.4	29.2	0.8	7.9	10.9
District						
Bajhang	422	17.3	37.9	1.8	13.7	20.9
Darchula	339	6.5	24.7	1.3	3.9	9.1
Achham	412	7.8	26.8	1.3	5.2	10.4
Bajura	240	2.5	15.6	1.0	0.5	4.5
School type						
Continuing	601	9.7	29.6	1.2	7.3	12.0
New	812	9.2	29.0	1.0	7.2	11.2
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	324	9.0	28.6	1.6	5.8	12.1
Basic (Up to 8)	429	7.2	25.9	1.3	4.8	9.7
Secondary (9+)	660	11.1	31.4	1.2	8.7	13.5
Gender						
Female	709	13.0	33.6	1.3	10.5	15.5
Male	704	5.8	23.4	0.9	4.1	7.6
Age, years						
5 – 9	152	7.2	26.0	2.1	3.1	11.4
10 – 14	1,183	9.6	29.5	0.9	8.0	11.3
15 or above	78	10.3	30.5	3.5	3.5	17.0

 Table I22: Percentage of children reporting hand washing practice at critical moments

Data Source: Students Survey

Activity 4 - Promoting Improved Literacy

Indicator 23: Number of local governments recognizing and rewarding teachers making changes or taking special initiatives for their students to achieve reading outcomes (Custom 9)

Districts	Municipalities (N)	Municipalities Recognizing and Rewarding Teachers (n)
Total	12	5
Doti	2	1
Jajarkot	2	1
Bajhang	2	1
Darchula	2	2
Achham	2	0
Bajura	2	0

Table I23: Number of municipalities with practice of recognizing and rewarding teachers

Data Source: KII with Municipalities

Indicator A24: Number of local government developing contextualized instructional materials (Custom 13)

Table I24: Number of municipalities with practice of recognizing and rewarding teachers

Districts	Municipalities (N)	Municipalities Developing Contextualized Instructional Materials
		(n)
Total	12	4
Doti	2	0
Jajarkot	2	1
Bajhang	2	1
Darchula	2	1
Achham	2	1
Bajura	2	0

Data Source: KII with Municipalities

Indicator 25: Percent of students who, by the end of two grades of primary schooling, demonstrate that they can read and understand the meaning of grade level text (Standard 1)

	Students	Proportion	Std.	Std. Error	CI	(%)
Categories	(N)	(%)	Dev. (%)	(%)	(L,	U)
Total	3979	1.0	9.7	0.2	0.7	1.3
District						
Doti	644	0.6	7.9	0.3	0.0	1.2
Jajarkot	628	0.2	4.0	0.2	0.0	0.5
Bajhang	849	1.6	12.7	0.4	0.8	2.5
Darchula	491	1.6	12.7	0.6	0.5	2.8
Achham	919	0.3	5.7	0.2	0.0	0.7
Bajura	448	1.8	13.3	0.6	0.6	3.0
School type						
Continuing	2175	1.0	10.0	0.2	0.6	1.4
New	1804	0.9	9.4	0.2	0.5	1.3
School type by program						
Only SMP	1272	0.4	6.3	0.2	0.0	0.7
SMP+SHN	919	0.3	5.7	0.2	0.0	0.7
SMP+EGR+SHN	1788	1.7	12.8	0.3	1.1	2.3
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	1885	1.2	10.7	0.2	0.7	1.7
Basic (Up to 8)	745	0.4	6.3	0.2	0.0	0.9
Secondary (9+)	1349	1.0	9.8	0.3	0.4	1.5
Gender						
Female	1928	0.7	8.5	0.2	0.4	1.1
Male	2051	1.2	10.9	0.2	0.7	1.7
Student type						
L1	2733	1.1	10.2	0.2	0.7	1.4
L2	1246	0.7	8.5	0.2	0.3	1.2

Table I25 (a): Percent of grade three students who can read and understand the meaning of grade level text

			Std.	Std.			
	Students		Dev.	Error	CI	(%)	Zero Score
Categories	(N)	Mean	(%)	(%)	(l,	U)	(%)
Total	3979	1.5	1.0	0.0	1.5	1.6	17.2
District							
Doti	644	1.5	1.0	0.0	1.5	1.6	18.8
Jajarkot	628	1.5	1.0	0.0	1.4	1.5	18.8
Bajhang	849	1.6	1.0	0.0	1.6	1.7	15.0
Darchula	491	1.5	1.0	0.0	1.5	1.6	15.9
Achham	919	1.5	1.0	0.0	1.4	1.5	22.4
Bajura	448	1.6	0.8	0.0	1.5	1.7	7.8
School type							
Continuing	2175	1.5	1.0	0.0	1.4	1.5	19.7
New	1804	1.6	1.0	0.0	1.6	1.7	14.2
School type by program							
Only SMP	1272	1.5	1.0	0.0	1.5	1.6	18.8
SMP+SHN	919	1.5	1.0	0.0	1.4	1.5	22.4
SMP+EGR+SHN	1788	1.6	0.9	0.0	1.6	1.6	13.4
School level							
Primary (Up to 5)	1885	1.5	1.0	0.0	1.5	1.6	18.4
Basic (Up to 8)	745	1.4	1.0	0.0	1.3	1.4	21.2
Secondary (9+)	1349	1.7	1.0	0.0	1.6	1.7	13.4
Gender							
Female	2051	1.4	1.0	0.0	1.4	1.5	20.1
Male	1928	1.7	1.0	0.0	1.6	1.7	14.2
Student type							
L1	2733	1.6	1.0	0.0	1.6	1.7	14.2
L2	1246	1.4	1.0	0.0	1.3	1.4	23.8

