### Overview of Evaluations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Ongoing</th>
<th>New Evaluations in 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Centralized
- 27 completed
- 31 ongoing

#### Decentralized
- 27 completed
- 2 commissioned by HQ divisions
- 47 country offices with evaluations completed or ongoing

#### Impact
- 3 windows open: climate & resilience, cash-based transfers & gender, school-based programmes
- 1 workstream: optimising humanitarian interventions
- 15 countries active

### Quality
- Evaluation reports rated as "satisfactory" or "highly satisfactory": 91% in 2022, 90% in 2021

### Use
- WFP-draft policies and draft country strategic plans which rely heavily on evaluation evidence: 92% in 2022, 86% in 2021

### Coverage
- CSPs or interim CSPs evaluated: 90% in 2022, 87% in 2021
- Active policies evaluated: 54% in 2022, 53% in 2021
- Country offices with at least one evaluation completed in the I/CSP: 69% in 2022, 67% in 2021

### Capacity
- Gender ratio in evaluation teams: 55% in 2022, 50% in 2021
- Geographical diversity in evaluation teams: 46% in 2022, 43% in 2021

### Partnerships
- Number of completed joint and inter-agency humanitarian evaluations: 1 in 2022, 1 in 2021

### Resources
- Gender ratio in the evaluation function staff: 56% in 2022, 53% in 2021
- Geographical diversity in the evaluation function staff: 25% in 2022, 23% in 2021

### Performance of Evaluation Function
- Expenditure on evaluation as a percentage of WFP total contribution income: 0.20% in 2022, 0.19% in 2021

### Looking Forward
1. **Continue to deliver independent, credible and useful evaluations**
2. **Ensure relevant and balanced evaluation coverage**
3. **Make evaluation evidence available and accessible**
4. **Enhanced evaluation capacity across WFP**
5. **Strengthen partnerships in international forums**
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**OVERVIEW OF EVALUATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Ongoing</th>
<th>New Evaluations in 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centralized</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decentralized</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**QUALITY**

- Evaluation reports rated as "satisfactory" or "highly satisfactory": 91% in 2022, 90% in 2021

**USE**

- WFP-draft policies and draft country strategic plans which rely heavily on evaluation evidence: 92% in 2022, 86% in 2021

**COVERAGE**

- CSPs or interim CSPs evaluated: 90% in 2022, 87% in 2021
- Active policies evaluated: 54% in 2022, 53% in 2021
- Country offices with at least one evaluation completed in the I/CSP: 69% in 2022, 67% in 2021

**CAPACITY**

- Gender ratio in evaluation teams: 55% in 2022, 50% in 2021
- Geographical diversity in evaluation teams: 46% in 2022, 43% in 2021

**PARTNERSHIPS**

- Number of completed joint and inter-agency humanitarian evaluations: 1 in 2022, 1 in 2021

**RESOURCES**

- Gender ratio in the evaluation function staff: 56% in 2022, 53% in 2021
- Geographical diversity in the evaluation function staff: 25% in 2022, 23% in 2021

**PERFORMANCE OF EVALUATION FUNCTION**

- Expenditure on evaluation as a percentage of WFP total contribution income: 0.20% in 2022, 0.19% in 2021
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**LOOKING FORWARD**

1. Continue to deliver independent, credible and useful evaluations
2. Ensure relevant and balanced evaluation coverage
3. Make evaluation evidence available and accessible
4. Enhance evaluation capacity across WFP
5. Strengthen partnerships in international forums