	Post Hoc Quality Assessment of WFP evaluations	
Evaluation title	Evaluation of Algeria WFP Interim Country Strategic Plan 2019-2022	
Evaluation category and type	Centralized - CSPE	
Post Hoc Quality Assessment (PHQA) – overall rating	Highly satisfactory: 93%	
The Evaluation of Algeria WFP Interim Country Strategic Plan (ICS evaluation users can rely on with a high degree of confidence. It discussing aspects of the national context in a way that is relevan refugees in Algeria, although a description of the main stakehold description of the methodological design and appropriate data of imitations along with mitigation strategies for each. Findings pro- presented in an impartial fashion, with a good balance between supported by substantial evidence. The conclusions and recomme reflect gender equality and women's empowerment (GEWE)-rela Recommendations are practical, feasible and prioritized, with a to the future of the ICSP could have been systematically identified is gender, the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have reflected broader equality and the states of the recommendations could have the states of the recommendations could have the states of the states o	is professionally written, well at to understanding the situat ers of the evaluation could ha ollection methods is included ovide answers to all evaluation the strengths and weaknesse mendations are logically derive ted and wider equity and inclu- imeline for action. However, i in the conclusions. Moreover,	organized and accessible, tion of the Sahrawi ave been added. A I, with methodological in questions and are as of the ICSP performance ed from the findings and usion aspects. Implications of findings fo beyond protection and
CRITERION 1: REPORT SUMMARY	Rating	Highly satisfactory
Findings, conclusions, and recommendations. It succinctly and clease the main evaluation questions, including findings on the cross-cu Conclusions are well summarized, while recommendations are re stakeholders of the evaluation could have been included in the in CRITERION 2: CONTEXT AND OVERVIEW OF EVALUATION	Itting issues of protection, ge eproduced from the main rep	nder, and inclusion.
SUBJECT		
The report discusses relevant aspects of the national context and situation, the livelihood and food security issues in the country, a made to past evaluations which informed the design of the ICSP. general evolution of the ICSP over time, and indicates how the ge the overview could have referred to the specific ways in which the vulnerable groups, such as disabled persons and single parent-le <b>CRITERION 3: EVALUATION RATIONALE, OBJECTIVES, AND</b> SCOPE	and the international assistan The report discusses the ICS ender dimension was integrat e ICSP interventions respond	ce to Algeria. Reference is P theory of change, the ted in the ICSP. However,
The purpose and objectives of the evaluation (accountability and evaluation are clearly outlined. Protection, accountability to affect considerations are mainstreamed in the evaluation. However, the stakeholders of the evaluation.	ted populations, gender, and	l other equity
CRITERION 4: METHODOLOGY	Rating	Highly satisfactory
The OECD-DAC criteria, which guided the evaluation (i.e., relevan coherence, and connectedness), are presented, with associated o structured around the evaluation questions and sub-questions. I analysis methods are well defined. The report effectively discuss appropriateness of data collection methods, and methodologica strategies for each. A discussion on the ethical standards that we	evaluation questions. The eva For each question, indicators, es the methodological design l limitations are included alon	luation matrix is data sources, and data , as well as the ng with mitigation

strategies for each. A discussion on the ethical standards that were followed by the evaluation team is included in the report. However, the report would have benefited from an analysis of whether sufficient data was collected on specific

results indicators to measure progress on human rights and gender equality results, as well as broader equity and inclusion dimensions.