	Students		Std.	Std. Error	CI	(%)	Zero Score
Categories	(N)	Mean	Dev. (%)	(%)	(L,	U)	(%)
Total	3979	30.0	20.3	0.3	29.4	30.7	6.5
District							
Doti	644	30.4	20.0	0.8	28.8	31.9	5.7
Jajarkot	628	22.9	16.3	0.7	21.6	24.2	5.4
Bajhang	849	33.7	19.7	0.7	32.4	35.1	5.8
Darchula	491	40.9	22.0	1.0	38.9	42.8	3.1
Achham	919	24.2	19.3	0.6	22.9	25.4	10.4
Bajura	448	32.8	19.9	0.9	31.0	34.6	6.3
School type							
Continuing	2175	29.5	20.7	0.4	28.6	30.4	7.8
New	1804	30.7	19.9	0.5	29.8	31.6	5.0
School type by program							
Only SMP	1272	26.7	18.6	0.5	25.7	27.7	5.6
SMP+SHN	919	24.2	19.3	0.6	22.9	25.4	10.4
SMP+EGR+SHN	1788	35.5	20.7	0.5	34.5	36.4	5.1
School level							
Primary (Up to 5)	1885	30.0	21.0	0.5	29.1	31.0	7.7
Basic (Up to 8)	745	28.3	19.8	0.7	26.9	29.7	5.6
Secondary (9+)	1349	31.0	19.6	0.5	30.0	32.1	5.3
Gender							
Female	2051	29.5	20.2	0.4	28.6	30.4	6.2
Male	1928	30.6	20.5	0.5	29.7	31.5	6.8
Student type							
L1	2733	30.5	20.4	0.4	29.7	31.2	5.7
L2	1246	29.1	20.3	0.6	28.0	30.3	8.3

Table I25 (c): Subtask 2-Letter Decoding (Correct letters/min)

	Students		Std. Dev.	Std. Error	CI	(%)	Zero Score
Categories	(N)	Mean	(%)	(%)	(L,	U)	(%)
Total	3979	16.5	18.1	0.3	16.0	17.1	24.2
District							
Doti	644	17.5	18.5	0.7	16.1	19.0	21.4
Jajarkot	628	10.4	13.6	0.5	9.4	11.5	30.7
Bajhang	849	18.1	18.7	0.6	16.8	19.3	27.4
Darchula	491	24.3	20.7	0.9	22.4	26.1	8.8
Achham	919	13.6	16.5	0.5	12.5	14.7	26.3
Bajura	448	18.4	18.4	0.9	16.7	20.1	25.4
School type							
Continuing	2175	16.3	18.1	0.4	15.6	17.1	25.7
New	1804	16.8	18.1	0.4	16.0	17.6	22.3
School type by program							
Only SMP	1272	14.0	16.7	0.5	13.1	14.9	26.0
SMP+SHN	919	13.6	16.5	0.5	12.5	14.7	26.3
SMP+EGR+SHN	1788	19.9	19.3	0.5	19.0	20.8	21.8
School level							
Primary (Up to 5)	1885	17.0	18.4	0.4	16.2	17.9	24.2
Basic (Up to 8)	745	14.6	17.1	0.6	13.3	15.8	27.1
Secondary (9+)	1349	16.9	18.2	0.5	16.0	17.9	22.6
Gender							
Female	2051	15.9	17.8	0.4	15.2	16.7	24.9
Male	1928	17.2	18.4	0.4	16.4	18.0	23.4
Student type							
L1	2733	17.2	18.2	0.3	16.5	17.9	20.6
L2	1246	15.1	17.8	0.5	14.1	16.1	32.1

Table I25 (d): Subtask 3-Matra Decoding (Correct matras/min)

	Students		Std.	Std. Error	CI (%)	Zero Score
Categories	(N)	Mean	Dev. (%)	(%)	(L,	U)	(%)
Total	3979	6.7	6.9	0.1	6.5	6.9	25.1
District							
Doti	644	6.6	6.7	0.3	6.1	7.1	23.4
Jajarkot	628	4.3	5.1	0.2	3.9	4.7	28.8
Bajhang	849	7.6	7.4	0.3	7.1	8.0	26.7
Darchula	491	9.5	7.1	0.3	8.8	10.1	6.9
Achham	919	5.5	6.5	0.2	5.0	5.9	30.6
Bajura	448	7.9	7.4	0.3	7.2	8.6	27.7
School type							
Continuing	2175	6.5	6.9	0.1	6.2	6.8	27.1
New	1804	6.9	6.9	0.2	6.5	7.2	22.6
School type by program							
Only SMP	1272	5.5	6.1	0.2	5.1	5.8	26.1
SMP+SHN	919	5.5	6.5	0.2	5.0	5.9	30.6
SMP+EGR+SHN	1788	8.2	7.3	0.2	7.8	8.5	21.5
School level							
Primary (Up to 5)	1885	6.8	7.0	0.2	6.5	7.1	25.4
Basic (Up to 8)	745	6.1	6.7	0.2	5.6	6.5	27.7
Secondary (9+)	1349	6.8	6.8	0.2	6.5	7.2	23.3
Gender							
Female	2051	6.5	6.8	0.2	6.2	6.8	25.6
Male	1928	6.8	7.0	0.2	6.5	7.1	24.5
Student type							
L1	2733	7.0	7.0	0.1	6.7	7.3	21.8
L2	1246	6.0	6.7	0.2	5.6	6.3	32.3

Table I25 (e): Subtask 4-Non-word Reading (Correct non-words/min)

	Students		Std.	Std. Error	CI (%)	Zero Score
Categories	(N)	Mean	Dev. (%)	(%)	(L,	U)	(%)
Total	3979	11.4	12.7	0.2	11.0	11.8	18.4
District							
Doti	644	11.1	12.2	0.5	10.2	12.1	14.0
Jajarkot	628	7.1	9.5	0.4	6.3	7.8	20.4
Bajhang	849	12.5	13.2	0.5	11.6	13.3	23.8
Darchula	491	17.2	14.4	0.6	15.9	18.5	6.5
Achham	919	9.4	11.5	0.4	8.6	10.1	19.2
Bajura	448	13.4	13.8	0.7	12.1	14.7	23.0
School type							
Continuing	2175	11.2	12.7	0.3	10.7	11.8	19.5
New	1804	11.6	12.7	0.3	11.0	12.1	17.0
School type by program							
Only SMP	1272	9.1	11.1	0.3	8.5	9.7	17.1
SMP+SHN	919	9.4	11.5	0.4	8.6	10.1	19.2
SMP+EGR+SHN	1788	14.0	13.8	0.3	13.4	14.6	18.8
School level							
Primary (Up to 5)	1885	12.0	13.1	0.3	11.4	12.6	17.8
Basic (Up to 8)	745	9.7	11.8	0.4	8.9	10.6	20.4
Secondary (9+)	1349	11.4	12.5	0.3	10.8	12.1	18.1
Gender							
Female	2051	11.2	12.9	0.3	10.6	11.7	19.6
Male	1928	11.6	12.5	0.3	11.0	12.1	17.0
Student type							
L1	2733	11.8	12.7	0.2	11.3	12.3	15.6
L2	1246	10.5	12.6	0.4	9.8	11.2	24.5

Table I25 (f): Subtask 5-Oral Reading Fluency (Correct words/min)

	Students		Std. Dev.	Std. Error	CI	(%)	Zero Score
Categories	(N)	Mean	(%)	(%)	(L,	U)	(%)
Total	3979	1.5	1.5	0.0	1.4	1.5	42.3
District							
Doti	644	1.6	1.5	0.1	1.4	1.7	39.8
Jajarkot	628	1.0	1.3	0.1	0.9	1.1	53.5
Bajhang	849	1.6	1.5	0.1	1.5	1.7	35.8
Darchula	491	2.0	1.6	0.1	1.9	2.2	26.5
Achham	919	1.2	1.5	0.0	1.1	1.3	51.9
Bajura	448	1.7	1.6	0.1	1.6	1.9	40.6
School type							
Continuing	2175	1.4	1.5	0.0	1.3	1.4	43.4
New	1804	1.6	1.6	0.0	1.5	1.6	41.0
School type by program							
Only SMP	1272	1.3	1.4	0.0	1.2	1.3	46.5
SMP+SHN	919	1.2	1.5	0.0	1.1	1.3	51.9
SMP+EGR+SHN	1788	1.8	1.6	0.0	1.7	1.8	34.5
School level							
Primary (Up to 5)	1885	1.5	1.5	0.0	1.4	1.5	40.8
Basic (Up to 8)	745	1.2	1.5	0.1	1.1	1.3	50.7
Secondary (9+)	1349	1.6	1.6	0.0	1.5	1.7	39.8
Gender							
Female	2051	1.4	1.5	0.0	1.3	1.5	43.6
Male	1928	1.5	1.6	0.0	1.5	1.6	41.0
Student type							
L1	2733	1.5	1.6	0.0	1.5	1.6	40.6
L2	1246	1.3	1.5	0.0	1.2	1.4	46.2

Table I25 (g.1): Subtask 6-Oral Reading Comprehension (Correct answers out of 5 questions)

Sublask 6- Explicit Comprehe			Std.	Std.	-,		
	Students		Dev.	Error	CL	(%)	Zero Score
Categories	(N)	Mean	(%)	(%)	(I, U)		(%)
Total	3979	1.27	1.3	0.0	1.2	1.3	42.6
District				0.0			
Doti	644	1.4	1.2	0.1	1.3	1.5	39.8
Jajarkot	628	0.9	1.1	0.0	0.8	1.0	54.5
Bajhang	849	1.4	1.2	0.0	1.3	1.5	35.9
Darchula	491	1.7	1.2	0.1	1.6	1.8	26.7
Achham	919	1.0	1.2	0.0	1.0	1.1	52.1
Bajura	448	1.5	1.4	0.1	1.4	1.6	40.6
School type							
Continuing	2175	1.2	1.2	0.0	1.2	1.2	43.7
New	1804	1.3	1.3	0.0	1.3	1.4	41.2
School type by program							
Only SMP	1272	1.1	1.2	0.0	1.0	1.2	47.0
SMP+SHN	919	1.0	1.2	0.0	1.0	1.1	52.1
SMP+EGR+SHN	1788	1.5	1.3	0.0	1.4	1.6	34.6
School level							
Primary (Up to 5)	1885	1.3	1.2	0.0	1.2	1.4	41.1
Basic (Up to 8)	745	1.1	1.2	0.0	1.0	1.1	51.0
Secondary (9+)	1349	1.3	1.2	0.0	1.3	1.4	40.0
Gender							
Female	2051	1.2	1.2	0.0	1.2	1.3	43.8
Male	1928	1.3	1.3	0.0	1.3	1.4	41.3
Student type							
L1	2733	1.3	1.3	0.0	1.3	1.4	40.8
L2	1246	1.1	1.2	0.0	1.1	1.2	46.5

Table I25 (g.2): Disaggregated scores of children on reading comprehensionSubtask 6- Explicit Comprehension (correct answers out of first 3 questions)

			Std.	Std.			Zero
	Students		Dev.	Error	CI (%) (I, U)		Score
Categories	(N)	Mean	(%)	(%)			(%)
Total	3979	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.2	83.6
District							
Doti	644	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.2	81.5
Jajarkot	628	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.1	91.9
Bajhang	849	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.3	81.0
Darchula	491	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.4	72.7
Achham	919	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.2	87.9
Bajura	448	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.3	82.8
School type							
Continuing	2175	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.2	86.1
New	1804	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.3	80.5
School type by program							
Only SMP	1272	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.2	86.6
SMP+SHN	919	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.2	87.9
SMP+EGR+SHN	1788	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.3	79.2
School level							
Primary (Up to 5)	1885	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.2	85.2
Basic (Up to 8)	745	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.2	86.2
Secondary (9+)	1349	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.2	79.9
Gender							
Female	2051	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.2	85.4
Male	1928	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.2	81.7
Student type							
L1	2733	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.2	82.7
L2	1246	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.2	85.6

Table I25 (g.3): Disaggregated scores of children on reading comprehension Subtask 6- Inferential Comprehension (correct answers out of last 2 questions)

Indicator 26: Number of teachers/educators/teaching assistants in target schools who demonstrate use of new and quality teaching techniques or tools as a result of USDA assistance (Standard 4)

	Nepali					
	Teachers	Proportion	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	CI	(%)
Categories	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(L,	U)
Total	136	18.4	38.9	3.3	11.8	25.0
District						
Bajhang	62	24.2	43.2	5.5	13.3	35.0
Darchula	47	12.8	33.7	4.9	3.0	22.5
Bajura	27	14.8	36.2	7.0	1.0	28.6
School type						
Continuing	79	25.3	43.8	4.9	15.6	35.1
New	57	8.8	28.5	3.8	1.3	16.2
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	60	23.3	42.7	5.5	12.4	34.2
Basic (Up to 8)	34	20.6	41.0	7.0	6.7	34.5
Secondary (9+)	42	9.5	29.7	4.6	0.5	18.6
Gender						
Female	51	11.8	32.5	4.6	2.8	20.8
Male	85	22.4	41.9	4.5	13.4	31.3