CRITERION 5: FINDINGS	Rating	Satisfactory		
Findings provide answers to all evaluation questions, in line with the evaluation criteria, and this is done in an impartial fashion, with a good deal of evidence from different sources, balancing the strengths and weaknesses of the ICSP performance. The report systematically compares the planned versus achieved results. While the findings are generally supported by the sources corresponding to the stakeholder groups that were consulted, they do not consistently refer to specific groups, such as women, when describing perceptions or experience vis-à-vis WFP interventions. Finally, while unanticipated positive effects of the WFP support are described, the report could have been strengthened with an analysis of positive or negative unanticipated effects on human rights and gender equality, as well as WFP performance against the humanitarian principles of humanity and independence.				
CRITERION 6: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS	Rating	Highly Satisfactory		
The report presents conclusions that provide a high-level analysis of the evaluation findings. Conclusions are introduced by an overall assessment and organized under a number of themes, demonstrating a balance between the evaluation subject's strengths and challenges. Conclusions are logically linked to, and clearly derived from, the findings, while not introducing any information that was not already presented and discussed in the findings section, reflecting GEWE- related and wider equity and inclusion aspects, and provide a reflection on the ICSP's underlying assumptions. However, the future implications of findings could have been consistently addressed in the conclusions.				
CRITERION 7: RECOMMENDATIONS	Rating	Highly Satisfactory		
Recommendations are practical and feasible as they consider the implementation context and potential WFP limitations. Furthermore, recommendations reflect protection and gender issues although they could have reflected broader equity and inclusion dimensions. Finally, recommendations identify responsible/lead actors and supporting entities, are consistently classified as strategic and operational, with their level of prioritization (medium or high) and timeline for action identified.				
and inclusion dimensions. Finally, recommendations identif consistently classified as strategic and operational, with the	y responsible/lead actors and	d supporting entities, are		
and inclusion dimensions. Finally, recommendations identif consistently classified as strategic and operational, with the	y responsible/lead actors and	d supporting entities, are		
and inclusion dimensions. Finally, recommendations identific consistently classified as strategic and operational, with the action identified.	y responsible/lead actors and ir level of prioritization (medi Rating ions and uses professional la rovided and cross-references messages in bold throughou ontents, as well as all lists rec	d supporting entities, are fum or high) and timeline for Highly Satisfactory inguage, ensuring the clarity of within the report are accurate it. All requested annexes are quired for this type of report.		
and inclusion dimensions. Finally, recommendations identify consistently classified as strategic and operational, with the action identified. <b>CRITERION 8: ACCESSIBILITY AND CLARITY</b> The report meets WFP length requirements for CSP evaluation the information presented. Data sources are consistently pr and clearly signposted. The report effectively highlights key included in the report and clearly indicated in the table of co	y responsible/lead actors and ir level of prioritization (medi Rating ions and uses professional la rovided and cross-references messages in bold throughou ontents, as well as all lists rec y edit to correct some minor to nent (GEWE) considerations	d supporting entities, are fum or high) and timeline for Highly Satisfactory inguage, ensuring the clarity of within the report are accurate at. All requested annexes are quired for this type of report. typos.		
and inclusion dimensions. Finally, recommendations identify consistently classified as strategic and operational, with the action identified. <b>CRITERION 8: ACCESSIBILITY AND CLARITY</b> The report meets WFP length requirements for CSP evaluation the information presented. Data sources are consistently pr and clearly signposted. The report effectively highlights key included in the report and clearly indicated in the table of co However, the report would have benefited from a final copy <b>Integration of Gender Equality and Women's Empowerm</b>	y responsible/lead actors and ir level of prioritization (medi Rating ions and uses professional la rovided and cross-references messages in bold throughou ontents, as well as all lists rec y edit to correct some minor to nent (GEWE) considerations	d supporting entities, are fum or high) and timeline for Highly Satisfactory inguage, ensuring the clarity of within the report are accurate it. All requested annexes are quired for this type of report. typos.		

Post Hoc Quality Assessment – Rating scale and definitions at overall report and criteria levels		
Highly Satisfactory	Definition at overall report level: Evaluation users can rely on the credible and useful evaluation findings provided and can use the evaluation with a high degree of confidence for decision-making. The report is considered an excellent example.   Definition at criterion level: The criterion is addressed without any gaps or limitations.	
Satisfactory	Definition at overall report level: Evaluation users can rely on the quality and credible evaluation findings provided and can use it with confidence for decision-making.     Definition at criterion level: There are no significant gaps or limitations in addressing the criterion.	
Partly Satisfactory	Definition at overall report level:   Evaluation users can rely on the evaluation findings provided and may use it for decision-making noting that there are some gaps/shortcomings in the information provided.     Definition at criterion level:   There are some significant gaps or limitations in addressing the criterion.	
Unsatisfactory	Definition at overall report level: Evaluation users can use some of the learning from the evaluation, noting that there are significant gaps/ shortcomings in the evaluation findings provided. The report may still contribute to decision making but should be used with caution.   Definition at criterion level: There are critical gaps or limitations in addressing the criterion. Most of the required parameters are not met.	