Table I26: Number of Nepali subject teachers who demonstrate use of new and quality teaching

Data Source: Survey of Nepali Teachers and Class Observation

Indicator 27: Number of school administrators and officials in target schools who demonstrate use of new techniques or tools as a result of USDA assistance

	Head Teachers	Proportion	Std.	Std. Error	C	(04)
Categories	(N)	(%)	Dev. (%)	(%)		(%) , U)
Total	330	34.5	47.6	2.6	29.4	39.7
District						
Doti	56	30.4	46.4	6.2	18.2	42.6
Jajarkot	58	25.9	44.2	5.8	14.5	37.3
Bajhang	64	32.8	47.3	5.9	21.2	44.4
Darchula	49	51.0	50.5	7.2	36.8	65.2
Achham	69	29.0	45.7	5.5	18.2	39.8
Bajura	34	47.1	50.7	8.7	30.0	64.2
School type						
Continuing	188	33.5	47.3	3.5	26.7	40.3
New	142	35.9	48.1	4.0	28.0	43.9
School type by program						
Only SMP	114	28.1	45.1	4.2	19.8	36.4
SMP+SHN	69	29.0	45.7	5.5	18.2	39.8
SMP+EGR+SHN	147	42.2	49.6	4.1	34.1	50.2
School level						
Primary (Up to 5)	175	27.4	44.7	3.4	20.8	34.1
Basic (Up to 8)	57	40.4	49.5	6.6	27.5	53.2
Secondary (9+)	98	43.9	49.9	5.0	34.0	53.8
Gender						
Female	41	14.6	35.8	5.6	3.6	25.6
Male	289	37.4	48.5	2.9	31.8	43.0

Table I27: Percentage of Head Teachers Who Demonstrate Use of New Techniques/Tools

Data Source: Survey of Head Teachers and School Records Observation

Activity 5- Promote Improved Nutrition: Sustainable Transition to Home-Grown School Meals

Indicator 28: Number of individuals in the agriculture system who have applied improved management practices or technologies with USDA assistance (LRP 12)

Categories	Farmers	Proportion	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	CI	(%)	
categories	(N)	(N) (%)		(%) (%)		(L, U)	
Total	52	28.8	45.7	6.3	17.9	43	
District							
Doti	9	0	-	-	-	-	
Jajarkot	9	44.4	52.7	17.6	9.2	79.7	
Bajhang	7	28.6	48.8	18.4	0	65.6	
Darchula	9	100	-	-	-	-	
Achham	9	0	-	-	-	-	
Bajura	9	0	-	-	-	-	
Farmer's sex							
Female	36	27.8	45.4	7.6	12.6	43	
Male	16	31.3	47.9	12	7.2	55.3	
Farmer's age							
Less than 30 years	17	0	-	-	-	-	
30 years or above	35	42.9	50.2	8.5	25.8	60	
Farmer's age and sex							
Female < 30 years	10	0	-	-	-	-	
Male < 30 years	7	0	-	-	-	-	
Females ≥ 30 years	26	38.4	49.6	9.7	18.4	58.5	
Male ≥ 30 years	9	55.6	52.7	17.6	15	96.1	

Table I28: Percentage of farmers adopting improved agricultural management practices and technologies

Data Source: Farm Observation of Selected Farmers

Indicator 29: Number of organizations with increased performance with USDA assistance (FFPr 12)

Table I29: Score of the municipalities by indicator themes

	Theme	Theme	Theme	Theme	Theme	Theme	Theme	Average
Districts	1	Ш	III	IV	V	VI	VII	Score
Doti	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.0	1.5	2.1
Jajarkot	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.4
Bajhang	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.7
Darchula	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	3.0	1.0	1.7
Achham	1.0	2.0	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.5	1.5	1.7
Bajura	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	1.0	2.0	1.9
Total	9.0	10.5	10.0	13.5	11.0	11.5	8.5	10.6
Average	1.5	1.8	1.7	2.3	1.8	1.9	1.4	1.8

Notes:

Theme I: No. of policies, regulations, or administrative procedures on HGSF component

Theme II: National and International Standards

Theme III: Written operational guideline, SOPs, strategies and plans

Theme IV: Target population (identify school's students, local cooperatives and farmers' groups)

Theme V: Participatory planning and decision-making process

Theme VI: Successes and challenges analysis (functional school meal management committees, regular meetings etc.) Theme VII: Networking and partnerships

Data source: OPI Workshop with Municipality Officials

Annex 12: Baseline Value and Annual Targets

	McGovern-l	Dole - Worl	d Food P	rogramm	ie		
	Nepal			Tar	gets		
			Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Life of
Indicator Number	Performance Indicator	Baseline	2021	2022	2023	2024	project
Standard 1	Percent of students who, by the end of two grades of primary schooling, demonstrate that they can read and understand the meaning of grade level text	1	2	4.5	7	12	12
Standard 1	Average student attendance rate in USDA supported classrooms/schools	61.2	70%	75%	80%	85%	85%
Standard 4	Number of teachers/educators/teachin g assistants in target schools who demonstrate use of new and quality teaching techniques or tools as a result of USDA assistance	154 (18.4%)	0	652	746	839	839
Standard 6	Number of school administrators and officials in target schools who demonstrate use of new techniques or tools as a result of USDA assistance	272 (42.2%)	0	617	645	645	645
Standard 9	Number of students enrolled in school receiving USDA assistance	246,755	276,31 4	312,38 0	232,87 5	133,13 3	326,03 3
Standard 19	Number of individuals who demonstrate use of new child health and nutrition practices as a result of USDA assistance	7873 (49.2%)	0	5,678	11,086	16,003	16,003
Standard 20	Number of individuals who demonstrate use of new safe food preparation and storage practices as a result of USDA assistance	474(29.5%)	0	1,378	1,493	1,608	1,608
LRP 12	Number of individuals in the agriculture system who	13231 (28.8%)	-	11,865	27,633	45,940	45,940

	have applied improved management practices or technologies with USDA assistance						
FFPr 12	Number of organizations with increased performance with USDA assistance	0	-	158	171	179	508
Custom 1	Average retention rate	92.1					
Custom 8	Number of schools practicing segregated waste management practice.	491 (32.4%)	-				
Custom 11	Minimum diet diversity of school age children	93.4	-				
Custom 12	Percentage of parents having school going children aware about the benefits of school meal program.	16	-				
Custom 13	Percentage of local government developing contextualized instructional materials.	33					
Custom 14	Percentage of students aware about the importance of school meal program	12.9	-				

Annex 13: Detailed Stakeholders

Analysis

Stakeholders	Interest in the programme	Involvement in Evaluation
INTERNAL STAI		
WFP Country Office (CO) Nepal	Responsible for the planning and implementation of WFP interventions at the country level. The CO has a direct stake in the evaluation and an interest in learning from experience to inform decision- making. The CO is also called upon to account internally as well as to its beneficiaries and partners for the performance and results of its programmes. Disaggregated evaluation results and their analysis will serve WFP interventions to be more responsive to gender equality and inclusion in the future.	Development of the ToR Selection of the research firms involved in the initial briefing and overview of WFP work as well as providing support in terms of providing programme documents, helping the evaluation team better understand the context of implementation and participating in strategizing for the future. Support the ET to obtain an introduction to key stakeholders. Act as a key informant interviewee Participate in debriefings and provide feedback on preliminary findings and conclusions Review of IR, draft Baseline, and special
WFP Regional Bureau (RB) Bangkok	Responsible for both oversight of COs and technical guidance and support, the RB management has an interest in an independent/impartial account of operational performance as well as in learning from the evaluation findings to apply this learning to other country offices.	study report. Support CO management to ensure quality, credible and useful decentralized evaluations. As a primary stakeholder, the RBB may also be involved in assessing learnings from the evaluation and planning for future programmes.
WFP HQ school feeding unit	Responsible for issuing and overseeing the rollout of normative guidance on corporate programme themes, activities, and modalities, as well as overarching corporate policies and strategies. They also have an interest in the lessons that emerge from evaluations, as many may have relevance beyond the geographical area of focus.	Relevant HQ units would be consulted across phases to ensure that key policy, strategic and programmatic considerations are understood from the onset of the evaluation. Evaluation will provide strategic guidance, programme support, oversight, and to extract lessons for sharing globally
Office of Evaluation in Rome (OEV)	OEV has a stake in ensuring that decentralized evaluations deliver quality, credible and useful evaluations respecting provisions for impartiality as well as roles and accountabilities of various decentralized evaluation stakeholders as identified in the evaluation policy.	OEV may use the evaluation findings, as appropriate, to feed into evaluation syntheses.
WFP Executive Board (EB)	The WFP governing body has an interest in being informed about the effectiveness of WFP programmes.	This evaluation will not be presented to the Board, but its findings may feed into

Stakeholders	Interest in the programme	Involvement in Evaluation
		thematic and/or regional syntheses and
		corporate learning processes.
EXTERNAL STA	KEHOLDERS	
Beneficiaries	As the ultimate recipients of food assistance, beneficiaries have a stake in WFP determining whether its assistance is appropriate and effective. As such, the level of participation of schoolboys and girls, their parents, teachers, farmers groups, cooks and cooperatives, and community members from different groups disaggregated by male and female will be determined, and their respective perspectives will be sought in the evaluation. The evaluation should explore the perceived benefits of the program and implications of its absence to various groups of beneficiaries disaggregated by gender. The Government has a direct interest in	As the participant of the study (as respondent for quantitative assessment or participant for KII or FGD) for the baseline survey. Grade three students, trained teachers and headteachers, and parents will act as a participant in the special study
of Nepal	knowing whether WFP activities in the country are aligned with its priorities, harmonized with the action of other partners, and meet the expected results. The Ministry of Education Science and Technology (MoEST) will have an interest in issues related to capacity development and transition, sustainability of the programme as well as lessons learned as the direct institutional beneficiary. The project is implemented under the aegis of the Center for Education and Human Resource Development (CEHRD). The federal-level government plays a key role in the design and implementation of the programme. The provincial, district, and local level government institutions play a key role at the implementation level.	National-level government institutions review and provide feedback on ToR, Inception Report, baseline survey report, and special study report Key informants on programme strategy, context, and performance Officials would be interviewed to gain insight on government policy, priorities, views on support by WFP, and on expanding school feeding In the context of COVID especially, the government bodies would be crucial to provide inputs on GoN's overall commitment to providing/mobilize resources, issues, and opportunities in handing over of the program, capacities, and convergence to be explored. Their perspectives would be taken to identify contextual gaps and plan the way forward for future programmes. Officials at the sub-national level would be interviewed to learn about the implementation of the international FFECN components. They will provide perspectives on challenges and achievements faced in implementation and help identify barriers.
UN Country Team (UNCT)	The UNCT's harmonized action will contribute to the realization of the government's developmental objectives. It has therefore an interest in ensuring that WFP programmes are effective in	The UN Country team would be involved as a secondary stakeholder with an interest in the evaluation findings They would be consulted to ascertain knowledge and information on the overall

Stakeholders	Interest in the programme	Involvement in Evaluation
	contributing to the United Nation's	context and as well as specific delivering of
	concerted efforts. Various agencies are	the programme components
	also direct partners of WFP at the policy	They would also be engaged in future
	and activity level.	planning processes.
NGOs (WFP	WFP's implementing partners –	The results of the evaluation might affect
Nepal's	Integrated Development Society (IDS),	future implementation modalities, strategic
implementing	World Education Inc., and Mercy Corps	orientations, and partnerships.
Partners)	implement the Integrated Package of	Thus, the partner NGOs would be involved in
	School Health and Nutrition	the study as key informants
	Interventions, Literacy and Promote	Officials responsible for different
	Improved Nutrition: Sustainable	programme components and activities
	Transition to Home-Grown School	would be interviewed to gain insight into the
	Meals respectively for the McGovern-	program processes, progress,
	Dole FY20 grant cycle, at the same time,	implementation barriers, and best practices.
	having their interventions. They will be	
	keen to know the findings of the	
	evaluation; the results directly reflect	
	the efficacy of their work and through	
	that, open opportunities for continued	
	collaboration. The results of the	
	evaluation might therefore affect future	
	implementation modalities, strategic	
	orientations, and partnerships.	
	World Education will be keen to know	
	the findings of the special study to	
	develop actionable items to inform the	
	literacy program	
USDA Food	USDA has a specific interest in ensuring	Donors like USDA would be involved as the
Assistance	that operational performance reflects	primary stakeholders for the evaluation.
Division (FAD)	USDA standards and accountability	They will be involved in reviewing the TOR,
	requirements, as well as an interest in	Inception report, and evaluation report.
	learning to inform changes in project	They are also member of the Evaluation
	strategy, results framework, and critical	Reference Group.
	assumptions.	They would be updated on the study's
		evaluation status, progress, challenges, and
		mitigation measures.
Local	The LEDPG includes the United Nations	Would be involved as a secondary
Education	Children's Fund (UNICEF), United States	stakeholder with an interest in the
Development	Agency for International Development	evaluation findings
Partner Group	(USAID), Civil Society, and others under	They would be consulted to ascertain
(LEDPG)	the School Sector Development Plan	knowledge and information on the overall
	(SSDP) supporting the GoN's education	context and as well as specific delivering of
	sector plan and programmes.	the programme components
		They would also be engaged for future
		planning processes
Others	A wide range of actors, such as local	Respective perspectives of these
	suppliers, farmers, and cooperatives	stakeholders would be sought in the form of
	groups, school administrators, school	interviews and interactions with key
	management committee, and local	informants
	communities are involved in the	With these stakeholders having a significant
1	provision of school mobils and are	influence on the effectiveness and
	provision of school meals and are	inituence on the enectiveness and

Stakeholders	Interest in the programme	Involvement in Evaluation
	expected to benefit from some of the	on the programme would be used to identify
	capacity development activities	gaps and provide adequate
		recommendations for the future.

Annex 14: Conflict of Interest forms

Conflict of Interest

I warrant that no conflict of interest exists or is likely to arise in the performance of my obligations under contract signed with New ERA for WFP funded project **"Baseline Survey of USDA McGovern-Dole International-2021".**

I agree to immediately disclose to New ERA and WFP in writing any actual or potential conflict of interest including any relationship, transaction or circumstances which could diminish or compromise my ability to render objective and impartial professional judgment concerning my obligations. I shall neither solicit nor accept gratuities, favor, or anything of monetary value from contractors or parties to the contract. I also agree to participate and be available for survey related activities under agreed terms, conditions and assigned worked days, and will not participate in another related contract or similar assignment with a schedule that conflict with my involvement in this project.

Dr. Udbodh Rijal Team Leader

I warrant that no conflict of interest exists or is likely to arise in the performance of my obligations under contract signed with New ERA for WFP funded project "Baseline Survey of USDA McGovern-Dole International-2021".

I agree to immediately disclose to New ERA and WFP in writing any actual or potential conflict of interest including any relationship, transaction or circumstances which could diminish or compromise my ability to render objective and impartial professional judgment concerning my obligations. I shall neither solicit nor accept gratuities, favor, or anything of monetary value from contractors or parties to the contract. I also agree to participate and be available for survey related activities under agreed terms, conditions and assigned worked days, and will not participate in another related contract or similar assignment with a schedule that conflict with my involvement in this project.

Mr Dinesh Kumar Thapa Literacy Specialist

I warrant that no conflict of interest exists or is likely to arise in the performance of my obligations under contract signed with New ERA for WFP funded project **"Baseline Survey of USDA McGovern-Dole International-2021".**

I agree to immediately disclose to New ERA and WFP in writing any actual or potential conflict of interest including any relationship, transaction or circumstances which could diminish or compromise my ability to render objective and impartial professional judgment concerning my obligations. I shall neither solicit nor accept gratuities, favor, or anything of monetary value from contractors or parties to the contract. I also agree to participate and be available for survey related activities under agreed terms, conditions and assigned worked days, and will not participate in another related contract or similar assignment with a schedule that conflict with my involvement in this project.

Niranjan Dhungel Evaluation Manager

I warrant that no conflict of interest exists or is likely to arise in the performance of my obligations under contract signed with New ERA for WFP funded project "Baseline Survey of USDA McGovern-Dole International-2021".

I agree to immediately disclose to New ERA and WFP in writing any actual or potential conflict of interest including any relationship, transaction or circumstances which could diminish or compromise my ability to render objective and impartial professional judgment concerning my obligations. I shall neither solicit nor accept gratuities, favor, or anything of monetary value from contractors or parties to the contract. I also agree to participate and be available for survey related activities under agreed terms, conditions and assigned worked days, and will not participate in another related contract or similar assignment with a schedule that conflict with my involvement in this project.

١

Deependen

Dr Deependra Kaji Thapa Thematic Lead SHN Expert

l warrant that no conflict of interest exists or is likely to arise in the performance of my obligations under contract signed with New ERA for WFP funded project "Baseline Survey of USDA McGovern-Dole International-2021".

I agree to immediately disclose to New ERA and WFP in writing any actual or potential conflict of interest including any relationship, transaction or circumstances which could diminish or compromise my ability to render objective and impartial professional judgment concerning my obligations. I shall neither solicit nor accept gratuities, favor, or anything of monetary value from contractors or parties to the contract. I also agree to participate and be available for survey related activities under agreed terms, conditions and assigned worked days, and will not participate in another related contract or similar assignment with a schedule that conflict with my involvement in this project.

Isachisal

Subas Risal GEDSI Expert

I warrant that no conflict of interest exists or is likely to arise in the performance of my obligations under contract signed with New ERA for WFP funded project **"Baseline Survey of USDA McGovern-Dole International-2021".**

I agree to immediately disclose to New ERA and WFP in writing any actual or potential conflict of interest including any relationship, transaction or circumstances which could diminish or compromise my ability to render objective and impartial professional judgment concerning my obligations. I shall neither solicit nor accept gratuities, favor, or anything of monetary value from contractors or parties to the contract. I also agree to participate and be available for survey related activities under agreed terms, conditions and assigned worked days, and will not participate in another related contract or similar assignment with a schedule that conflict with my involvement in this project.

anan

Manik Maharjan Research Assistant

Annex 15: List of People Interviewed

S.N	People Interviewed	Total Number	Data collection method	Key information sought
1	Head teacher/Acting head teacher	330	Semi structured interview and observation of documents	Overall school level information
2	Nepali teacher teaching in Grade 3	136	Semi structured interview and observation of tools/teaching method	Use of new and quality teaching techniques and tools
3	Sanitation, health and nutrition focal teacher	212	Semi structured interview and observation of documents	School health and nutrition related information
4	Students from Grade 3	3979	Early Grade Assessment (EGRA) Tests	Literacy performance of early grade students
5	Students from Grades 4-8	2,087	Structured interview and observation	knowledge and practices of health and hygiene, awareness about importance of SMP etc.
6	Parents of the students	1,849	Semi structured interview	Household level background information, perception about SMP and student related information
7	Cooks	78	Semi structured interview and observation of kitchen/cooking practices	Safe food preparation and storage practices
8	Storekeepers	12	Semi structured interview and observation of warehouses	Storekeeper's knowledge and practice related to storing of foods and warehouse management
9	Farmer groups/ Cooperatives	18	Semi structured interview and observation of documents	Situation of farmer groups/cooperatives to participate in HGSF
10	Farmers	52	Farm Observation Tool	Adoption of new improved farming technology
11	Center for Education and Human Resource Development (CEHHRD) official, Ministry of Education, Science and Technology	1	Key Informant Interview/ Qualitative tools	GoN's perspectives on the relevance, coherence and sustainability aspects of the program and current situation of education sector.
12	Food For Education Project (FFEP) official, Ministry of Education, Science and Technology	1	Key Informant Interview/ Qualitative tools	GoN's perspectives on the relevance, coherence and sustainability aspects of the program and current situation of education sector.

S.N	People Interviewed	Total Number	Data collection method	Key information sought
13	Ministry of Social Development officials, Provincial Ministry of Karnali and Sudur Paschim	2	Key Informant Interview/ Qualitative tools	Provincial's perspectives on the relevance, coherence and sustainability aspects of the program and current situation of education sector.
14	Education Development and Coordination Unit (EDCU) officials of program districts	6	Key Informant Interview/ Qualitative tools	District level situation of education sector, relevance, coherence and sustainability of the programme
15	School Management Committee	12	Key Informant Interview/ Qualitative tools	Awareness about WFP managed school meals programme and complementary activities, perceived benefits of the program, their engagement in monitoring school activities, gaps, experiences and the challenges
16	Implementing partner NGO's	5	Key Informant Interview/ Qualitative tools	Significance and challenges of the program implementation at the local level
17	Mayor or Deputy Mayor of Municipalities	12	Key Informant Interview/ Qualitative tools	Municipalities level perception on WFP managed school meals programme and complementary activities, perceived benefits of the program, their engagement in monitoring school activities, gaps, experiences and the challenges
18	Municipality officials for OPI workshop	12 groups, 4/5 in each group	Organizational Performance Index (OPI) workshops	Assess performance of the municipalities in relation to school meal programme
19	WFP Programme Officials from Country Office	2	KII	Broader level information about WFP activities, program designing, implementation, vision and experiences
20	Parents for FGDs	12 groups, 7/8 in each group	Focus Group Discussion	Parents' perception about overall school feeding programme, its contribution in student learning, strengths, weaknesses etc.
21	Adolescent Girls for FGDs	12 groups, 7/8 in each group	Focus Group Discussion	Perception of school meals program, facilitators and barriers of girls education in the community, Knowledge and practice on personal hygiene including menstrual hygiene and sanitation

Annex 16: Bibliography

- Bundy, Donald; Burbano, Carmen; Grosh, Margaret; Gelli, Aulo; Jukes, Matthew; Drake, Lesley. *Rethinking School Feeding Social Safety Nets, Child Development, and the Education Sector*, World Bank. 2009. Available at: https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/2634
- Choudhary, A. I., Akhtar, S. A., & Zaheer, A. *Impact of transformational and servant leadership on organizational performance*: A comparative analysis. Journal of business ethics, 116(2), 433-440, 2013.
- Domański, P. D. Business Key Performance Indicators—KPIs. *In Control Performance Assessment: Theoretical Analyses and Industrial Practice* (pp. 119-124). Springer, Cham, 2020
- Global Food Security Index Data. Available at: https://foodsecurityindex.eiu.com

Global Hunger Index. Available at: https://www.globalhungerindex.org/nepal.html

- *Global Nutrition Report 2021*. Available at: <u>https://globalnutritionreport.org/reports/2021-global-nutrition-report/</u>
- Gyanwali, G. P. Provincial Poverty in Nepal. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3126/pragya.v7il.35170
- International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3 ie). *The impact of education programmes on learning and school participation in low- and middle-income countries. Systematic Review Summary 7.* Available at: https://www.3ieimpact.org/sites/default/files/2019-05/srs7-education-report.pdf
- Inter-Parliamentary Union, 2021. *Women in Parliament in 2020*. Available at: <u>https://www.ipu.org/women-in-parliament-2020</u>
- Margaret M. Dubeck, Amber Gove. *The Early Grade Reading Assessment (EGRA): Its Theoretical Foundation, Purpose, and Limitations,* International Journal of Educational Development (40): RTI International, United States. 2015
- McGovern-Dole International. *Food for Education and Child Nutrition Program. Nutrition interventions and their educational and nutrition outcomes for pre-school and primary school-age children in developing countries:* a systematic review and meta-analysis. Available at: <u>https://www.fas.usda.gov/sites/default/files/2020-</u>03/systematic_review_school_feeding_final.pdf
- Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Development, Central Bureau of Statistics, Government of Nepal, World Food Programme and Food and Agriculture Organization. *SDGs and food insecurity in Karnali, Results from food insecurity experience scale,* 2018.
- Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, Centre for Education and Human Resource Development, Government of Nepal. *Flash II Report*, 2019/ 20.
- Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, Education Review Office, Government of Nepal. *National Assessment for Reading and Numeracy 2020 (Grade 3)*.
- Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, Education Review Office, Government of Nepal. *Classroom Based Early Grade Reading Assessment: A Framework,* 2017.
- Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, Government of Nepal. *School Sector Development Plan, FY2016/17-2022/23*.

Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, Government of Nepal. Education Sector Analysis, 2021.

Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, Government of Nepal. *National Early Grade Reading Program* 2014/15- 2019/20 Program Document. 2014.

Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, Government of Nepal. National Education Policy 2019.

Ministry of Finance, Government of Nepal. Economic Survey 2021.

Ministry of Health and Population. Department of Health Services. (2006). *National School Health and Nutrition Strategy*, Nepal, 2006. Available at: <u>http://dohs.gov.np/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/chd/Nutrition/School Health and Nutrition Strategy 2006 EN.pdf</u>

Ministry of Health, Government of Nepal. Demographic and Health Survey, Kathmandu, Nepal, 2016

- National Planning Commission. *Nepal Multidimensional Poverty Index 2018*. Available at: <u>https://www.npc.gov.np/images/category/Nepal MPI.pdf</u>
- *Nepal Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene Sector Development Plan (2016 2030).* Available at: <u>https://www.fsmtoolbox.com/assets/pdf/25._SDP_-_Final-_Eng.pdf</u>
- Pasa, Rajan Binayek, and Lila Bahadur Bishwokarma. *A Dalit Mainstreaming in Rural Development, An Alternative Approach for Combating Poverty.* Dhaulagiri Journal of Sociology and Anthropology, 14 61-68, 2020.

Shakoor, Aqil, Muhammad Asad, and Shabib Hassan. "Women Empowerment Through Financial Independence (A Case Study Of Rural Areas Of Sindh)." Pakistan Journal of Applied Social Sciences 12, no. 2 (2021): 1-12.

- The Kathmandu Post. *Government prepares diet plan for community school midday meal programme*, 2019, August 14.
- The Kathmandu Post. <u>https://kathmandupost.com/gandaki-province/2021/12/21/mid-day-meal-programme-ineffective-in-myagdi</u>

The World Bank. Capacity Needs Assessment for Transition to Federalism, 2019.

- UNDP. *Human Development Report 2020, Nepal, Briefing note for countries on the 2020*. Available at: <u>http://hdr.undp.org/sites/default/files/Country-Profiles/NPL.pdf</u>
- UNDP. *Human Development Report, 2020*. Available at: <u>https://www.np.undp.org/content/nepal/en/home/library/Nepal-Human-Development-Report-2020.html</u>

UNICEF/Nepal. Education Data. Available at: https://www.unicef.org/nepal/education

UNICEF. Global Initiative on Out-of-School Children: Nepal Country Study 2016

- United States Department of Agriculture, *Outcome Monitoring of McGovern Dole Food for Education and Child Nutrition Program Nepal*, 2018 – 2021.
- USAID, Nepal Early Grade Reading Assessment (EGRA) Study, Education Data for Decision Making (EdData II), *Data for Education Programming in Asia and Middle East (DEP/AME*), 2014.

- USAID. *Early Grade Reading Programme in Nepal*. Available at: <u>https://www.usaid.gov/nepal/fact-sheets/usaid-early-grade-reading-program-egrp-nepal</u>
- USAID. Learning Lab. https://usaidlearninglab.org/sites/default/files/resource/files/opi slides.pdf.
- USAID. Pakistan Early Grade Reading Assessment, Methodology Report 2017.
- USDA McGovern Dole Food for Education Programme in Nepal. Baseline Study Report 2018-2021
- USDA. Food Assistance Indicators and Definitions.
- USDA. Monitoring and Evaluation Policy.
- WFP. Cost-Benefit Analysis of the School Meals Programmes in Nepal, 2018.
- WFP. *Technical Note Integrating Gender in Evaluations*, Version April 2019.
- WHO. *Indicators for assessing infant and young child feeding practices: definitions and measurement methods*. Available at: <u>https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/340706</u>
- World Food Programme, Decentralized Evaluation, USDA McGovern Dole Food for Education Program in Nepal 2014-2017. *End-line Evaluation Report*, 2019.
- World Food Programme, Decentralized Evaluation, USDA McGovern Dole Food for Education Programme in Nepal, 2018-2021, Final Baseline Study Report, 2019.

शिक्षा, विज्ञान तथा प्रविधि मन्त्रालय, विद्यालय खानेपानी, सर-सफाई तथा स्वच्छता सम्बन्धि कार्यविधी, २०७४.

Annex 17: Acronyms

BLS	-	Baseline Study
CAPI	-	Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing
CEHRD	_	Center for Education and Human Resource Development
DEQAS	_	Decentralized Evaluation Quality Assurance System
DHS	_	Demographic Health Survey
EDCU	_	District Education Coordination Unit
EFA	_	Education for All
EGR	_	Early Grade Reading
EGRA	_	Early Grade Reading Assessment
EL	_	End Line
EQAS	_	Evaluation Quality Assurance System
ERG	_	Evaluation Reference Group
ERO	_	Education Review Office
ESP	_	Education Sector Plan
ET	_	Evaluation Team
FFECN	_	Food for Education and Child Nutrition
FFEP	_	Food for Education Programme
FGD	_	Focus Group Discussion
FY	_	Fiscal Year
GDI	_	Gender Development Index
GEDSI	_	Gender Equality, Disability and Social Inclusion
GEWE	_	Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment
GII	_	Gender Inequality Index
GoN	_	Government of Nepal
HDI	_	Human Development Index
HGSF	_	Home Grown School Feeding
I/NGO	_	International/Non-Governmental Organization
IDS	_	Integrated Development Society
IEC	_	Information, Education, and Communication
IEMIS	_	Integrated Education Management Information System
IRs	_	intermediate results
IYCF	_	Infant and Young Child Feeding
Klls	_	key informant interviews
LDC	_	Least-Developed Country
LG	_	Local Government
LRP	_	Local and Regional Procurement
MC	_	Mercy Corps
MDD	_	Minimum Dietary Diversity
MoEST	_	Ministry of Education, Science and Technology
MREKM	_	Monitoring Evaluation Review and Knowledge Management
MSD	_	Ministry of Social Development
MT	_	Mid-Term
NPC	_	National Planning Commission
NSMP	_	National School Meals Programme
OPI	_	Organization Performance Index
ORF	_	Oral Reading Fluency
PCR	_	Polymerase Chain Reaction
PII	_	Personnel Identifiable Information
PLGSP	_	Provincial and Local Governance Support Program
PMP	_	Program Monitoring Performance
PPD	_	Percentage Point Difference
RF	_	Result Framework

SABER Systems Approach for Better Education Results -Social and Behavior Change Communication SBCC -SDG _ Sustainable Development Goal _ Smallholder Farmers SHF SHN _ School Health and Nutrition SMC _ School Management Committee SMP -School Meals Programme SO _ Strategic Outcome SSDP _ School Sector Development Plan SSRP School Sector Reform Program -ToR _ Terms of Reference United Nation UN _ United Nations Evaluation Group UNEG _ _ United States Agency for International Development USAID United State Department of Agriculture USDA -WaSH -Water, Sanitation and Health WEI -World Education International WFP -World Food Programme